## ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Business Research xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Journal of Business Research**



Food portions and marketing: Editorial

Obesity has been recognized as one of the leading causes of preventable illnesses in today (WHO, 2012, 2016). A report from the McKinsey Global Institute estimates the global economic impact of obesity is \$2 trillion, only slightly less than that of smoking or armed violence, war and terrorism (Dobbs et al., 2014). However, the problem of obesity is not only large, but very complex, even a wicked problem (Rittel & Webber, 1973). At a simple level, obesity is considered to arise from a sustained energy imbalance, that energy intake (food eaten) is greater than the energy output. However, the obesity problem is more complicated than that because it is based on human behavior, much of that automatic in nature, and in turn is a function of many influences. Energy intake and energy output are difficult for humans to regulate. Obesity is therefore, the result of a complex interaction between body composition and food intake regulation (Flatt, 1997). Despite the relative complexity behind the deceptively simple equation, food intake generally does play a role for weight gain or weight loss and many consumers engage in diets and other kinds of restricitive eating in order to lose weight. Additionally, "as soon as weight is lost, there is a powerful biological drive to regain it" but no corresponding drive to lose it (by eating less or moving more) when we gain weight (Pi-Sunyer, 2003, p.859). This apparently innate bias in behavior is compounded by the fact that we live in a world in which food is abundant, nourishing (energydense) and cheap, and in an environment which simultaneously discourages and encourages weight gain. Therefore, despite public health efforts and social disapproval which tend to discourage obesity, natural parental desires for thriving children, junk-food marketing and social organization providing ubiquity of food and more sedentary lifestyles all tend to support, or at least do little to block the growth of obesity.

Food consumption and notably the provision of large portions of energy dense foods at cheap prices has become a focal point in meeting the challenge of obesity. Regulation of food marketing is increasingly targeted as one of the main approaches to limiting or reversing overconsumption (Seiders & Petty, 2004). Of the various elements of the food marketing mix, the collected evidence offers support for the supposition that portion size could be a major contributor to the growth of over-consumption, and also, that portion size may have the potential to contribute importantly in addressing the problem of obesity. A metaanalysis of the many studies that have examined the effect of portion size on consumption has revealed that portion size has an elasticity of 0.35. That is, a doubling of portion size leads to a 35% increase in consumption (Zlatevska, Dubelaar, & Holden, 2014). However, the metaanalysis also suggested that the relationship was curvilinear such that the portion size effect appears to be greater when applied to smaller portion sizes and increasingly reduced with ever-increasing portion sizes. More recent research provides solid empirical evidence for the approximate elasticity of the portion size effect and its curvilinear nature (Rolls & Roe, 2016). While the bulk of existing research deals with the effect of portion size on consumption, there is also accumulating research suggesting that portion size has a strong effect on weight with a recent meta-analysis showing that that a 30% reduction in portion size can lead to a loss of about 2 kg (~4 lb) per month (Zlatevska & Holden, 2016).

Encouragingly then, just as the strong effect of portion size on consumption may have contributed to the problem, this same effect has the potential to be harnessed in the battle against obesity. A report from the McKinsey Global Institute suggests that controlling portion size may be one of the single, most effective ways of reducing obesity and should be used in conjunction with multiple approaches to tackle obesity (Dobbs et al., 2014).

This special issue explores the role of portion sizes in food consumption, and the ways in which it may be used to regulate or reduce consumption. The collected papers are intended to assist both researchers and practitioners in building a better understanding of how portion size affects food consumption, and ultimately, to allow marketers to make a positive contribution to fighting the current growth in prevalence of obesity.

Three general themes run through the papers in this special issue: consumer responses to portion sizes, consumer responses to portion sizes, the effect of partitioning a portion, and portion size cognitions.

#### 1. Responses to portion sizes

The first theme addresses broader societal responses to portion sizes beyond the effect of portion size on consumption. The papers in this section examine how consumers and the public at large relate to and respond to portion sizes.

The first article, Mystification and Obfuscation in Marketing of U.K. Food Products, by Szmigin and Gee, takes a critical marketing perspective to portion size research, and aims at redirecting the focus in terms of food choice from an individual responsibility framework to that of a socially constructed marketing environment. Based on a systematically sampled selection of products from the categories of breakfast cereals, chocolate bars and yogurts, the authors analyze various elements of the nutritional and promotional information provided through the packaging, in particular in relation to portion size. They demonstrate how, in spite of the "objective" information available, the communicative result is a process of mystification and obfuscation about healthiness, portion size, and caloric content. Consequently, the marketing of these products may lead to what is usually termed passive overconsumption. The paper concludes with an appeal for more transparent and comparable criteria for operating with portion size, induced predominantly through tougher regulation of the nutritional information and portion size indicators provided.

The second paper in this theme takes a more direct, analytical approach examining how portion size might be regulated and what consequences this might have. Containing Big Soda: Countering Inducements

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.11.014 0148-2963/© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc.

to Buy Large-Size Sugary Drinks by Dobson, Chakraborty and Seaton addresses the major public concern expressed around the provision to consumers of large single-serves of energy-dense ("sugary") drinks. Even before we consider any public health concern, the authors develop an economic model highlighting that the provision and pricing of regular and large ("value") serves may capitalize on extracting market surplus from two quite distinct market segments, the health-conscious and the value-conscious.

Extending their analysis, the authors show that their model raises concerns about how public policy might seek to curb the consumption of super-sized sugary drinks. Specifically, they point out that a restriction on the sizes of sugary drinks might simply encourage vendors to adopt a work-around such as the provision of multiple-unit pricing which will preserve their profitability and undermine the public policy effort. An alternative approach might be to apply a soda-tax, but the authors point out that such a tax may need to be set fairly high to be effective.

