Accepted Manuscript

Fertility and Rural Labor Market Inefficiencies: Evidence from India

Journal of Development Economics

Prashant Bharadwaj

To appear in:

Received date:

PII:	\$0304-3878(14)00079-0
DOI:	doi: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2014.07.001
Reference:	DEVEC 1920



21 September 2012 Revised date: 2 July 2014 12 July 2014 Accepted date:

Please cite this article as: Bharadwaj, Prashant, Fertility and Rural Labor Market Inefficiencies: Evidence from India, Journal of Development Economics (2014), doi: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2014.07.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

FERTILITY AND RURAL LABOR MARKET INEFFICIENCIES: EVIDENCE FROM INDIA

PRASHANT BHARADWAJ^{\dagger}

ABSTRACT. Informational frictions are an important source of inefficiency in rural labor markets. I examine the role of family size in mitigating costs that arise due to these frictions. I show that an increase in family size decreases the demand for hired labor in tasks for which worker output and effort are difficult to observe (monitoring intensive tasks). In contrast, in tasks for which worker output is easily observed, I find no relationship between family size and hired labor use. I provide evidence that supervision costs drive the preference for family labor in monitoring intensive tasks. As a consequence, larger families spend less time in supervision. I develop a theoretical framework, that illustrates the empirical challenge of identifying the link between family size and labor demand: factors that determine labor demand on the farm also determine family size. To address this endogeneity problem, I use exogenous variation in fertility induced by both a family planning policy in India, which provides cash incentives for sterilization take up, and income shocks. I show that while neither incentive payments nor income shocks by themselves are valid instruments for completed fertility, their interaction is a valid instrument. I infer that population control policies must take into account market inefficiencies that make larger families profitable.



Date: June 2014.

I would like to thank Joseph Altonji, Michael Boozer, Fabian Lange, Mark Rosenzweig, Paul Schultz, Tavneet Suri, TN Srinivasan and Chris Udry for helpful comments. Thanks also to Achyuta Adhvaryu, Rahul Deb, James Fenske, Maher Said and participants at Yale Graduate Summer Workshop and Yale Development Lunch for fruitful discussions. Santosh Anagol was kind enough to share his codes for the ICRISAT dataset. Diva Dhar provided excellent research assistance. Part of this research was funded by a Sasakawa Research Grant.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5094369

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5094369

Daneshyari.com