Polymer 74 (2015) 144-149

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Polymer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/polymer

Imide-linked microporous organic framework polymers for CO₂ adsorption

Saad Makhseed ^{a, *}, Jacob Samuel ^b

^a Chemistry Department, Kuwait University, Safat, Kuwait

^b Petroleum Research Center, Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research, Ahmadi, Kuwait

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 March 2015 Received in revised form 21 July 2015 Accepted 23 July 2015 Available online 4 August 2015

Keywords: Microporosity Imide-linked polymers CO₂ adsorption

ABSTRACT

Microporous organic polymers containing CO₂-philic functionality gained much attention for their efficient CO₂ adsorption capability. Based on the combination of purely organic rigid and contorted macromolecular structures with low skeletal density, a series of imide-linked organic framework polymers with intrinsic microporosity (PIM-OFPs), have been synthesized using cost-effective protocol. Porosity analysis indicates that PIM-OFPs exhibit high BET surface areas ranging from 826 to 1381 m² g⁻¹ with uniform pore size distribution as verified by HK and NLDFT analyses. The CO₂ adsorption studies show a notable uptake capacity up to 4.91 (21.60 wt.%) and 3.19 mmolg⁻¹ (14.04 wt.%) at 273 and 295 K/ 1 bar respectively, combined with high isosteric heats of adsorption (28.52–30.56 kJ mol⁻¹). At higher pressure (35 bar), these polymers also show a high CO₂ uptake ability of 65.95 (295 K) and 42.84 wt. % (323 K). The impressive CO₂ adsorption capacity can be attributed to the significance of the microporosity and the imide functionalities within the framework structure. Moreover, their high physico-chemical stability and good CO₂/N₂ selectivities, PIM-OFPs considered as a potential candidate for application in CO₂ scrubbing technology.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide is thought to be one of the contributing factors in the rise of global warming [1]. Consequently the discovery for an efficient and economically valuable gas capturing system is highly in demand. Therefore there have been various recent developments in creating new, efficient and adaptable gas capturing materials [2]. Microporous organic based materials received great research efforts in the field of environmental related applications such as gas storage and separations due to their permanent porosity, low density (i.e. composed of light weight elements) and remarkable physicochemical stability [3]. Other fascinating features such as the great flexibility in surface chemical composition and structural architecture modifications by introducing a wide range of functionalities into their framework structure are also characterized by such materials. Therefore many linking moieties (e.g. triazine (CTF) [4], tetrazole [5], imidazole [6], phosphazene [7], imide [8,9], amine [10], carbazole [11], azo-bridged [12], have been used to build up

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: saad.makhseed@ku.edu.kw (S. Makhseed). pore-functionalized organic frameworks that have high specific BET surface area and large storage gas capacity with applicable selectivity. For example, decorating the accessible pore wall of the organic frameworks by nitrogen containing functionality (nitrogen sites) has found a great impact on their gas uptake ability and selectivity. Accordingly, the excellent loading capacity of CO₂ with high selectivity over N₂ can be attributed the enhanced interaction of CO₂ with the framework through dipole–quadrupole interaction and/or hydrogen bonding [13]. The narrow pore size distribution which is characterized by these material, must be also considered in facilitating the multiwall interactions and consequently increase the upload capacity. Here it is worth to note that the CO_2 as greenhouse gas should be removed safely to reduce the global warming effect of CO₂. For that reason, developing a porous organic material with a competitive CO₂ absorption capacity under ambient conditions represent an alternative process to replace the expensive and existing chemical absorption method using ethanolamine solutions [14]. Based on the above aforementioned findings, many strategies have been adapted to synthesize microporous organic material with abundant nitrogen containing moieties content as a recently arising parameter to increase the CO_2 uptake capacity [15]. However, other functionalities such as sulfonic acid, lithium







