



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Polymer Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppolysci



Review

Specific control of cell–material interactions: Targeting cell receptors using ligand-functionalized polymer substrates

Andrew E. Rodda^{a,b,c}, Laurence Meagher^{b,c}, David R. Nisbet^d,
John S. Forsythe^{a,c,*}

^a Monash University, Department of Materials Engineering, Wellington Road, Clayton 3800, Victoria, Australia

^b CSIRO Materials Science and Engineering, Bayview Avenue, Clayton 3168, Victoria, Australia

^c Cooperative Research Centre for Polymers, 8 Redwood Drive, Notting Hill 3168, Victoria, Australia

^d School of Engineering, The Australian National University, Canberra 0200, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 July 2013

Received in revised form

18 November 2013

Accepted 26 November 2013

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Conformation

Orientation

Bioconjugation

Attachment

Immobilization

Bio-orthogonal

ABSTRACT

Cells respond to their environment in complex and sometimes poorly understood ways. Protein, peptide and synthetic peptidomimetic ligands may all be used to stimulate cells via receptor signaling, using interactions that are often highly specific. Polymer substrates that present these ligands provide a promising way to control cell development, both for applications in biotechnology and for fundamental studies of cell biology. Here we review a large range of techniques that have been employed to create and characterize ligand-functionalized substrates, with a particular focus on techniques that allow specific and consistent stimulation.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations: 2D/3D, two/three dimensional; AA, amino acid; AFM, atomic force microscope/microscopy; ATRP, atom transfer radical polymerization; BCA, bicinchoninic acid; bFGF, basic fibroblast growth factor; BSA, bovine serum albumin; CAM, cell adhesion molecule; CDI, 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole; CNTF, ciliary neurotrophic factor; CTA, chain transfer agent; CuAAC, copper-mediated azide-alkyne click (reaction); DPN, dip-pen nanolithography; EBL, electron beam lithography; ECM, extracellular matrix; EDC/NHS, *N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-*N*'-ethylcarbodiimide with *N*-hydroxysuccinimide activation; EGF, epidermal growth factor; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; ERK/MAPK, extracellular signal regulated kinase/mitogen-activated protein kinase; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; FRET, Förster resonance energy transfer; GAG, glycosaminoglycan; GF, growth factor; GNP, gold nanoparticle; His-6, hexahistidine; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; IPN, interpenetrating polymer network; IR, infrared; L-DOPA, L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine; LbL, layer-by-layer (deposition); LIF, leukaemia inhibitory factor; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; nCP, nanocontact printing; NGF, nerve growth factor; NMP, nitroxide-mediated polymerization; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy; NTA, nitriloacetic acid; PDMS, polydimethylsiloxane; PEG, poly (ethylene glycol); PI3k, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; QCM, quartz crystal microbalance; RAFT, reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer; SAM, self-assembled monolayer; SANPAH, *N*-sulfosuccinimidyl-6-(4'-azido-2'-nitrophenyl-amino) hexanoate; SAP, self-assembling peptide; SMCC, succinimidyl-4-(*N*-maleimidomethyl)cyclohexane-1-carboxylate; SPR, surface plasmon resonance; TBTA, tris((1-benzyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)amine; TGF- β , transforming growth factor beta; ToF-SIMS, time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry; μ CP, microcontact printing; UV, ultraviolet; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; XPS, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.

* Corresponding author at: Monash University, Department of Materials Engineering, Wellington Road, Clayton 3800, Victoria, Australia. Tel.: +61 3 99059609.

E-mail address: John.Forsythe@monash.edu (J.S. Forsythe).

0079-6700/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2013.11.006>

Please cite this article in press as: Rodda AE, et al. Specific control of cell–material interactions: Targeting cell receptors using ligand-functionalized polymer substrates. Prog Polym Sci (2013), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2013.11.006>

Contents

1. Introduction	00
2. Biofunctionality	00
2.1. Not all ligands are equal	00
2.1.1. Proteins versus peptides	00
2.1.2. Improving peptide biofunctionality	00
2.1.3. Synthetic peptidomimetics	00
2.2. Ligand–substrate interactions	00
2.2.1. Changes in conformation and binding site accessibility resulting from attachment to substrates	00
2.2.2. Ligand–receptor complex internalization	00
2.2.3. Low fouling substrates	00
2.2.4. Mechanical properties of the substrate	00
2.3. Biofunctionality conclusions	00
3. Presentation of ligands	00
3.1. Protein and peptide hydrogels	00
3.2. Conjugation of unmodified ligands	00
3.2.1. Non-specific adsorption	00
3.2.2. Affinity interactions	00
3.2.3. Covalent attachment of ligands via amines or carboxyls	00
3.2.4. Covalent attachment via thiols	00
3.2.5. Covalent attachment via other groups	00
3.3. Advanced conjugation with modified ligands	00
3.3.1. Introducing reactive groups	00
3.3.2. Advanced covalent coupling	00
3.3.3. Affinity interactions with modified ligands	00
3.4. Presentation methods summary and conclusions	00
4. Spatial and temporal control of ligand presentation	00
4.1. Two-dimensional patterning	00
4.1.1. Stamping	00
4.1.2. Photopatterning and electron beam lithography	00
4.1.3. Scanning probe methods	00
4.1.4. Techniques utilizing self-assembly of nanospheres on a surface	00
4.1.5. Block copolymers and polymer blends	00
4.2. Three-dimensional patterning	00
4.3. Spatially controlled co-presentation of different ligands	00
4.4. Temporal patterning	00
4.4.1. Passive release	00
4.4.2. Stimuli responsive spatiotemporal control: researcher-responsive changes	00
4.4.3. Stimuli responsive spatiotemporal control: cell-responsive changes	00
5. Quantification of surface-bound ligand	00
5.1. Ligand density	00
5.1.1. Non-specific methods	00
5.1.2. Ligand specific methods for unmodified (label-free) ligands	00
5.1.3. Ligand specific methods using modified ligands	00
5.2. Ligand bioactivity	00
5.3. Quantification conclusions	00
6. Conclusions	00
Acknowledgements	00
References	00

1. Introduction

Receptors in the cellular membrane are a vital way in which cells can sense and respond to their local environment. Binding between cell receptors and their ligands, which may include proteins, peptides and synthetic molecules, is tightly controlled by physical, electrostatic and hydrophobic/hydrophilic interactions between the two. These interactions make ligand–receptor binding highly specific and therefore a prime candidate for tightly controlled cell stimulation.

Ligand-functionalized culture substrates are a promising method for achieving this stimulation [1]. The

advantages of using functionalized polymer substrates, compared to soluble ligands, vary with circumstance. They may include: receptors requiring a surface-bound ligand for activation (e.g., many adhesion signals); increased receptor stimulation time and concordant increased length of signaling period; ability to control ligand release over a period of time; ability to use smaller amounts of (sometimes quite expensive) ligand; and the ability to achieve continual stimulation in vivo without repeated dosing at high concentrations. Functionalized substrates may also be useful for creating simplified models of the in vivo microenvironment for the study of fundamental biological interactions, reproducible drug toxicology/efficacy

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5208152>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5208152>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)