Accepted Manuscript

Investment Banking Relationships and Analyst Affiliation Bias: The Impact of the Global Settlement on Sanctioned and Non-Sanctioned Banks

Shane A. Corwin, Stephannie A. Larocque, Mike A. Stegemoller

 PII:
 S0304-405X(17)30042-9

 DOI:
 10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.03.005

 Reference:
 FINEC 2748



To appear in: Journal of Financial Economics

Received date:12 January 2015Revised date:29 February 2016Accepted date:4 July 2016

Please cite this article as: Shane A. Corwin, Stephannie A. Larocque, Mike A. Stegemoller, Investment Banking Relationships and Analyst Affiliation Bias: The Impact of the Global Settlement on Sanctioned and Non-Sanctioned Banks, *Journal of Financial Economics* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.03.005

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Investment Banking Relationships and Analyst Affiliation Bias: The Impact of the Global Settlement on Sanctioned and Non-Sanctioned Banks*

Shane A. Corwin^a, Stephannie A. Larocque^{b,†}, Mike A. Stegemoller^c

^a 240 Mendoza College of Business, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, 46556

^b 398 Mendoza College of Business, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556

^c One Bear Place #98004, Hankamer School of Business, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798-8004

Abstract: We examine the impact of the Global Settlement on affiliation bias in analyst recommendations. Using a broad measure of investment bank-firm relationships, we find a substantial reduction in analyst affiliation bias following the settlement for sanctioned banks. In contrast, we find strong evidence of bias both before and after the settlement for affiliated analysts at non-sanctioned banks. Our results suggest that the settlement led to an increase in the expected costs of issuing biased coverage at sanctioned banks, while concurrent self-regulatory organization rule changes were largely ineffective at reducing the influence of investment banking on analyst research at large non-sanctioned banks.

JEL classification: G10, G24, G34, L14

Keywords: Analysts, Recommendations, Investment Banking, Investment Banking Relationships

^{*} We thank Robert Battalio, Larry Brown, Akash Chattopadhyay, Gus De Franco, Trevor Harris, Marcus Kirk, Tim Loughran, Hai Lu, Paul Schultz, Beverly Walther, seminar participants at The Ohio State University and the University of Notre Dame, and participants at the American Accounting Association Annual Meeting and the Notre Dame Accounting Research Conference for helpful comments. Steven Carroll, Brian Ford, and Travis Johnson provided excellent research assistance. Any remaining errors are the responsibility of the authors.

[†] Corresponding author. Fax: 571-631-5255. E-mail addresses: scorwin@nd.edu (S. Corwin), larocque.1@nd.edu (S. Larocque), michael_stegemoller@baylor.edu (M. Stegemoller).

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5100502

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5100502

Daneshyari.com