

22nd International Symposium on Transportation and Traffic Theory

Does The Decision Rule Matter For Large-Scale Transport Models?

Sander van Cranenburgh^{a,*}, Caspar G. Chorus^a^a*Delft University of Technology, Jaffalaan 5, 2628 BX Delft, The Netherlands*

Abstract

This paper is the first to study to what extent decision rules, embedded in disaggregate discrete choice models, matter for large-scale aggregate level mobility forecasts. Such large-scale forecasts are a crucial underpinning for many transport infrastructure investment decisions. We show, in the particular context of (linear-additive) utility maximization (RUM) and regret minimization (RRM) rules, that the decision rule matters for aggregate level mobility forecasts. We find non-trivial differences between the RUM-based and RRM-based transport model in terms of aggregate forecasts of passenger kilometers, demand elasticities, and monetary benefits of transport policies. This opens up new opportunities for policy analysts to enrich their sensitivity analysis toolbox.

© 2017 The Authors. Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 22nd International Symposium on Transportation and Traffic Theory.

Keywords: Large-scale transport models, Random Regret Minimization, Discrete Choice modelling, Decision rules

1. Introduction

Large-scale transport models are typically built on disaggregate discrete choice models based on linear-additive Random Utility Maximization (RUM) decision rules (e.g. de Jong et al. 2007; Hess et al. 2007). However, despite the strong foundations of such RUM-based discrete choice models in micro-economic theory and their computational tractability there has been a rapidly growing interest in the development of non-RUM discrete choice models within the travel behavior research community. There is a growing consensus now, that these ‘behavior

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 10 152786957; fax: +0-000-000-0000 .

E-mail address: s.vancranenburgh@tudelft.nl

inspired' choice models form a useful addition to the toolbox of travel demand modelers, by capturing behavioral phenomena such as a boundedly rational, semi-compensatory decision-making and choice set composition effects (Chorus et al. 2008; Hess et al. 2012; Leong and Hensher 2012; Guevara and Fukushima 2016).

However, despite this growing interest in non-RUM choice models in the travel behavior research community these models have not yet been implemented in large-scale transport models to forecast macro-level mobility patterns. Instead, the literature has predominantly focused on comparisons between RUM and non-RUM choice models at the disaggregate level, e.g. in terms of differences in model fit in the context of a given dataset. As a consequence, at present it is unknown whether large-scale transport models based on non-RUM choice models would in fact produce different aggregate level predictions than their counterparts based on RUM models. Crucially, these aggregate level forecasts, rather than micro-level analyses, form the basis for many transport policies and infrastructure investment decisions. This implies that there is currently no empirical ground for the often voiced expectation that increasing the behavioral realism of micro-level travel behavior models via the use of non-RUM choice models would lead to different (and perhaps improved?) aggregate level mobility forecasts, and, as a consequence, to different (and perhaps better informed?) transport policy making. This lack of evidence regarding the usefulness of employing non-RUM choice models for large-scale travel demand forecasting is currently considered one of the main limitations of these models (Chorus 2014).

Motivated by the significant scientific and societal relevance of this 'aggregate-disaggregate gap' in the travel behaviour modelling literature, we² have developed what we believe is the world's first discrete choice-based large-scale transport model built on a non-RUM decision rule. Specifically, we devised a Random Regret Minimization (RRM) based counterpart of the internationally renowned Dutch National Transport model (henceforth abbreviated as LMS, for 'Landelijk Model Systeem'). RRM models are non-RUM discrete choice models built on the notion that regret can be an important co-determinant of choice behaviour (Chorus 2010). RRM models are designed to accommodate for semi-compensatory and reference-dependent choice behaviours, such as the compromise effect (Chorus and Bierlaire 2013). Since their relatively recent introduction these RRM models have found their way to leading textbooks (Hensher et al. 2015) and software packages (e.g. Greene 2012; Vermunt and Magidson 2014), and have been used in a wide variety of travel behaviour studies during the past few years (Chorus et al. 2014b).

This study presents the first aggregate level comparison of mobility forecasts produced by RUM and non-RUM (i.e., RRM) based large-scale transport models. As a case study, we investigate a fictive "High Frequency Rail" (HFR) policy scenario. In this scenario train frequencies are substantially intensified as compared to the reference scenario. In the context of this policy scenario, we analyse and compare the predictions of the RUM-based and RRM-based LMS, in terms of various relevant mobility indicators such as the predicted number of tours, the predicted tour-length, and the total passenger kilometres per mode of transport; all at the national (Dutch) level.

As a secondary, methodological contribution, we introduce a technique which allows for very substantial computation time savings when estimating so-called P-RRM models (Van Cranenburgh et al. 2015) on data sets characterized by large choice sets, as is common in transportation (e.g., destination, route choice models).

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a brief description of the LMS and presents the steps taken to develop the RRM-LMS. Section 3 presents baseline year forecasts and elasticities of demand, and compares the results of the RUM-LMS with those of the RRM-LMS. Section 4 presents our case study. We analyse and compare the predictions of the RUM-LMS and the RRM-LMS in the context of a HFR policy scenario.

² In close collaboration with Significance consultancy (Marits Pieters, Jaap Baak, Gerard de Jong) and The Netherlands road authority / Rijkswaterstaat (Frank Hofman).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5125134>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5125134>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)