

# NO conversion in presence of O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and SO<sub>2</sub>: Improvement of a Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst by Zr and Sn, and influence of the reducer C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> or C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>

I. Salem, X. Courtois <sup>\*</sup>, E.C. Corbos, P. Marecot, D. Duprez

*Laboratoire de Catalyse en Chimie Organique (LACCO), University of Poitiers, UMR 6503, 40 Avenue du Recteur Pineau, 86022 Poitiers Cedex, France*

Received 2 April 2007; received in revised form 2 July 2007; accepted 25 July 2007

Available online 1 August 2007

## Abstract

The aim of this work was to improve the SCR activity of a Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> model catalyst by ZrO<sub>2</sub> (20 wt%) and/or SnO<sub>2</sub> (2 wt%) addition. The activity of the catalysts was measured in lean mixture containing C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> or C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> (0–700 ppm).

With propene, ZrO<sub>2</sub> and SnO<sub>2</sub> addition improve the optimal NO<sub>x</sub> conversion (near 250 °C) and decrease the corresponding N<sub>2</sub>O selectivity, especially with SO<sub>2</sub> in the feed stream (sulfated catalysts). For higher temperatures, the NO<sub>x</sub> conversion decrease is associated with the formation of nitric acid at the reactor outlet (25% nitrate selectivity at 300 °C). With propane, the NO<sub>x</sub> conversion is only attributable to the formation of nitric acid, no significant reduction was observed.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** SCR; C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>; C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>; SO<sub>2</sub>; Pt; SnO<sub>2</sub>; ZrO<sub>2</sub>

## 1. Introduction

The standards of rejection of the atmospheric pollutants become more and more severe. If the gas mixture contains a large excess of oxygen (e.g. lean-burn gasoline and diesel engines or incinerators), the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction in N<sub>2</sub> becomes difficult. Moreover, for some industrial applications, the exhaust gas can contain large amounts of SO<sub>2</sub>, until several hundreds ppm. In these conditions, the use of a NO<sub>x</sub>-trap catalyst [1] is not possible, because of its high sensitivity to deactivation by sulfate formation [2,3]. Then, the NO<sub>x</sub> selective catalytic reduction (SCR) is one possible way to treat these gases [4,5]. Usually, the process involves the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction with ammonia or urea [6,7] but there is a risk of ammonia emission. Other reducers like hydrogen [8,9] or carbon monoxide [10] can be used, but they react preferentially with oxygen [11]. Hydrocarbons can lead to

interesting results particularly with propene and propane. The optimum NO<sub>x</sub> reduction temperature window is narrow but the temperature can be controlled more easily in fixed sources.

NO<sub>x</sub> reduction with hydrocarbons was extensively studied on noble metals as on oxides. Platinum is one of the best metallic phases for this reaction but the undesirable N<sub>2</sub>O formation can be high [12], depending of the metal dispersion [13] and support acidity [14,15]. The commonly used platinum on alumina catalyst can be further promoted by a wide range of metals and/or oxides [16–18].

A sulfating step or the presence of SO<sub>2</sub> in the mixture can lead to different effects on the NO<sub>x</sub> conversion, depending on the catalyst composition and the reducer.

For instance, with alkanes as reducers, a positive effect of sulfating is generally observed. Hamada et al. [19] have pointed out a promoting effect of sulfating for single oxides catalysts such as Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub>, in the case of the SCR with propane. The beneficial sulfating treatment of ZrO<sub>2</sub>-based catalysts is claimed by several authors. Li et al. have investigated the catalytic performance of

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 (0)549453994; fax: +33 (0)549453741.  
E-mail address: [xavier.courtois@univ-poitiers.fr](mailto:xavier.courtois@univ-poitiers.fr) (X. Courtois).

numerous promoted zirconia samples (Co, Mn, In, Ni) for the SCR of NO with methane. They found that Co exhibited the highest promoting effect on the activity of sulfated zirconia catalysts [20], whereas non-sulfated Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> was inactive. Positive effects of sulfating were also observed on Ga/ZrO<sub>2</sub> with propane and methane as reducer [21], on Ag/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [22] with propane, and on Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with *iso*-butane [23]. On platinum, a decrease of N<sub>2</sub>O formation was also observed. On the contrary, Burch et al. [4] have observed an inhibition of the NO SCR with C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> on a sulfated Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst which was attributed to the sulfate formation on alumina. In the case of the C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>-SCR, a high SO<sub>2</sub> tolerance of a pre-sulfated 1% Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was found by addition of 2% Sn on the catalyst [24].

The results can also be varied with alkenes as reducer. The presence of 20 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> increases the activity of a Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst for NO reduction with C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> [25] while a In/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst [26,27] is inhibited by SO<sub>2</sub> (100 ppm). On Ag/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, different results were obtained with propene as reducer since a promoting effect of SO<sub>2</sub> was observed by Angelidis et al. [28] while some authors claimed the opposite [29–31]. In opposition with the C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>-SCR results, Burch and Walting [4] have observed no inhibition of SO<sub>2</sub> for the C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-SCR of NO with a sulfated Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. However, the SO<sub>2</sub> resistance of Sn was also demonstrated with propene as reducer [32].

