



Using plant chemistry and insect preference to study the potential of *Barbarea* (Brassicaceae) as a dead-end trap crop for diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae)



Francisco R. Badenes-Perez^{a,b,*}, Michael Reichelt^c, Jonathan Gershenzon^c, David G. Heckel^b

^a Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Department of Entomology, 07745 Jena, Germany

^b Instituto de Ciencias Agrarias, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 28006 Madrid, Spain

^c Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Department of Biochemistry, 07745 Jena, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 September 2013

Received in revised form 28 October 2013

Available online 13 December 2013

Keywords:

Barbarea spp.
Brassicaceae
Plutella xylostella
Glucosinolates
Saponins
Feeding deterrent
Host plant resistance
Oviposition preference
Trap crop

ABSTRACT

Barbarea vulgaris R. Br. has been proposed as a dead-end trap crop for diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* L. (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), because its larvae do not survive on this plant species despite being highly preferred for oviposition. We compared plants of several species, varieties, and types in the genus *Barbarea* (Brassicaceae) to study their potential as trap crops for *P. xylostella*. In terms of insect behavior, *Barbarea* plants were assessed based on the criteria of high oviposition preference by *P. xylostella* moths (compared to other *Barbarea* plants and to three *Brassica oleracea* L. crop varieties) and low survival of *P. xylostella* larvae. *Barbarea* plants were also assessed based on the criteria of high content of glucosinolates, which stimulate adult oviposition and larval feeding in *P. xylostella*, and high content of saponins, which are detrimental to survival of *P. xylostella* larvae. All *Barbarea* plants tested were preferred over cabbage by ovipositing *P. xylostella*. Among *Barbarea* plants, few significant differences in oviposition preference by *P. xylostella* were found. Ovipositing *P. xylostella* preferred *B. vulgaris* plants containing mainly 2-phenylethylglucosinolate over *B. vulgaris* plants containing mainly (S)-2-hydroxy-2-phenylethylglucosinolate, and P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* plants over *Barbarea rupicola* and *B. vulgaris* var. *variegata* plants. Despite containing a lower content of saponins than other *Barbarea* plants tested, *Barbarea verna* did not allow survival of *P. xylostella* larvae. Our studies show that, except for *B. rupicola* and P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*, which allowed survival of *P. xylostella* larvae, all *Barbarea* plants tested have potential as dead-end trap crops for *P. xylostella*.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* L. (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), is considered one of the most damaging insect pests of cruciferous crops throughout the world (Furlong et al., 2013; Zalucki et al., 2012). The ability of *P. xylostella* to develop resistance to insecticides, combined with general environmental and health concerns, have stimulated interest in developing alternative management techniques such as trap crops (Shelton and Badenes-Perez, 2006). One of the plant species proposed as a trap crop for *P. xylostella* is wintercress, *Barbarea vulgaris* R. Br. (Brassicaceae) (Badenes-Perez et al., 2004, 2005b; Idris and Grafius, 1994, 1996; Lu et al., 2004; Shelton and Nault, 2004), a biennial or short-lived perennial plant that occurs in temperate regions worldwide

(MacDonald and Cavers, 1991; Uva et al., 1997). Given the choice between *B. vulgaris* and various cruciferous crops, *P. xylostella* greatly prefers to oviposit on *B. vulgaris*, even though its larvae do not survive on it (Badenes-Perez et al., 2004; Lu et al., 2004; Shelton and Nault, 2004). Trap crops like *B. vulgaris*, which are highly attractive to insects, but on which they cannot survive, are known as dead-end trap crops (Shelton and Badenes-Perez, 2006; Shelton and Nault, 2004). The resistance of *B. vulgaris* to *P. xylostella* is caused by the triterpenoid saponins 3-O-β-cellobiosylhederagenin (saponin 1) and 3-O-β-cellobiosyloleanolic acid (saponin 2), which act as feeding deterrents or are correlated with deterrence (Agerbirk et al., 2003a; Badenes-Perez et al., 2010; Shinoda et al., 2002) in *P. xylostella* larvae. The ability of saponins to permeate the cell membrane and to induce apoptosis also makes them cytotoxic to lepidopteran cells (De Geyter et al., 2012).

Barbarea vulgaris var. *arcuata* has been shown to have two morphologically-distinct forms, G and P, which have hairless (Glabrous) and hairy (Pubescent) leaves, respectively, differ in the content of glucosinolates and saponins, and are, genetically,

* Corresponding author at: Instituto de Ciencias Agrarias, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 28006 Madrid, Spain. Tel.: +34 917452500; fax: +34 915640800.

