Accepted Manuscript

Title: Short-run Effects of Lower Productivity Growth. A

Twist on the Secular Stagnation Hypothesis

Authors: Olivier Blancharda, Guido Lorenzoni, Jean Paul

L'Huillierc

PII: S0161-8938(17)30054-6

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jpolmod.2017.05.012

Reference: JPO 6361

To appear in: Journal of Policy Modeling

Received date: 7-1-2017 Revised date: 6-2-2017 Accepted date: 13-3-2017

Please cite this article as: Blancharda, Olivier., Lorenzoni, Guido., & Paul L'Huillierc, Jean., Short-run Effects of Lower Productivity Growth.A Twist on the Secular Stagnation Hypothesis. *Journal of Policy Modeling* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2017.05.012

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Short-run Effects of Lower Productivity Growth. A Twist on the Secular Stagnation Hypothesis[☆]

Olivier Blanchard^{a,*}, Guido Lorenzoni^b, Jean Paul L'Huillier^c

^a Peterson Institute for International Economics, Washington, DC 20036, USA

^b Department of Economics, Northwestern University, IL 60208, USA

^c Einaudi Institute for Economics and Finance, Rome, Italy

model and for comments on the draft

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 202 328 9000; fax: +1 202 659 3225. E-mail address: ojblanchard@gmail.com

Received 7 January 2017; revised 6 February 2017; accepted 13 March 2017

Keywords: Productivity growth; Secular stagnation; Potential growth revisions; Consumption; Investment

1. Introduction

Since 2010, U.S. GDP growth has been anemic, averaging 2.1% a year, and this despite interest rates very close to zero. Historically, one would have expected such low sustained rates to lead to much stronger demand. But this time, they have not.

For a while, one could point to plausible culprits, from a weak financial system to fiscal consolidation. But, as time passed, the financial system strengthened, fiscal consolidation came to an end, and still growth did not pick up.

We believe that this is largely due, not to legacies of the past but to lower optimism about the future, more specifically to downward revisions in forecast potential growth. Put simply, the anticipation of a less bright future is leading to temporarily weaker demand.¹

If this explanation is correct, it has important implications for policy and for forecasts. It may weaken the case for secular stagnation, as it suggests that the need for very low interest rates may be partly temporary. Put another way, to the extent that investors in financial markets have not taken this undershooting into consideration, the current yield curve may underestimate the strength of future demand, and the need for higher interest rates in the future.^{2,3}

Our paper is organized in three sections:

The first section looks at the historical relation between revisions in long-run potential growth forecasts and unexpected movements in consumption and investment. It finds a

 $^{^{\}dot{x}}$ Prepared for AEA meetings, January 2017. Thanks to Julien Acalin and Colombe Ladreit for excellent research assistance. Thanks to PIIE colleagues for comments, and to Flint Brayton for help with the FRB/US

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5101633

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5101633

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>