Accepted Manuscript

Title: Investments in education: what are the productivity gains?

Author: Nabil Annabi



PII: DOI: Reference:	S0161-8938(17)30021-2 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jpolmod.2017.03.003 JPO 6340			
To appear in:	Journal	of	Policy	Modeling
Received date: Revised date: Accepted date:	28-11-2016 14-2-2017 10-3-2017			

Please cite this article as: & Annabi, Nabil., Investments in education: what are the productivity gains?. *Journal of Policy Modeling* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2017.03.003

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Investments in education: what are the productivity gains?

Nabil Annabi*

49 Dublin, Gatineau, Quebec, Canada. J9J 0Y6

Abstract. Policy debate surrounding education focuses on whether governments should increase financial support for higher education. This paper addresses this issue by quantifying the impacts of additional education expenditure using an endogenous growth overlapping generations model applied to the Canadian economy. Simulation results show that tax-financed increases in education expenditure lead to sustainable productivity gains when external effects of human capital are accounted for. In addition, growth effects of gradual increases in investments in education suggest the existence of a significant scope for public policy. However, welfare gains may not be evenly distributed across generations. Cohorts entering the workforce just after the policy shocks would bear a larger share of the financing costs, while future cohorts enjoy higher earnings and welfare. The results suggest that the specification of households' preferences may also play an important role in the assessment of education policy.

JEL Classification: D58, J22, J24, O51

Keywords: Education expenditure, endogenous growth, life cycle, general equilibrium model, Canada.

^{*} This paper was completed when the author was working at Employment and Skills Development Canada (ESDC). Email: <u>nabil.annabi@canada.ca</u>. We thank, without implicating, William Blankenau and Natalia Kyui for their valuable comments and suggestions. All remaining errors are the authors'. The views expressed in this paper are solely those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of ESDC, nor those of the Government of Canada.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5101666

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5101666

Daneshyari.com