The third paper in this theme presents a view guite different from the others in both this section and indeed, this special issue by examining the effect of restricted portion sizes (food intake) as managed by medical intervention. Furthermore, it is a contribution that demonstrates an a priori awareness of the problematic aspects of the moralizing dimensions of this type of research (Askegaard et al., 2014). In Embodied Transformations and Food Restrictions: The Case of Medicalized Obesity by Ourahmoune, the relation between subject and object in terms of the process of size reduction is reversed. In portion size research, it is usually the variations and reduction in portion size of the (food) object that is studied. But what happens when the portion size is restricted, not in terms of the object but in terms of the subject? When, in other words, a reduction in food intake (i.e., portion size) is induced through medical intervention? Ourahmoune tries to provide an answer to this question through a Foucauldian analysis of discourses and practices on food quantities following bariatric surgery, thereby opening up a different set of reflections on structure-agency issues, so prevalent in food portion research. Through a discourse analysis based on participant observation studies, blog content and interviews with patients and doctors, suggestions cover four domains of post-surgery alterations of agency, each of them with positive as well as negative existential implications.

This research adds complexity to the otherwise rather dichotomous public debate concerning weight loss surgery, as either an efficient tool in the fight against morbid obesity or a bio-political repressive instrument creating docile bodies. Both these perspectives, it is argued, imply a passive view on the consumer, either as a recipient of service or as a victim of a dehumanized ideology of the body. Instead, it is proposed to consider weight loss surgery also as a source of agency with positive as well as negative outcomes. It is moreover concluded, that weight loss surgery has consequences not only for the food quantities consumed but additionally, for many consumers, may result in an alteration of taste structures.

#### 2. The effect of partitioning a portion

The second theme running through the papers in this special issue focuses on the partitioning of a portion. Partitioning is the division of a portion into sub-portions in an effort to change consumption. It is a tricky concept in that while researchers tend to focus on partitions as representing smaller portion sizes, the total amount of food served is typically held constant, and so number of partitions is necessarily confounded with size of partitions (Zlatevska et al., 2014). This may partly explain the existence of a rather paradoxical effect whereby partitioning can, under some circumstances, lead to increased consumption as summarised in a review by Holden and Zlatevska (2015). In the current issue, there are three papers examining partitioning. The first examines partitioning as a method of controlling the portions of purchased food types.

The paper Larger Partitions Lead to Larger Sales: Divided Grocery Carts Alter Purchase Norms and Increase Sales by Wansink, Soman and Herbst uses the novel approach of visually partitioning shopping carts, where a section of the cart is reserved for fruits and vegetables, to increase the size of portion of fresh produce purchased by the consumer. The effect occurs because, just like portion control (divided) plates, the partition suggests a norm for consumption. In a shopping setting, the partition encourages a consumer to be more motivated to balance the allocation of items between sections, ultimately encouraging them to purchase more fresh produce than they typically might have.

The strategy, tested in two studies (an online shopping scenario and in a field, supermarket setting), provides a win-win for both industry and consumers. The strength of the research is that it provides a simple strategy which can be implemented by both food retailers looking to increase sales of their perishable fresh goods, as well as consumers who can easily divide their own shopping carts with re-usable shopping boxes. The research highlights the benefits of using partitioning as a tool to nudge consumers into making healthier, more considered choices.

The second paper exploring the theme of partitioning examines the broader issue of food granularity, and the positive and negative ramifications of both partitioning food at both the level of both portions and food morsels. This manuscript demonstrates the need to separate partitions from morsels in order to understand the consequences and previous paradoxes exposed in partitioning studies. In the paper, Honey They Shrank the Food! An Integrative Study of the Impact of Food Granularity and its Operationalization Mode on Consumption, Roose, Van Kerckhove, and Huyghe highlight that food granularity is confounded between number and size and delve into how these perceptual confounds change our appreciation of the food presented to us. Specifically, they point out how hedonic foods interact with our ability to engage restraint and note that this leads to the paradoxical outcome noted in previous literature. These findings can be used to help those who are trying to exercise restraint and the companies aiming to assist such consumers by understanding how the paradox occurs and avoiding it in cases where restrained eaters will find themselves unable to stop eating. Potentially, this could lead to the ability to develop food packages that will more effectively address the needs of those attempting to control their food intake to control their weight.

The third paper under the partitioning theme, Can Health "Halos" Extend to Food Packaging? An Investigation into Food Healthfulness Perceptions and Serving Sizes on Consumption Decisions. Bui, Tangari, and Haws explore the interaction of the perceived healthiness of food and partitioning (e.g., dividing a package into a multi-pack comprising multiple smaller portions) on consumption. Across three experimental studies, they found that intended and actual consumption of a non-partitioned package of food perceived to be healthier (granola) was higher than a partitioned version of the same food. Partitioning apparently had no effect on consumption of a food perceived to be more unhealthy (cookies).

The authors argue that the implications are that we ought to be encouraging the partitioning of healthier foods so as to discourage excessive consumption of apparently healthful foods provided in non-partitioned packages. The authors note that this concern is particularly important for energy-dense foods (such as granola) which consumers perceive to be healthy. Providing non-partitioned packages of such apparently healthy foods may lead to excessive consumption.

#### 3. Portion size cognitions and perceptions

The third theme is one of exploring how activating consumer cognitions and perceptions about food and portion sizes may have a helpful influence on reducing consumption. In this theme, a number of papers

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5109438

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5109438

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>