Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Public Health

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/puhe



Original Research

Potential gains in life expectancy by improving road safety in China *



Q. Li ^{a,*}, S. Ma ^{a,b}, D. Bishai ^a, A.A. Hyder ^a

^a International Injury Research Unit, Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, USA

^b Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Baltimore, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 August 2016 Received in revised form 19 November 2016 Accepted 21 November 2016

Keywords: Life expectancy Road traffic injuries China

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Road traffic injuries (RTI) cause a significant number of injuries and deaths in China every year; the World Health Organization estimated 261,367 deaths due to RTI in 2013. As a result of the ongoing growth of China's economy, road construction and motorisation, RTI are expected to impose a heavy health burden in the future. However, the public and policy makers have not widely perceived RTI as a public health issue commensurate with its consequences, in part, due to a lack of intuitive indicator measuring the health impact.

Study Design: Employs the cause-eliminating life table technique to provide a measure of the burden of RTI based on data from a nationally representative surveillance system in China.

Methods: Previous studies have used indicators such as event counts, rates and disabilityadjusted life years to measure the health impact of RTI; but this study uses potential gains in life expectancy to measure this impact.

Results: Eliminating RTI could lead to a gain of 0.52 years in life expectancy in 2012, meaning that on average Chinese people could live a half year more than they would in the presence of RTI. Males have a substantially higher RTI death rate and consequently could have a gain in life expectancy more than twice as large as females (male 0.72 years vs female 0.28 years). The gain in rural areas (0.65 years) is twice that in urban areas (0.32 years).

Conclusions: The significant gain in life expectancy signals the urgency for public actions to improve road safety; the disparity in the burden across regions and sexes indicate a great opportunity for targeted interventions to protect health and save lives.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Royal Society for Public Health. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail address: qli28@jhu.edu (Q. Li).

^{*} Open Access provided for this article by the Johns Hopkins International Injury Research Unit through a grant from Bloomberg Philanthropies.

^{*} Corresponding author: International Injury Research Unit, Department of International Health, Bloomberg School of Public Health Johns Hopkins University, 615 North Wolfe Street #E8642, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2016.11.012

^{0033-3506/© 2016} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Royal Society for Public Health. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Road traffic injuries (RTI) have been recognized as an increasingly significant public health problem by governments, academia and non-governmental organisations around the world. Each year about 1.25 million people are killed as a result of RTI, and about 50 million are injured.¹ RTI are among the top 10 leading causes of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) lost and are projected to be ranked the third by 2020.² RTI is the leading cause of death among people 10–24 years old globally,³ and around 85% of deaths and 90% of DALYs caused by RTI in the world occur in low- and middle-income countries.¹

For more than a decade, the World Health Organization (WHO) and many other organisations have been making great efforts to reframe RTI as a global health problem that can be controlled.⁴ The aim is to elevate public awareness of the preventable nature of the RTI,⁵ and emphasize that when crashes do occur, safety measures such as wearing a seatbelt and a helmet, can significantly reduce the severity of injuries.^{6–8}

As one of the most commonly used demographic indicators, life expectancy is a statistical measure of the average time a person is expected to live at a given age under given age-specific mortality rates.⁹ For example, a life expectancy of 70 years at birth means that a newborn is expected to live 70 years if she/he were to experience the given age-specific mortality rates through her/his lifetime. Accordingly, a 0.5year gain in life expectancy by eliminating a disease means that on average people will live half a year more than they would in the presence of that disease. Lowering death rate due to diseases such as RTI at young ages will contribute more to life expectancy compared with the same percentage point reduction in death rate at older ages. So a gain in life expectancy has the potential to call attention to a disease condition.

With rapid increases in motorized travel, China is also facing road safety challenges.^{10,11} According to WHO estimates, there were 261,367 deaths caused by RTI in China in 2013.¹ In March 2016, the Chinese government approved its 13th Five-Year Plan for National Economic and Social Development (2016–2020). The proposed goal on wellbeing is to increase the life expectancy by one year in the coming five years. Our analysis will inform policy makers the degree that improving road traffic safety could contribute to achieving this target.

Methods

The data in this study come from the Chinese Disease Surveillance Points (DSP) system. DSP was introduced by the Ministry of Health of China in 1980 for the purpose of monitoring the national level of cause-specific mortality rates.¹² In 2012, DSP system consisted of 161 nationally representative sample sites in 31 provinces (municipalities and autonomous regions) that covered 77 million people, approximately 6% of the national population. International Classification of Diseases 10 was used to record the underlying cause of death.¹³ As a result, this study is able to calculate the cause-specific

counts and rates of deaths that are used in construction of life tables.

Although based on a representative sample, DSP data suffer from under-reporting issues.14,15 To estimate the missing rate and obtain the true mortality level, an independent investigation of missingness is conducted every three years. Based on the most recent under-reporting field survey that covered 2009–2011, Guo et al.¹⁶ applied propensity score weighting and capture-mark-recapture to estimate the underreporting rate by a series of indicators such as age, region and cause of death. These two methods arrived at comparable estimates, and they produced essentially identical adjusted mortality levels that were consistent with WHO estimates in terms of key health indicators, including infant mortality rate, under-five mortality rate and life expectancy at birth. The under-reporting rate by age groups based on the propensity score weighting method is used in this study. In particular, we applied the formula below to adjust the observed RTI deaths.

$$D_x^{ad} = \frac{D_x^{ob}}{(1 - r_x)}$$

where D_x^{ad} and D_x^{ob} are the adjusted and observed numbers of death for age group x; r_x is the under-reporting rate estimated by Guo et al. Although the present study is based on DSP 2012 data, the estimated under-reporting rate for 2011 is used since Guo et al. only estimated the under-reporting rates during 2009–2011 and their estimates indicate little secular variation over time in age-specific under-reporting rate.

We applied the cause-eliminated life table technique to measure the potential health gain if RTI could be reduced or eliminated.¹⁷ The purpose of this technique is to develop a one-parameter measure of the health impact of the cause of death. The parameter, namely gain in life expectancy, represents the change in life expectancy that would be obtained under the hypothetical elimination of the cause under investigation. Let e_0 and e_0^{-i} denote the life expectancy at birth (age 0) from actual life table and cause *i*-eliminated life table, respectively. Then the gain in life expectancy due to cause *i* is defined as $\Delta_0^i = e_0^{-i} - e_0$. This technique has been widely utilized to assess the health impact of a cause of death or the potential gain if the cause could be eliminated.¹⁸⁻²⁰

Age-specific counts of surveillance population, all-cause deaths, and RTI-related deaths from the DSP population were used to construct an actual and a cause-eliminated life table. RTI-related life expectancy gain is the difference of life expectancies in the two life tables.

In constructing life tables, we first computed the agespecific mortality rates by dividing the age-specific deaths of all causes by the age-specific person-years of exposure of the surveillance population, then we constructed life tables to obtain the actual level of life expectancy in the DSP population. Similarly we calculated the age-specific mortality rates by dividing the deaths for causes other than RTI by personyears of exposure, and got a RTI-eliminated life expectancy. The difference between two estimates is defined as the life expectancy lost to RTI or the gain in life expectancy under the hypothetical scenario of eliminating RTI.⁹

In addition to the scenario of complete elimination, we also estimated the potential gains in life expectancy under two hypothetical reduction scenarios: (i) male RTI level improved Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5122746

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5122746

Daneshyari.com