Then, contradictory results can be found in the literature about the SO<sub>2</sub> impact on the NO SCR. They can be attributed to different protocols (steady-state measurement or continuous increase of the temperature from room temperature) and different reaction mixtures. Often, they are not always fully representative, with no CO<sub>2</sub> and especially with no water in the feed stream. Moreover, there is no study with high SO<sub>2</sub> contents as it can be found in some industrial applications.

The aim of this work was to improve the SCR activity of a Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst tested under severe conditions, with a lean mixture containing C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> or C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> (0–700 ppm). Thus, the Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was modified by ZrO<sub>2</sub> (20 wt%) and/or SnO<sub>2</sub> (2 wt%), both being promising promoting compounds.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

The Pt/ZrO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (noted Pt/ZrAl) was prepared using the following method. A  $\gamma$ -alumina powder (BET surface area: 102 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>−1</sup>) was impregnated with a solution of Zr(O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, in order to obtain 20 wt% ZrO<sub>2</sub> on alumina. After drying at 120 °C for 12 h, the powder was calcined at 600 °C for 4 h in synthetic air. The resulting ZrO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support was then impregnated with a Pt( NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution in order to obtain 1 wt% Pt. For the bimetallic Pt–Sn/ZrO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (noted Pt–Sn/ZrAl), the ZrO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support was co-impregnated with H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> and SnCl<sub>4</sub> solutions in order to obtain

1 wt% Pt and 2 wt% Sn, respectively. After drying and calcination (600 °C, 4 h), the catalyst was reduced at 450 °C for 4 h under pure H<sub>2</sub>, and finally activated at 600 °C for 4 h under a 10% H<sub>2</sub>O–N<sub>2</sub> mixture. Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Pt–Sn/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts (noted Pt/Al and Pt–Sn/Al, respectively) were prepared following the same way. The BET area of Pt/Al, Pt/ZrAl, Pt–Sn/Al and Pt–Sn/ZrAl are 104, 103, 94 and 88 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>−1</sup>, respectively. These catalysts are named fresh catalysts.

In the sulfating process, the catalyst sample was exposed to a 700 ppm SO<sub>2</sub>, 5% O<sub>2</sub>, 5% H<sub>2</sub>O, 10% CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> mixture at 500 °C for 1 h. Then, the sample was treated at 500 °C under a 10% O<sub>2</sub>, 10% H<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> mixture for 30 min in order to eliminate the platinum sulfates. Chemical analysis of the samples before and after the sulfating treatment did not show significant changes in platinum and tin loading. The sulfur content was evaluated by H<sub>2</sub> temperature programmed reduction (TPR) from room temperature up to 800 °C. Assuming that one sulfate needs four H<sub>2</sub> (\*-SO<sub>4</sub> + 4H<sub>2</sub> → \*-S + 4H<sub>2</sub>O or \*-SO<sub>4</sub> + 4H<sub>2</sub> → \*-O + H<sub>2</sub>S + 3H<sub>2</sub>O, where \* is an adsorption site), the TPR comparison between fresh and sulfated catalysts allows to calculate the sulfur content. Results are summarized in Table 1. Note that (i) the theoretical sulfur content is 2 wt% if all the sulfur were deposited, (ii) same results are obtained after 4 h under the sulfating mixture and (iii) the sulfur content after test is not significantly modified.

The increase of the sulfating rate with ZrO<sub>2</sub> addition is attributed to stronger basic sites on zirconia compared to alumina. The H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profile of Pt/Al exhibits a maximum near 460 °C, whereas it is shifted to 540 °C for Pt/ZrAl. The decrease of the sulfur content after Sn addition seems to be linked to the decrease of the specific area (−12% and −14%, respectively).

### 2.2. Catalytic activity measurements

Catalytic activity was measured using a fixed bed reactor. The reaction gas mixture contained 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> or C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>, 5% O<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 5% H<sub>2</sub>O and SO<sub>2</sub> (0–700 ppm) diluted in N<sub>2</sub>. The total flow rate was 10 L h<sup>−1</sup> and GHSV = 10,000 h<sup>−1</sup>.

Prior to each experimental run, the catalyst was pre-treated in-situ under 5% O<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 5% H<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> for 30 min at 500 °C. After cooling, the catalytic activity was measured by steps of 25 °C or 50 °C from 200 °C to 400 °C, after a 30 min stabilization. Before analysis, the outlet gas was dried first in a trap at 0 °C and then with a membrane dryer. NO<sub>x</sub> were analyzed with a chemilumi-

Table 1  
Sulfur content (wt%) of the sulfated and tested catalysts

| Sulfur content (wt%)         | Pt/Al | Pt/ZrAl | Pt–Sn/ZrAl |
|------------------------------|-------|---------|------------|
| Sulfated catalyst            | 1.06  | 1.33    | 1.17       |
| Tested catalyst <sup>a</sup> | 1.10  | 1.34    | 1.22       |

<sup>a</sup> Propene as reducer, 50 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> in the feed stream.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/52233>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/52233>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)