## Accepted Manuscript

Governance and Payout Precommitment

Kose John, Anzhela Knyazeva, Diana Knyazeva

PII: S0929-1199(15)00057-7

DOI: doi: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2015.05.004

Reference: CORFIN 920

To appear in: Journal of Corporate Finance

Received date: 22 January 2015 Revised date: 11 May 2015 Accepted date: 13 May 2015



Please cite this article as: John, Kose, Knyazeva, Anzhela, Knyazeva, Diana, Governance and Payout Precommitment, *Journal of Corporate Finance* (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2015.05.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

### Governance and Payout Precommitment\*

**Kose John**<sup>†</sup> New York University and Temple University

Anzhela Knyazeva
Securities and Exchange Commission

Securities and Exchange Commission

Diana Knyazeva

Securities and Exchange Commission

This version: March 2015

#### Abstract

We examine how firms structure payout and debt commitments to address governance weaknesses. Firms with severe agency conflicts precommit through a combination of dividends and debt or through dividends rather than debt alone. Such firms also shift their shareholder payouts towards regular quarterly dividends – a stronger commitment than special dividends or repurchases. Although dividend commitments are implicit, event study evidence supports their credibility and value-relevance for firms with weak governance. Despite harsher penalties, debt alone cannot replace shareholder payouts as a means of addressing managerial agency conflicts.

JEL classification: G30, G34, G35, G32

Commission.

Keywords: precommitment, payout, debt-dividend tradeoff, corporate governance

\* We are grateful to the Editor (Jeffry Netter), Senay Agca, Bin Chang, Evren Damar, Antonio Falato, Cesare

for any private publication or statement by any of its employees. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Commission or of the authors' colleagues on the staff of the

Fracassi, William Greene, Gerard Hoberg, Victoria Ivashina, Michael Lemmon, John Long, Sattar Mansi, Melissa Melancon, Roni Michaely, Eli Ofek, Micah Officer, Carrie Pan, Michael Raith, Anthony Saunders, G. William Schwert, Cliff Smith, Joseph Stiglitz, Jerry Warner, Toni Whited, Daniel Wolfenzon, David Yermack, and Bernard Yeung and participants at the European Finance Association, American Economic Association, Financial Management Association, Corporate Governance Luncheon and seminars at New York University and University of Rochester for helpful comments and suggestions. All remaining errors and omissions are ours. The earlier version of this paper was circulated under the title "Payout Policy, Agency Conflicts, and Corporate Governance". The authors acknowledge the support of Simon School of Business at the University of Rochester and Stern School of Business at New York University. The Securities and Exchange Commission, as a matter of policy, disclaims responsibility

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Corresponding author: Kose John, Stern School of Business, New York University, 44 West 4<sup>th</sup> St, New York, NY 10012. Phone: (212)998-0337. E-mail: <u>kjohn@stern.nyu.edu</u>.

#### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5093266

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5093266

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>