E-mail address: frbadenes@ica.csic.es (F.R. Badenes-Perez).

strongly divergent (Agerbirk et al., 2003a, 2001; Augustin et al., 2012; Hauser et al., 2012; Kuzina et al., 2009, 2011; Nielsen et al., 2010a). G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* contains saponins 1 and 2 and does not allow survival of *P. xylostella* larvae (Agerbirk et al., 2003a; Badenes-Perez et al., 2010). P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* does not contain saponins 1 and 2, although it contains other saponins (Kuzina et al., 2011), and allows survival of *P. xylostella* larvae (Agerbirk et al., 2003a; Badenes-Perez et al., 2010). *B. vulgaris* var. *variegata* also contains saponins 1 and 2, which makes this variety resistant to *P. xylostella* (Badenes-Perez et al., 2011; Shinoda et al., 2002). Within *B. vulgaris*, two different chemotypes that are morphologically indistinguishable have also been found (van Leur et al., 2006). BAR- and NAS-type *B. vulgaris* plants have (S)-2-hydroxy-2-phenylethylglucosinolate (S2OH2PE, glucobarbarin) and 2-phenylethylglucosinolate (2PE, gluconasturtiin), respectively, as their main glucosinolates (van Leur et al., 2006). The preference and performance of insects on BAR- and NAS-type *B. vulgaris* has been tested with the crucifer specialists *Pieris rapae* L. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) and *Delia radicum* L. (Diptera: Anthomyiidae), and with the generalist *Mamestra brassicae* L. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) (van Leur et al., 2008a,b). Significant differences have been found in the performance of these insects, which performed better either on BAR-type (*D. radicum*) or on NAS-type plants (*M. brassicae*) (van Leur et al., 2008a,b). It is not known if there are differences in the content of saponins in BAR- and NAS-type plants and if these two chemotypes could differ in the preference and performance of *P. xylostella*.

Saponins 1 and 2 are also responsible for the resistance of G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* to larvae of the flea beetle *Phyllotreta nemorum* L. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) (Kuzina et al., 2009; Nielsen et al., 2010a), which is another insect specialized on glucosinolate containing-plants (Nielsen, 1977, 1978). In G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*, the genes for resistance to *P. nemorum* and saponin production seem to be the same (Kuzina et al., 2011). Besides G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*, full resistance to *P. nemorum* has been found in *B. vulgaris* var. *vulgaris*, while partial resistance has been found in *B. verna* (Mill.) Asch. and *B. intermedia* Boreau (Agerbirk et al., 2003b). Analysis of the genetic relatedness between G- and P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* and *B. verna* has shown more relatedness between G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* and *B. verna* than between G- and P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* (Toneatto et al., 2012). The similarity in the resistance mechanism to *P. nemorum* and to *P. xylostella* suggests that other *Barbarea* spp. resistant to *P. nemorum* could also be resistant to *P. xylostella*.

Using a *Barbarea* plant that is very attractive to *P. xylostella* and, additionally, has a high content of saponins 1 and 2, is crucial to ensure its effectiveness as a dead-end trap crop. Here we compare different *Barbarea* spp., varieties, and types to test which ones could have the highest potential as a dead-end trap crop for *P. xylostella*. The *Barbarea* spp. tested were *B. rupicola* Moris, *B. verna*, and *B. vulgaris*. Two varieties of *B. vulgaris* were tested, *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* and *B. vulgaris* var. *variegata*. Within *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*, we tested G- and P-type plants. We also tested BAR- and NAS-type *B. vulgaris* plants. Larval survival and oviposition preference for *P. xylostella* was compared among these *Barbarea* lines and between three crucifer crops (cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*, broccoli, *B. oleracea* var. *italica*, and Chinese cabbage, *B. rapa* L. var. *pekinensis*). For each plant type and treatment, we also determined the content of glucosinolates and saponins 1 and 2. Since some differences in glucosinolate content and herbivory by *P. nemorum* have been found between *Barbarea* spp. collected at different locations (Agerbirk et al., 2003b; Hauser et al., 2012), additional larval survival and two-choice oviposition tests with *P. xylostella* were conducted with plants of the same type (G and P) collected from different locations in Denmark, Germany, and the US.

