Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro)

Statistics and Probability Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro

Stochastic accessibility on Grushin-type manifolds

Teodor Ţurcanu, Constantin Udrişte [∗](#page-0-0)

Department of Mathematics-Informatics, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Splaiul Independentei nr. 313, Sector 6, 060042 Bucharest, Romania

a r t i c l e i n f o

Article history: Received 21 November 2016 Received in revised form 30 January 2017 Accepted 3 February 2017 Available online 16 February 2017

MSC: 93E20 49115 60H10

Keywords: Stochastic controllability Grushin-type manifold Wiener process

1. Introduction

a b s t r a c t

We consider a non-smooth Grushin-type distribution, defined on \mathbb{R}^n , whose stochastic perturbation defines the admissible stochastic processes. Our main result is a stochastic accessibility theorem on the corresponding Grushin manifold. More specifically, given two points *P* and *Q*, we show how to steer an admissible stochastic processes, starting at *P*, such that it strikes an arbitrarily small ball centered at *Q*, asymptotically almost surely.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Consider an *n*-dimensional, connected, smooth manifold, denoted by *M*. A sub-Riemannian structure on *M* is induced by a given distribution g, which assigns to each point $p \in M$ a k-dimensional subspace $g_p \subseteq T_pM$, together with a sub-Riemannian metric $g : g \times g \to \mathcal{F}(M)$, where $\mathcal{F}(M)$ denotes the ring of smooth functions on M. Usually, the given distribution is non-integrable, has the rank *k* < *n*, and is spanned locally by a family of *k* smooth vector fields $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k\}$. As a general reference for the subject, the reader might consult [Bellaïche](#page--1-0) [and](#page--1-1) [Risler](#page--1-0) [\(1996\)](#page--1-0), [Calin](#page--1-1) and [Chang](#page--1-1) [\(2009\)](#page--1-1), [Montgomery](#page--1-2) [\(2002\)](#page--1-2). One of the features of the sub-Riemannian geometry is the existence of the so-called ''*missing directions*'' which do not belong to the fixed distribution. The natural curves on sub-Riemannian manifolds are those whose tangent vector fields belong to the fixed distribution. These are called *horizontal curves*.

A very important result in the context of sub-Riemannian geometry is the Chow–Rashevskii Theorem [\(Chow,](#page--1-3) [1939\)](#page--1-3). It gives a sufficient condition for the global connectivity, by horizontal curves, to hold. The condition is that the given distribution is bracket-generating (also known as Hörmander's condition [Hörmander,](#page--1-4) [1967\)](#page--1-4), i.e., the vector fields *Xi*, *i* = 1, . . . , *k*, together with their iterated Lie brackets span the whole tangent space *TpM* at any point *p* ∈ *M*. The problem of connectivity can be also stated in the language of Control Theory as an accessibility problem.

The problem of accessibility in a stochastic setting, which is obtained by perturbing stochastically a given distribution, was raised and motivated by [Calin](#page--1-5) [et al.](#page--1-5) [\(2014a](#page--1-5)[,b\).](#page--1-6) A stochastic version of the Chow–Rashevskii Theorem has been proved for \R^2 endowed with a step 2 Grushin distribution. Their result has been recently generalized to the case when the distribution is given by $\{\partial_{x_1},x_1^k\partial_{x_2}\}$, $k\in\mathbb{N}^*$ (see Ţurcanu and Udriște, 2017). For a recent book on probability problems in a geometric framework we refer the reader to [Calin](#page--1-8) [and](#page--1-8) [Udrişte](#page--1-8) [\(2014\)](#page--1-8). For a detailed study of the geometry induced by the Grushin

Corresponding author.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2017.02.009> 0167-7152/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: deimosted@yahoo.com (T. Ţurcanu), udriste@mathem.pub.ro (C. Udrişte).

distribution, as well as the analytical properties of the corresponding second order hypoelliptic operator, we refer the reader to [Bellaïche](#page--1-0) [and](#page--1-0) [Risler](#page--1-0) [\(1996\)](#page--1-0), [Calin](#page--1-1) [and](#page--1-1) [Chang](#page--1-1) [\(2009\)](#page--1-1), [Calin](#page--1-9) [et al.\(2005\)](#page--1-9), [Chang](#page--1-10) [et al.\(2009\)](#page--1-10), [Chang](#page--1-11) [and](#page--1-11) [Li\(2012\)](#page--1-11) and [Ţurcanu](#page--1-12) [\(2017\)](#page--1-12).

