Accepted Manuscript

Title: America's Great Malaise and What to Do About It

Author: Joseph E. Stiglitz

PII: S0161-8938(16)30041-2

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jpolmod.2016.05.007

Reference: JPO 6290

To appear in: Journal of Policy Modeling

Received date: 3-1-2016 Revised date: 20-2-2016 Accepted date: 15-4-2016

Please cite this article as: Stiglitz, J. E., America's Great Malaise and What to Do About It, *Journal of Policy Modeling* (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2016.05.007

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

(PAPER 4)

America's Great Malaise and What to Do About It Joseph E. Stiglitz¹

Department of Economics, Columbia University, 3022 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10027
Tel.: +1 212 854 0334; Fax: +1 212 662 8474; *E-mail address*: jes322@columbia.edu
Received January 3, 2016; received in revised form February 20, 2016; accepted April 15, 2016
Available online June 2016

Keywords: America's malaise; Supply-side experiment; Growth; Inequality; Aggregate demand; Corporate governance; Financial regulation

1. Introduction

While we celebrate the beginning of the end of the era of zero interest rates, the US economy can hardly be called healthy. GDP is some 15% below what it would have been had the growth rates that prevailed between 1980 and 1998 continued. The percentage of the working-age population employed has increased only slightly since the "recovery" began, and is still lower than it was in the early 1980s, when women were entering the workforce en masse.² Median real (household) income is less than 1% higher than it was in 1989.³ Real wages at the bottom are lower than 60 years ago.⁴ More than a fifth of

¹ University Professor, Columbia University. Paper presented to the American Economic Association, San Francisco, January 3, 2016. Financial support of INET (the Institute for New Economic Thinking), and the Ford Foundation Inequality Project at Roosevelt Institute, supported by the Ford and MacArthur Foundations and the Bernard and Irene Schwartz Foundation, is gratefully acknowledged, as is research assistance from Debarati Ghosh and Eamon Kircher-Allen. A shortened version of this paper, "How to Restore Equitable and Sustainable Economic Growth in the United States", is to be published in the May issue 2016 of the American Economic Review.

² See the St. Louis Fed's presentation of Bureau of Labor Statistics data, available at https://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/EMRATIO.

³ Census Historical Income Table H-6, available at

https://www.census.gov/hhes/www/income/data/historical/household/2014/h06AR.xls

⁴ Minimum wages (adjusted for inflation) were some 24% lower than they were in 1968. Real wages at the 10th percentile are below what they were in 1980, while those at the 30th percentile have barely increased. See statistics from the Economic Policy Institute, available at http://www.epi.org/publication/why-americas-workers-need-faster-wage-growth/..

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5101724

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5101724

Daneshyari.com