sufonate, were also introduced and exhibit promising CO2 adsorption capacities along with high CO₂/N₂ selectivity [16]. Therefore, many organic building blocks, characterized by irregularities, rigidity and functionalities as key properties to maximize the CO₂ uptake capacity, were covalently introduced in preparing microporous organic frameworks with desired properties for CO₂ storage and separation applications [17]. Seeking to obtain polymeric materials with outstanding properties in terms of chemical nature and porous properties as a perquisite for a gas storage and separation applications represents an on-going target by the researchers. This leads to design and construct different microporous organic based materials by exploiting the facile synthetic protocols as well as the diversification of primary building block organic components. Thus, five monomers containing different rigid aryl spacers have been prepared and incorporated into the PIM-based frameworks by using the efficient dioxane-forming reaction with the spirobiscatechol. The gas-adsorption properties of the resulting organic framework polymers have been evaluated to propose the related porous properties to the monomer architecture featuring imide moieties within the scaffold network polymers. The CO₂ storage capacities, isosteric heat of adsorption and the selectivity of CO₂ over N₂ gas were also evaluated.

2. Materials and methods

All the chemicals were of reagent grade purity and used without further purification. The dry solvent Dimethylformamide (DMF) with water content less than 0.005% was purchased from Aldrich Co. The finely grounded anhydrous potassium carbonate was used after further drying at 200 °C.¹H-NMR spectrum of monomers were recorded on a Bruker DPX 400 MHz spectrometer using CDCl₃ and DMSO-D6 as the solvents. Solid state ¹³C-NMR measurement was carried out on a Bruker Avance II 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a cross polarization magic angle spinning (CP/MAS) probe and a fully automated pneumatic unit for sample spinning. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-6300. Elemental analyses were carried out using Elementar Vario Micro Cube. Mass analyses were done on a Thermo DFS Mass spectrometer. Melting points were measured with a Griffin melting point apparatus. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed with a TA instrument at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under nitrogen atmosphere. Wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) of sample was measured by a Siemens D5000 diffractometer. Microscopic techniques employed are Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM: JEOL Model 6300) and High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM: JEOL Model JEM- 3010). Nitrogen and CO₂ adsorption measurements and were performed on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 sorptometer. The high pressure CO₂ adsorption capacity was investigated (35 bar, 295 and 323 K) using a high pressure volumetric analyzer (Micromeritics HPVA-100).

2.1. Synthesis of monomer (1a)

Tetrafluorophthalic anhydride was added to a stirred solution of 4,4'-methylenedianiline in glacial acetic acid and refluxed for 12 h. After cooling, the precipitated product was filtered and washed with petroleum ether to give a light yellow solid. Yield 78%; mp > 300 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 400 MHz, δ ppm): 4.11 (s, 2H), 7.37–7.39 (d, 4H), 7.45–7.47 (d, 4H); IR (KBr) v/cm⁻¹: 3044, 2925, 1781, 1725, 1509, 1409, 1375, 1313, 1090, 944, 755. CHN Calc. (%) for C₂₉H₁₀F₈N₂O₄ (602.40) C, 57.82; H, 1.67; N, 4.65. Found: C, 57.56; H, 1.82; N, 4.78; MS (EI): *m/z* (%) 602 (M⁺, 100%).

The other octafluoro monomers (1b, 1c, 1d & 1e) with different imide-linked spacers were synthesized and characterised

according to the above mentioned procedure [See ESI † Figs S1(a-e) and S2 (a-e)].

2.2. **1**b

Yield 76%; mp > 300 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 400 MHz, δ ppm): 8.2 (d), 7.8 (d), 7.75 (t). IR (KBr) v/cm⁻¹: 3077, 2971, 1789, 1725, 1733, 1509, 1409, 1313, 1090, 944, 751. CHN Calc. (%) for C₂₆H₆F ₈N₂O₄ (562.34) C, 55.53; H, 1.08; N, 4.98. Found: C, 55.60; H, 1.15; N, 5.16; MS (EI): *m/z* (%) 562 (M⁺⁻, 100%).

