## Accepted Manuscript

Drivers behind the monitoring effectiveness of global institutional investors: Evidence from earnings management

Incheol Kim, Steve Miller, Hong Wan, Bin Wang

PII: DOI: Reference: S0929-1199(16)30072-4 doi: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2016.06.006 CORFIN 1052

To appear in: Journal of Corporate Finance

Received date:20 July 2015Revised date:25 June 2016Accepted date:27 June 2016



Please cite this article as: Kim, Incheol, Miller, Steve, Wan, Hong, Wang, Bin, Drivers behind the monitoring effectiveness of global institutional investors: Evidence from earnings management, *Journal of Corporate Finance* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2016.06.006

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

### Drivers Behind the Monitoring Effectiveness of Global Institutional Investors: Evidence from Earnings Management

Incheol Kim,<sup>a</sup> Steve Miller,<sup>b\*</sup> Hong Wan,<sup>c</sup> Bin Wang<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Business & Entrepreneurship, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA

<sup>b</sup>Haub School of Business, Saint Joseph's University, Philadelphia, PA 19131, USA <sup>c</sup>School of Business, State University of New York at Oswego, Oswego, NY 13126, USA <sup>d</sup>Craig School of Business, Missouri Western State University, St Joseph, MO 64507, USA

This version: May 27, 2016

#### Abstract

This paper studies the drivers behind the monitoring effectiveness of institutional investors in curbing earnings management in an international setting. We identify three distinct drivers and propose two competing hypotheses: the hometown advantage hypothesis predicts that because of proximity to monitoring information, domestic institutions have a comparative advantage over foreign institutions in deterring earnings management, whereas the global investor hypothesis predicts that foreign institutions have a comparative advantage because of their proclivity toward activism and ability to deploy superior monitoring technologies. Consistent with the hometown advantage hypothesis, in aggregate, domestic, but not foreign, institutional ownership is associated with less earnings management; the monitoring effectiveness of foreign institutions improves as they gain proximity to monitoring information. Consistent with the global investor hypothesis, the monitoring effectiveness of foreign institutions improves as they gain proximity to monitoring information. Consistent with the global investor hypothesis, the monitoring effectiveness of greater agency conflicts or weaker governance controls or when the gap in monitoring technology between foreign and domestic institutions widens.

JEL classification: G15; G2; G32; G34; M41

Keywords: Institutional investors; Earnings management; Corporate governance; Geographic distance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Steve Miller, Haub School of Business, Saint Joseph's University, PA, USA. Phone: (610) 660-1158. Fax: (610) 660-1986. Email: steve.miller@sju.edu

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5093223

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5093223

Daneshyari.com