IBR-08999; No of Pages 7

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Business Research xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Business Research



Unity is strength: A study of supplier relationship management integration☆

Pejvak Oghazi ^{a,*}, Fakhreddin Fakhrai Rad ^a, Ghasem Zaefarian ^b, Hooshang M. Beheshti ^c, Sina Mortazavi ^a

- ^a Linnaeus University, 351 95 Vaxjo, Sweden
- ^b Leeds University Business School, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom
- ^c Radford University, VA 24 142, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 February 2016 Received in revised form 1 March 2016 Accepted 1 April 2016 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Supplier relationship management Supply chain management, manufactory

ABSTRACT

Researches on the supply chain management within the last decade demonstrate that business processes integration can increase the performance effectiveness and efficiency across the chain. This study intends to investigate the integration of the supplier relationship management (SRM) process between the manufacturer and its first upstream tier of suppliers within the construction equipment industry. This research also strives to identify the potential obstacles to the SRM integration and provides solution suggestions to overcome these barriers. In this regard, the review of the literature and subsequent analyses of the empirical findings from European construction equipment manufacturers illustrate that the SRM process integration can take place through the integration of its several sub-processes into strategic and operational characteristics. In this context, the lack of goal congruence, commitment, and trust between the manufacturer and its supplier are the major potential barriers to the SRM integration.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The intensive global market competition encourages manufacturers to establish strategic long-term relationships with their suppliers to have more efficient and effective performance and thus attain higher competitive advantages (Tseng, 2014). Supplier relationship management (SRM) process integration (Barua, George, Motilal, Porter, & Vann, 2013; Croxton, Garcia-Dastugue, Lambert, & Rogers, 2001; Vanpoucke, Vereecke, & Boyer, 2014) can help achieve this objective. Berente, Vandenbosch, and Aubert (2009) define integration as a synchronizing action that coordinates two or more organizational processes with the goal of performance improvement. Similarly, Forslund and Jonsson (2007) define integration as a process in which two or more enterprises jointly conduct and carry out the activities and processes within the supply chain. (See Tables 1 and 2.)

Given the benefits of the SRM integration, several researchers (Bharadwaj & Matsuno, 2006; Kato & Schoenberg, 2014; Vanpoucke et al., 2014) have called for further studies about this integration within the supply chain actors. In this context, Park et al. (2010) provide a framework for the SRM process integration. Kato and Schoenberg

E-mail addresses: Pejvak.oghazi@lnu.se (P. Oghazi), fekhredin.f@gmail.com (F.F. Rad), G.Zaefarian@Leeds.ac.uk (G. Zaefarian), hbehesht@radford.edu (H.M. Beheshti), sm222bx@student.lnu.se (S. Mortazavi).

(2014) study the impact of the SRM process integration on the customers. Perols, Zimmermann, and Kortmann (2013) conduct a research on SRM process integration focusing on time-to-market aspects in healthcare and information technology (IT) industries. Despite these efforts, no case-based research focuses on SRM process integration between the manufacturer and its first upstream tier of suppliers within the construction equipment industry of Sweden. Existing research merely discusses the importance of electronic supply chain management in Swedish firms (Oghazi, 2014) or investigates the antecedents and consequences of enterprise systems exploitation in Swedish service firms (Oghazi, 2013). Nevertheless, these studies draw on surveys and do not explicitly reflect the notion of SRM process integration between the manufacturer and its first upstream tier of suppliers.

Furthermore, SRM process integration could face potential obstacles. Forslund and Jonsson (2009) discuss obstacles in performance management process integration within a dyad. Katunzi (2011) discusses potential obstacles for manufacturers in integrating with their supply chains partners. Despite these efforts, no studies explicitly study the obstacles to the SRM process integration between the manufacturer and its first upstream tier within the Swedish construction equipment industry.

To address these research gaps, this study focuses on Swedish construction equipment industry. This industrial sector encounters low demand level, which is noticeable in its little activities in the export market (Teknikföretagen, 2014). This study, by offering a solid theoretical base and a framework for SRM process integration, can help those firms that are active in this sector to achieve higher competitive advantage thus leading to a higher demand for their products.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.034 0148-2963/© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

[★] The authors thank Benoit Lebel and Bingzhou Wu, Linnaeus University, for their contribution in previous versions of this study. This article has its empirical and theoretical foundation in the thesis project of Fakhreddin Fakhrai Rad, Lebel, and Wu (2015) at Linnaeus University.

^{*} Corresponding author.