2.3. **1**c

Yield 70%; mp > 300 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 400 MHz, δ ppm) 2.08 (s, 12H); IR (KBr), ν /cm-1: 1792, 1730, 1511, 1499, 945. CHN Calc. (%) for C₂₆H₁₂F₈N₂O₄ (568.38): C, 54.94; H, 2.13; N, 4.93. Found: C, 54.92; H, 2.17; N, 5.16; MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) 568 (M⁺⁻, 60).

2.4. **1**d

Yield 75%; mp > 300 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 400 MHz, δ ppm): 7.61 (s, 4H), 2.20 (s, 12H); IR (KBr) v/cm⁻¹: 2971, 2926, 1777, 1728, 1509, 1405, 1363, 1181, 1098, 948, 792. CHN Calc. (%) for C₃₂H₁₆F ₈N₂O₄ (644.48) C, 59.64; H, 2.50; N, 4.35. Found: C, 59.56; H, 2.52; N, 4.57; MS (EI): *m/z* (%) 642 (M⁺, 100%).

2.5. **1**e

Yield 80%; mp > 300 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 400 MHz, δ ppm): 7.64 (d 4H) 7.59 (d, 4H); IR (KBr) v/cm⁻¹: 3106, 3045, 1784, 1728, 1719, 1604, 1517, 1366, 1251, 1205, 1176, 1133, 1089, 971, 940, 901, 839, 768, 739. CHN Calc. (%) for C₃₁H₈F₁₄N₂O₄ (738.40) C, 50.43; H, 1.09; N, 3.79. Found: C, 50.26; H, 1.18; N, 3.65; MS (EI): *m/z* (%) 738 (M⁺, 20%).

3. Synthesis of PIM-OFP-1

A mixture of monomer 1a (0.753 g, 1.25 mmol) and spirobiscatechol (0.851 g, 2.5 mmol) in dry DMF (100 ml) and finely ground anhydrous K₂CO₃ (2.1 g) was heated at 100 °C for 24 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into deionised water and the solid product collected by filtration and washed with methanol. The purification was done by refluxing the crude product with deionised water, THF, methanol and acetone respectively. The obtained yellow fluorescent powder was dried under vacuum 100 °C for 24 h (Yield 80%), mp > 300 °C; ¹³C CP/MAS NMR (150 MHz) δ ppm 167.78, 149.23, 147.42, 145.86, 143.8, 142.41, 132.42, 130.59, 128.42, 125.40, 118.11, 117.92, 117.68, 115.35, 112.67, 58.36, d 40.5, 29.5; IR (KBr) v/cm⁻¹: 3423, 2925, 2954, 1771, 1720, 1461, 1318, 1081, 986, 876, 787, 754. CHN Calc. (%) for C_{71H50}N₂O₁₂ (1122) C, 75.93; H, 4.49; N, 2.49. Found: C, 70.89; H, 4.98; N, 2.57.

The other framework polymers were synthesized by using the same procedure adopted for PIM-OFP-1 (Scheme 1).

3.1. PIM-OFP-2

Yield 72%; mp > 300 °C; 13 C CP/MAS NMR (150 MHz) δ 151.27, 149.70, 143.77, 130.12, 114.72, 61.06, 56.66, 46.49, 33.04; IR (KBr) v/ cm $^{-1}$: 3425, 2931, 2955, 1773, 1723, 1723,1461, 1318, 1211, 1091,984, 876, 782, 756. CHN Calc. (%) for C₆₈H₅₂N₂O₁₂ (1082) C, 75.41; H, 4.25; N, 2.49. Found: C, 72.49; H, 4.31; N, 2.37.

3.2. PIM-OFP-3

Yield 81%; mp > 300 °C; ¹³C CP/MAS NMR (150 MHz) δ 165.29,

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5179748

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5179748

Daneshyari.com