#### Spatial Statistics 18 (2016) 105-124



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Spatial Statistics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/spasta



STATISTICS

## Multi-level restricted maximum likelihood covariance estimation and kriging for large non-gridded spatial datasets



### Julio E. Castrillón-Candás<sup>a</sup>, Marc G. Genton<sup>b,\*</sup>, Rio Yokota<sup>c</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> SRI Center for Uncertainty Quantification in Computational Science and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia
 <sup>b</sup> Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Sciences and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

<sup>c</sup> Tokyo Institute of Technology Global Scientific and Computing Center, 2-12-1 i7-2 O-okayama Meguro-ku, 152-8550, Tokyo, Japan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 October 2015 Accepted 19 October 2015 Available online 10 November 2015

*Keywords:* Fast Multipole Method Hierarchical basis High performance computing Sparsification of covariance matrices

#### ABSTRACT

We develop a multi-level restricted Gaussian maximum likelihood method for estimating the covariance function parameters and computing the best unbiased predictor. Our approach produces a new set of multi-level contrasts where the deterministic parameters of the model are filtered out thus enabling the estimation of the covariance parameters to be decoupled from the deterministic component. Moreover, the multi-level covariance matrix of the contrasts exhibits fast decay that is dependent on the smoothness of the covariance function. Due to the fast decay of the multilevel covariance matrix coefficients only a small set is computed with a level dependent criterion. We demonstrate our approach on problems of up to 512,000 observations with a Matérn covariancs. In addition, these problems are numerically unstable and hard to solve with traditional methods.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* uvel@alum.mit.edu (J.E. Castrillón-Candás), marc.genton@kaust.edu.sa (M.G. Genton), rioyokota@gsic.titech.ac.jp (R. Yokota).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spasta.2015.10.006 2211-6753/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Consider the following model for a Gaussian spatial random field Z:

$$Z(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{s})^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon(\mathbf{s}), \qquad \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is a known function of the spatial location  $\mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is an unknown vector of coefficients, and  $\epsilon$  is a stationary mean zero Gaussian random field with parametric covariance function  $C(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}'; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \operatorname{cov}\{\epsilon(\mathbf{s}), \epsilon(\mathbf{s}')\}$  having an unknown vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^w$  of parameters. We observe the data vector  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z(\mathbf{s}_1), \dots, Z(\mathbf{s}_n))^T$  at locations  $\mathbb{S} := \{\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n\}$ , where  $\mathbf{s}_1 \neq \mathbf{s}_2 \neq \mathbf{s}_3 \neq \dots \neq$  $\mathbf{s}_{n-1} \neq \mathbf{s}_n$ , and wish to: 1) estimate the unknown vectors  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ; and 2) predict  $Z(\mathbf{s}_0)$ , where  $\mathbf{s}_0$  is a new spatial location. These two tasks are particularly challenging when the sample size *n* is large. To address the estimation part, let  $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}^T) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be the covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and

To address the estimation part, let  $C(\theta) = cov(Z, Z^1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be the covariance matrix of Z and assume it is nonsingular for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^w$ . Define  $\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{s}_1) \dots \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{s}_n))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  and assume it is of full rank, *p*. The model (1) leads to the vectorial formulation

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon},\tag{2}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  is a Gaussian random vector,  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$ . Then the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log\det\{\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\} - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\beta})^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\beta}),$$
(3)

which can be profiled by generalized least squares with

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \{ \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1} \mathbf{M} \}^{-1} \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}.$$
(4)

A consequence of profiling is that the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of  $\theta$  then tends to be biased. A solution to this problem is to use restricted maximum likelihood (REML) estimation which consists in calculating the log-likelihood of n - p linearly independent contrasts, that is, linear combinations of observations whose joint distribution does not depend on  $\beta$ , from the set  $\mathbf{Y} = \{\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M})^{-1}\mathbf{M}^T\}\mathbf{Z}$ . In this paper, we propose a new set of contrasts that lead to significant computational benefits (with good accuracy) when computing the REML estimator of  $\theta$  for large sample size n.

To address the prediction part, consider the best unbiased predictor  $\hat{Z}(\mathbf{s}_0) = \lambda_0 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{Z}$  where  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)^T$ . The unbiasedness constraint implies  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{M}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{s}_0)$ . The minimization of the mean squared prediction error  $E[\{Z(\mathbf{s}_0) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{Z}\}^2]$  under the constraint  $\mathbf{M}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{s}_0)$  yields

$$\hat{Z}(\mathbf{s}_0) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{s}_0)^{\mathrm{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{M}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}),$$
(5)

where  $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \operatorname{cov}\{\mathbf{Z}, Z(\mathbf{s}_0)\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  is defined in (4). In this paper, we propose a new transformation of the data vector  $\mathbf{Z}$  leading to a decoupled multi-level description of the model (1) without any loss of structure. This multi-level representation leads to significant computational benefits when computing the kriging predictor  $\hat{Z}(\mathbf{s}_0)$  in (5) for large sample size *n*.

Previous work has been performed to maximize (3). The classical technique is to compute a Cholesky factorization of **C**. However, this requires  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  memory and  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  computational steps, thus is impractical for large scale problems.

Under special structures of the covariance matrix, i.e., fast decay of the covariance function, a tapering technique can be used to sparsify the covariance matrix and thus increase memory and computational efficiency (Furrer et al., 2006; Kaufman et al., 2008). These techniques are good when applicable but tend to be restrictive. For a review of various approaches to spatial statistics for large datasets, see Sun et al. (2012). Recently we have seen the advent of solving the optimization problem (3) from a computational numerical perspective. Anitescu et al. (2012) developed a matrix-free approach for computing the maximum of the log-likelihood (3) based on a stochastic programming reformulation. This method relies on Monte Carlo approximation of the derivative of the score function with respect to the covariance parameters  $\theta$  to compute the maximization (3). The authors show promising results for a grid geometry of the placement of the observations. However for a non-grid geometry the cost of computing the preconditioner becomes  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  and it is not clear how many

106

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5119064

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5119064

Daneshyari.com