It is important to notice that in the stochastic setting, the role of admissible (horizontal) curves, is played by the *admissible stochastic processes*. Similarly, the deterministic boundary conditions are reformulated in probabilistic terms. The probability that a stochastic process X_t , starting at a given point P, reaches another fixed point Q is zero. Therefore we ask for the process to reach an arbitrary small ball centered at *Q* asymptotically almost surely.

In this paper our main goal is to prove the accessibility property by an admissible process driven by a stochastic perturbation of a more general Grushin-type distribution.

At this point, we also mention that throughout this paper no standard summation convention is used and we convene that 0^0 stands for 1.

2. Admissible stochastic processes

Let $k_i \in [0, \infty)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and consider the vector fields

$$
X_1 = \partial_{x_1} \n X_2 = |x_1|^{k_1} \partial_{x_2} \n X_3 = |x_1|^{k_1} |x_2|^{k_2} \partial_{x_3} \n \vdots \n X_n = |x_1|^{k_1} |x_2|^{k_2} \cdots |x_{n-1}|^{k_{n-1}} \partial_{x_n},
$$
\n(1)

defined on \mathbb{R}^n . These vector fields generate the non-smooth distribution \mathcal{G} which assigns to each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the span of the tangent vectors $\{(X_i)_x \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}.$

The distribution \mathcal{G} is smooth and assigns the entire tangent space at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ (*regular points*), where $S\coloneqq\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1}\{x_i=0\}\right)$. On the other hand, the distribution g is non-smooth, drops its rank, and does not satisfy in general the bracket generating condition (known also as Hörmander's condition [Hörmander,](#page--1-4) [1967\)](#page--1-4) for *x* ∈ *S* (*singular points*).

The vector fields [\(1\)](#page-1-0) induce a metric space structure which is given by the Carnot–Carathéodory distance

$$
d_C(P, Q) = \inf_{c(t)} \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\dot{x}^2(t)}{(\mu^i(x))^2} \right)^{1/2} dt,
$$

where $\mu^i(x)=|x_1|^{k_1}|x_2|^{k_2}\cdots|x_{i-1}|^{k_{i-1}}$, and the infimum is taken over the set of absolutely continuous curves $c:[0,1]\to$ \mathbb{R}^n such that $c(0) = P$, $c(1) = Q$. The resulting metric space $\mathbb{G}^n = (\mathbb{R}^n, d_C)$ is called *Grushin manifold* (see also [Wu](#page--1-13) [\(2015\)](#page--1-13)).

It is easy to see that in the deterministic setting, even if the Hörmander's condition is not satisfied in general, the global connectivity still holds.

This means that, given two points *P* and *Q*, respectively, we are looking for curves

$$
x:[0,t]\to\mathbb{R}^n, \qquad x(s)=(x_1(s),\ldots,x_n(s))
$$

with $x(0) = P$, $x(t) = Q$, and some controls $u_1(s), \ldots, u_n(s)$, such that the tangent vector field writes as

$$
\dot{x}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i(s) X_i (x(s)). \tag{2}
$$

The control functions are supposedly smooth and take values in a bounded and closed set $U \in \mathbb{R}$. Their set is denoted by \mathcal{U} and is called the set of *admissible controls*. The equality [\(2\)](#page-1-1) writes in coordinate form as

$$
\dot{x}_i(s) = u_i(s)\mu^i(x), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,
$$

which in turn can be rewritten as Pfaffian system

$$
dx_i(s) = u_i(s)\mu^i(x)ds, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{3}
$$

By means of an *n-*dimensional Wiener process (W_s^1,\dots,W_s^n) , one can add a stochastic effect to the Pfaffian system [\(3\)](#page-1-2) and thus obtain the SDE (stochastic differential equation) system

$$
dx_i(s) = u_i(s)\mu^i(x)ds + \sigma_i dW_s^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,
$$
\n(4)

where σ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are non-negative real constants controlling the amplitude. It is worth mentioning that the Wiener processes W_s^i , $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are supposedly independent.

Stochastic controlled dynamics is usually described (see for instance [Øksendal,](#page--1-14) [2003\)](#page--1-14) by an *n*-dimensional Itô process

$$
x_s = (x_1(s), x_2(s), \ldots, x_n(s)),
$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5129826>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5129826>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)