Author's Accepted Manuscript

Measuring Openness to Trade

Michael E. Waugh, B. Ravikumar



PII: S0165-1889(16)30036-7

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2016.03.009

Reference: DYNCON3284

To appear in: Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control

Received date: 24 November 2015 Revised date: 24 March 2016 Accepted date: 30 March 2016

Cite this article as: Michael E. Waugh and B. Ravikumar, Measuring Openness to Trade, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2016.03.009

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted fo publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version o the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Measuring Openness to Trade

Michael E. Waugh

New York University and NBER

B. Ravikumar

Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Arizona State University

April 2, 2016

ABSTRACT -

In this paper we derive a new measure of openness—the trade potential index—that quantifies the *potential* gains from trade as a simple function of data. Using a standard multicountry trade model, we measure openness by a country's potential welfare gain from moving to a world with frictionless trade. In this model, a country's trade potential depends on only the trade elasticity and two *observable* statistics: the country's home trade share and its income level. Quantitatively, poor countries have greater potential gains from trade relative to rich countries, while their welfare costs of autarky are similar. This leads us to infer that rich countries are more open to trade. Our trade potential index correlates strongly with estimates of trade costs, while both the welfare cost of autarky and the volume of trade exhibit correlate weakly with trade costs. Thus, our measure of openness is informative about the underlying trade frictions.

We thank Raphael Auer, Mario Crucini, Harris Dellas, Jonathan Eaton, Ray Riezman, and the participants at the 2015 Conference on International Economics at the Study Center in Gerzensee for useful comments. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, the Board of Governors, or the Federal Reserve System. Contact: mwaugh@stern.nyu.edu; b.ravikumar@wustl.edu.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5098121

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5098121

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>