### Accepted Manuscript

Interbank networks in the National Banking Era:Their purpose and their role in the Panic of 1893

Charles W. Calomiris, Mark Carlson

 PII:
 S0304-405X(17)30124-1

 DOI:
 10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.06.007

 Reference:
 FINEC 2783

To appear in:

Journal of Financial Economics

Received date:7 July 2016Revised date:12 September 2016Accepted date:23 September 2016

Please cite this article as: Charles W. Calomiris, Mark Carlson, Interbank networks in the National Banking Era: Their purpose and their role in the Panic of 1893, *Journal of Financial Economics* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.06.007

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## Interbank networks in the National Banking Era: Their purpose and their role in the Panic of $1893^{*}$

Charles W. Calomiris <sup>a, b</sup>

Mark Carlson<sup>c, d, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Columbia Business School, 3022 Broadway, Uris Hall 601, New York, NY 10027, USA

<sup>b</sup> National Bureau of Economic Research, 1050 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

<sup>c</sup> Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20551, USA

<sup>d</sup> Bank for International Settlements, Centralbahnplatz 2, 4051 Basel, Switzerland

#### Abstract

The unit banking structure of the United States produced a uniquely important interbank correspondent network. During the National Banking Era, this network normally provided banks with access to money markets, facilitated payment processing, and helped banks meet legal reserve requirements. In crises, network connections could be a source of liquidity risk. That risk became evident during the Panic of 1893, when New York suspended convertibility. Banks with high two-sided liquidity risk (those holding more of their liquid assets with their correspondents and funded to a greater extent by deposits of other banks) were particularly exposed and more likely to close.

#### Keywords:

Interbank networks; Correspondent banking; Banking panics; Contagion; National Banking Era JEL classification:

#### G01; G21; N21

\* We thank Celso Brunetti, David Wheelock, and seminar and conference participants at the Bank for International

Settlements and the European Historical Economics Society for valuable comments. The views expressed in this

paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Federal Reserve Board, the Bank for

International Settlements, or their staffs.

\* Corresponding author at: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue,

Washington, DC 20551, United States. Tel.: +1 202 452 3987; fax +1 202 452 2301.

Email address: mark.a.carlson@frb.gov (M. Carlson).

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5100481

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5100481

Daneshyari.com