P. Oghazi et al. / Journal of Business Research xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

Table 1SRM process integration through the sub-processes

Name of SRM sub-process	Company	SRM sub-process integration
Strategic sub-processes		
Review corporate, marketing, manufacturing and sourcing	Engcon	-Integration through the exchange of accurate and reliable information regarding
Identify criteria for segmenting suppliers Provide guidelines for the degree of differentiation in the	Sandvik	the potential suppliers' production capability, capacity, quality, cost of product,
	Scania	flexibility and speed of production.
	VCE	
	Engcon	-Integration in order to have access to the suppliers' production capacities,
	Sandvik	technical skills and transportation facilities with the purpose of implementing a
	Scania	dual sourcing strategy.
	Peab	
	Engcon Sandvik	-Information that the suppliers provide in the first strategic sub-process allows
		one to identify the criteria for the suppliers' segmentation into the key and
	Scania VCE	standard ones.
	Peab	 -Integration through the jointly design of a "common" product and service agreement (PSA) that meets the demand of both manufacturer and its supplier.
	Engcon	-Integration through the comprehensive negotiations with the key suppliers over
product and service agreement	Sandvik	the creation of "customized" PSA that satisfies their requirements in order to
	Scania	motivate the key suppliers to be more committed and establishing solid long-term
	Peab	relationship with them.
Develop framework of metrics	Engcon	-Exchange of intra-organizational data between the manufacturer and its supplier
	Sandvik	in order to have better understanding of each other capabilities and needs. This
	Scania	exchange takes place in the first strategic sub-process.
	VCE	-Then based on the exchanged data that reflects partners' capabilities, the
	Peab	integration takes place through the discussions that occur by face-to-face meeting
		between the partners about the feasible and realistic metrics that they can
		determine for future performance measurement.
Develop guidelines for sharing process improvement benefits with suppliers	Engcon	-Integration through the partners' agreement for sharing the profit that results
	Sandvik	from the process improvement (e.g. reducing the lead time).
	Scania	
	VCE	
	Peab	
Operational sub-processes		
Differentiate suppliers Prepare the supplier/segment management team	Engcon	-Information exchange that results from the integration of the first strategic
	Sandvik	sub-process enables the manufacturer to assess the suppliers based on their
	Scania	growth rate, profitability, and strategic value.
	Peab	growen rate, prontability, and strategic value.
	Engcon	-Holding inter-organizational meetings with each one of the five key suppliers
repare the supplied/segment management team	z.i.geo.i.	independently.
		-Integration with the key suppliers through these meetings by structuring a
		mechanism for sharing the technical resources.
		-Creating a cross-functional team and involve both the key and standard supplier
		into this team.
	Peab	-Having an independent cross-functional team with each key supplier. Each team
	Scania	includes members of both the key supplier and the manufacturer for better
	VCE	operationalization of the PSA in the further sub-processes.
	Engcon	-Developing a key supplier account management structure for better control and
		coordination during the PSA execution.
Internally review the supplier/supplier segment	Engcon	No integration.
	Sandvik	
	Scania	
	Peab	
	VCE	
Identify opportunities with the supplier/supplier segment	Engcon	-Supply chain partners desire to improve four key performance indicators during
	Sandvik	their partnerships. These indicators are cost, quality, environmental affect and
	Scania	delivery performance.
	Peab	-The inter-organizational team that results from the integration during the second
	VCE	operational sub-process can develop a decision of consensus between both
		integrated partners regarding the opportunities and indicators improvement. To
		do so, partners can exchange resources, knowledge, and transportation facilities:
		three initiatives which are triggers of the integration.
Develop the product and service agreement and	Engcon	-After the development of the PSA through the negotiations in the second and third
communication plan	Sandvik	strategic sub-process, the integrated supply chain partners should draft and then write
	Scania	down the agreed elements and factors in order to finalize the PSA for its execution.
	Peab	-The PSA should also clearly state the communication procedure to avoid future
Implement the product and service agreement	VCE	potential disputes.
	Engcon	-During the PSA implementation, partners should integrate through the exchange
	Sandvik	of knowledge and technical support. For better coordination, partners should have meetings on the regular basis and
	Scania	-For better coordination, partners should have meetings on the regular basis and
	Peab VCE	discuss the implementation comprehensively.
Measure performance and generate supplier	Engcon	-Integration through the joint performance measurement along with the
Measure performance and generate supplier cost/profitability reports	Sandvik	supplier helps the manufacturer to track the roots of deviations within wider
cost/profitability reports	Sandvik Scania	range of supply chain actors.
	Peab	-Integration can also increase the accuracy of measurement because the
		-miceration can also increase the accuracy of illeasurement Decause the
	VCE	integrated supplier is closer to and has higher involvement with the further

Please cite this article as: Oghazi, P., et al., Unity is strength: A study of supplier relationship management integration, *Journal of Business Research* (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.034

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5109702

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5109702

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>