Results

Analysis of glucosinolates and saponins in foliage of *Barbarea* spp., varieties, and types

The glucosinolates found in the plants analyzed are shown on Table 1. The glucosinolate profiles were consistent with those recorded in previous studies of *Barbarea* (Agerbirk et al., 2001; Badenes-Perez et al., 2011; van Leur et al., 2006). The dominant glucosinolates were 2PE in *B. rupicola*, *B. verna* and NAS-type *B. vulgaris*; S2OH2PE in G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*, *B. vulgaris* var. *variegata* and BAR-type *B. vulgaris*; and (R)-2-hydroxy-2-phenylethylglucosinolate (R2OH2PE, glucosibarbin) in P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*. Comparison of the total glucosinolate content among *B. rupicola*, *B. verna*, G- and P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*, *B. vulgaris* var. *variegata*, and BAR- and NAS-type *B. vulgaris* plants showed statistically significant differences ($F_{6,95} = 8.44$; $P \leq 0.001$) (Fig. 1). Plants of G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* and NAS-type *B. vulgaris* had lower glucosinolate content than the other *Barbarea* plants analyzed ($P \leq 0.05$). The highest glucosinolate content of all plants analyzed was in *B. rupicola*, which had significantly higher glucosinolate content than G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*, *B. vulgaris* var. *variegata*, and BAR- and NAS-type *B. vulgaris* ($P \leq 0.05$). There were also significant differences in individual glucosinolate content among plants ($P \leq 0.001$). The highest content of R2OH2PE was found in P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*; the highest content of S2OH2PE was found in *B. vulgaris* var. *variegata* and BAR-type *B. vulgaris*; the highest content of indol-3-ylmethylglucosinolate was found in BAR- and NAS-type *B. vulgaris*; and the highest contents of 2PE and 4-methoxyindol-3-ylmethylglucosinolate were found in *B. rupicola* and *B. verna*. G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* plants from Svebølle had lower glucosinolate content (23.4 ± 1.2 , as mean \pm SE $\mu\text{mol/g}$ of plant dry weight) than G-type plants from Blaufelden-Raboldshausen ($39.3 \pm 2.6 \mu\text{mol/g}$ of plant dry weight), Suserup ($33.5 \pm 3.9 \mu\text{mol/g}$ of plant dry weight), and Ithaca ($32.5 \pm 1.5 \mu\text{mol/g}$ of plant dry weight) ($F = 8.67$; $df = 3, 10$; $P \leq 0.001$). There were no significant differences in total glucosinolate content among G- and P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata* plants from Blaufelden-Raboldshausen (1.5 ± 0.2 , as mean \pm SE $\mu\text{mol/g}$ of plant fresh weight), Jena ($1.3 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{mol/g}$ of plant fresh weight), Store Vildmose ($1.8 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{mol/g}$ of plant fresh weight), and Tissø ($1.8 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{mol/g}$ of plant fresh weight) ($F_{3,18} = 1.05$; $P = 0.395$).

Comparison in the content of saponins among the different *Barbarea* spp., varieties, and types showed statistically significant differences for both saponin 1 ($F_{5,42} = 43.47$; $P \leq 0.001$) and saponin 2 ($F_{5,42} = 33.09$; $P \leq 0.001$) (Fig. 2). We did not find saponins 1 and 2 in P-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*. There were no significant differences in content of saponins 1 and 2 among G-type *B. vulgaris* var. *arcuata*, BAR- and NAS-type *B. vulgaris* plants ($P > 0.05$), but these *B. vulgaris* plants contained more than 3 times higher content of saponin 1 and more than 50 times higher content of saponin 2 than *B. rupicola* and *B. verna* ($P \leq 0.05$). *B. verna* contained approximately 15 times more saponin 1 than *B. rupicola* ($P \leq 0.05$). *B. rupicola* did not contain any detectable amount of saponin 2 and *B. verna* contained only traces of it (less than $0.1 \mu\text{mol/g}$ of plant dry weight).

Oviposition preference of *P. xylostella* among different *Barbarea* spp., varieties, types, and locations

In terms of oviposition preference indexes for the different *Barbarea* plants tested, there were no significant differences among them when compared with cabbage ($F_{6,35} = 0.50$, $P = 0.804$), broccoli ($F_{3,16} = 1.55$, $P = 0.240$), and Chinese cabbage, ($F_{3,16} = 0.21$, $P = 0.885$). The *Barbarea* plants tested were preferred

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5164898>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5164898>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)