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#### Abstract

Given a digraph $D=(V, A)$ and a positive integer $k$, a subset $B \subseteq A$ is called a $k$-arborescence, if it is the disjoint union of $k$ spanning arborescences. When also arc-costs $c: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given, minimizing the cost of a $k$-arborescence is well-known to be tractable. In this paper we take on the following problem: what is the minimum cardinality of a set of arcs the removal of which destroys every minimum $c$-cost $k$ arborescence. Actually, the more general weighted problem is also considered, that is, arc weights $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$(unrelated to $c$ ) are also given, and the goal is to find a minimum weight set of arcs the removal of which destroys every minimum $c$-cost $k$-arborescence. An equivalent version of this problem is where the roots of the arborescences are fixed in advance. In an earlier paper (Bernáth and Pap, 2013) we solved this problem for $k=1$. This work reports on other partial results on the problem. We solve the case when both $c$ and $w$ are uniform-that is, find a minimum size set of arcs that covers all $k$-arborescences. Our algorithm runs in polynomial time for this problem. The solution uses a result of Bárász et al. (2005) saying that the family of so-called in-solid sets (sets with the property that every proper subset has a larger in-degree) satisfies the Helly-property, and thus can be (efficiently) represented as a subtree hypergraph. We also give an algorithm for the case when only $c$ is uniform but $w$ is not. This algorithm is only polynomial if $k$ is not part of the input.
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## 1. Introduction

Given a hypergraph on ground set $S$ with hyperedge set $\mathcal{E}$, a transversal of $\mathcal{E}$ is an inclusionwise minimal subset of $S$ that intersects every member of $\mathcal{E}$. The set of transversals of $\mathcal{E}$ is also called the blocker of $\mathcal{E}$. Our problems can be viewed as finding a minimum transversal of a certain hypergraph, or more generally, finding a minimum weight transversal, if weights of the elements of $S$ are also given. The problem of finding a minimum (weight) transversal of $\mathcal{E}$ will also be called the blocking problem for $\mathcal{E}$. Notice the difference

[^0]between the terms minimal and minimum: minimal means inclusionwise minimal, while minimum means minimum size.

Let $D=(V, A)$ be a digraph with vertex set $V$ and arc set $A$. A spanning arborescence is a subset $B \subseteq A$ that is a spanning tree in the undirected sense, and every node has in-degree at most one. Thus there is exactly one node, the root node, with in-degree zero. Equivalently, a spanning arborescence is a subset $B \subseteq A$ with the property that there is a root node $r \in V$ such that $\varrho_{B}(r)=0$, and $\varrho_{B}(v)=1$ for $v \in V-r$, and $B$ contains no cycle. (Here $\varrho_{B}(v)$ denotes the number of arcs in $B$ entering node $v$.) We will also call a spanning arborescence an arborescence for short, when the set of nodes is obvious from the context. If $r \in V$ is the root of the spanning arborescence $B$ then $B$ is said to be an $r$-rooted arborescence.

Given also a positive integer $k$, a subset $B \subseteq A$ is called a $k$-arborescence, if it is the arc-disjoint union of $k$ spanning arborescences. In the special case when every arborescence has the same root $r$, we call $B$ an $r$-rooted $k$-arborescence.

Given $D=(V, A), k$ and a cost function $c: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it is well known how to find a minimum cost $r$-rooted $k$-arborescence in polynomial time, and to find a minimum cost $k$-arborescence just as well. See [1, Chapter 53.8] for a reference, where several related problems are considered. The existence of an $r$-rooted $k$-arborescence is characterized by Edmonds' Disjoint Arborescence Theorem (Theorem 2), while the existence of a $k$-arborescence is characterized by a theorem of Frank [2] (see Theorem 3). Frank also gave a linear programming description of the convex hull of $k$-arborescence, generalizing Edmonds' linear programming description of the convex hull of $r$-rooted $k$-arborescences. The problem of finding a minimum cost $k$-arborescence may also be solved with the use of these results, either via a reduction to minimum cost $r$-rooted $k$-arborescences, or by applying a weighted matroid intersection algorithm directly.

In this paper we consider the following blocking problems, and actually, we will prove that these problems are polynomial time equivalent.

Problem 1 (Blocking Optimal $k$-Arborescences). Given a digraph $D=(V, A)$, a positive integer $k$, a cost function $c: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a nonnegative weight function $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, find a subset $H$ of the arc set such that $H$ intersects every minimum $c$-cost $k$-arborescence, and $w(H)$ is minimum.

Here the expression "intersects" simply means that the two have non-empty intersection. We remark that it is quite easy to see that Problem 1 is polynomially equivalent with the version where the root is also given in advance, that is, the problem of blocking optimal $r$-rooted $k$-arborescences.

Problem 2 (Blocking Optimal r-Rooted $k$-Arborescences). Given a digraph $D=(V, A)$, a node $r \in V$, a positive integer $k$, a cost function $c: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a nonnegative weight function $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, find a subset $H$ of the arc set such that $H$ intersects every minimum $c$-cost $r$-rooted $k$-arborescence, and $w(H)$ is minimum.

In Section 4 we will show that the two problems are polynomial time equivalent. However, if $c$ is constant then Problems 1 and 2 are not easily seen to be equivalent: at least we did not find such reductions (find more details in Section 5). Thus it is important to distinguish between these two versions, if $c$ is constant.

Problems 1 and 2 can be viewed as hypergraph blocking problems. The ground set of the hypergraph is the arc set $A$ of a digraph for both problems, and the family of hyperedges is the family of minimum $c$-cost $k$-arborescences for Problem 1, and the family of minimum $c$-cost $r$-rooted $k$-arborescences for Problem 2.

In our previous paper [3] we have solved Problems 1 and 2 in the special case when $k=1$. Our conjecture is that both problems are also polynomial time solvable when $k$ is not fixed. The main result of this paper is that both problems are polynomial time solvable when $k$ is part of the input, and both $c$ and $w$ are constant - that is, when $H$ is required to intersect every $k$-arborescence and we want to minimize $|H|$. Our
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