Tetrahedron Letters 58 (2017) 3932-3935

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Pummerer rearrangement using bis(*p*-nitrophenyl) phosphorazidate as an azidation reagent: A novel synthesis of azidomethyl sulfides

Kotaro Ishihara, Takayuki Shioiri, Masato Matsugi*

Faculty of Agriculture, Meijo University, 1-501 Shiogamaguchi, Tempaku, Nagoya 468-8502, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 25 July 2017 Revised 25 August 2017 Accepted 28 August 2017 Available online 2 September 2017

Keywords: Pummerer rearrangement Sulfoxide Bis(p-nitrophenyl) phosphorazidate Azidation α-Azido sulfide

The Pummerer rearrangement, whereby sulfoxides react with electrophiles to give α -substituted sulfides, has been widely studied since it was first reported in 1909.¹ Different substituted groups can be introduced at the α -position of the sulfide by employing different nucleophiles.² However, although a broad range of Pummerer rearrangement reactions are known, only two reactions have been reported in which the azide ion is employed as the nucleophile.³

The azide group is an extremely useful functional group as it can be converted into a wide range of substituents. In particular, Huisgen cycloaddition, which affords triazoles from azides and alkynes, has been extensively used in click chemistry to prepare functional substances.⁴ Recently, triazoles derived from α -azido sulfides have been attracting increasing attention owing to their application as bioactive compounds such as histone deacetylase inhibitors,⁵ ricin toxin A inhibitors,⁶ and quorum sensing modulators (QSM).⁷

The general strategy for the synthesis of α -azido sulfides is the use of substitution reactions between α -halo sulfides and azidating agents.⁸ However, these reactions can be problematic owing to the use of toxic or explosive azide sources. Consequently, safer and more user-friendly methods for the synthesis of α -azido sulfides would be of enormous benefit to organic chemistry.

The azidation reagent bis(p-nitrophenyl) phosphorazidate $(p-NO_2DPPA)^9$ is less explosive than other currently employed

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: matsugi@meijo-u.ac.jp (M. Matsugi). azidation regents owing to its stabilization by the conjugated phosphorus atom. Thus, we reasoned that the use of p-NO₂DPPA as the azide ion source in the Pummerer rearrangement would be an attractive strategy. We also hypothesized that p-NO₂DPPA would also act as an electrophile for the activation of the sulfoxide moiety.

A novel method for the synthesis of azidomethyl sulfides by Pummerer rearrangement using bis(p-nitro-

phenyl) phosphorazidate (p-NO₂DPPA) as an azidation reagent was developed. Various methyl sulfoxides

were converted into the corresponding azidomethyl sulfides. Importantly, this reaction enables the

preparation of azidomethyl sulfides without the use of toxic or explosive azide sources.

Herein, we report the use of p-NO₂DPPA as both the electrophile and azide source in the Pummerer rearrangement for the synthesis of α -azido sulfides. This method enables the preparation of α -azido sulfides without the use of toxic or explosive azide sources *via* a facile synthetic procedure.

First, we investigated whether azidation *via* Pummerer-type reactions with p-NO₂DPPA is feasible using methyl phenyl sulfoxide as a model substrate. The reaction conditions were explored by varying different reaction parameters, including reagent equivalents, reaction time, and the base and solvent employed (Table 1).

In the absence of base or at room temperature, the reaction does not proceed in toluene, even in the presence of two equivalents of p-NO₂DPPA (Table 1, entries 1–2). Conversely, the desired α -azido sulfide is obtained in 41% yield in the presence of two equivalents of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) at reflux (Table 1, entry 3). However, under these conditions, the extended reaction time leads to decomposition of the product, decreasing the yield (Table 1, entries 4–6). The yield is improved by increasing the quantities of p-NO₂DPPA and DABCO employed, whereas the use of an excess of DABCO over p-NO₂DPPA lowers the yield (Table 1, entries 7–9). The reaction proceeds smoothly using 2.5 equivalents of p-NO₂DPPA and DABCO to give the product in 79% yield in 2 h





© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions.

| Entry | Reagent (eq.) | Base (eq.) | Solvent | Temp (°C) | Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| 1 | p-NO2DPPA (2.0) | _ | Toluene | 110 | 16 | Trace |
| 2 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.0) | DABCO (2.0) | Toluene | rt | 16 | nd |
| 3 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.0) | DABCO (2.0) | Toluene | 110 | 0.5 | 41 |
| 4 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.0) | DABCO (2.0) | Toluene | 110 | 1 | 64 |
| 5 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.0) | DABCO (2.0) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 61 |
| 6 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.0) | DABCO (2.0) | Toluene | 110 | 16 | 36 |
| 7 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.0) | DABCO (2.5) | Toluene | 110 | 1 | 40 |
| 8 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DABCO (2.0) | Toluene | 110 | 1 | 62 |
| 9 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DABCO (2.5) | Toluene | 110 | 1 | 64 |
| 10 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DABCO (2.5) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 79 |
| 11 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DMAP (2.5) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 66 |
| 12 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | Quinuclidine (2.5) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 57 |
| 13 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | Pyridine (2.5) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 30 |
| 14 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | Pyridine (5.0) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 58 |
| 15 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | 2,6-Lutidine (5.0) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 28 |
| 16 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | Et ₃ N (5.0) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | Trace |
| 17 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DIPEA (5.0) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | Trace |
| 18 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DBU (5.0) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | Trace |
| 19 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DABCO (2.5) | DMF | 110 | 2 | 18 |
| 20 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DABCO (2.5) | 1,4-Dioxane | 101 | 2 | 70 |
| 21 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DABCO (2.5) | THF | 66 | 2 | 8 |
| 22 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DABCO (2.5) | MeCN | 82 | 2 | 37 |
| 23 | $p-NO_2DPPA(2.5)$ | _ ``` | Pyridine | 115 | 2 | 16 |
| 24 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DABCO (2.5) | CPME | 106 | 2 | 65 |
| 25 | $p-NO_2DPPA$ (2.5) | DABCO (2.5) | Xylene | 138 | 2 | 16 |
| 26 | DPPA (2.5) | DABCO (2.5) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 47 |
| 27 | DPPA (3.0) | DABCO (3.0) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 54 |
| 28 | DPPA (3.5) | DABCO (3.5) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 66 |
| 29 | DPPA (4.0) | DABCO (4.0) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 61 |
| 30 | DPPA (5.0) | DABCO (5.0) | Toluene | 110 | 2 | 53 |

(Table 1, entry 10). Each corresponding sulfide was obtained in all cases. Moreover, a considerable amount of the starting material (methyl phenyl sulfoxide) remained, except for the optimum condition (Table 1, entry 10).

Although the desired product is obtained in satisfactory yield under the above conditions, we attempted to further optimize the reaction conditions by investigating different bases. However, no improvement over the use of DABCO is observed (Table 1, entries 11-18).


The effect of different solvents was also examined, and it was found that the use solvents other than toluene lowers the yield (Table 1, entries 19–25). The use of DPPA¹⁰ affords the desired product in moderate yield, but a large excess of reagents is required owing to the low reactivity of DPPA compared to that of p-NO₂-DPPA (Table 1, entries 26-30). When the reaction was performed under same conditions, the use of DPPA decreased the yield compared with *p*-NO₂DPPA (Table 1, entries 10 vs. 26).

Encouraged by these results, the substrate scope was investigated using various sulfoxides, as shown in Table 2. However, sulfoxides with substituent groups other than the methyl group do not afford the corresponding α -azido sulfides in high yields. This may be due to the reactions being suppressed by steric hindrance at the reaction center. In addition, sulfoxides adjacent to the active methylene groups give the disulfide as a byproduct. These results indicate that this reaction is significantly affected by steric hindrance.

Therefore, we limited the substrate scope to methyl sulfoxides and expanded the reaction to the broad range of methyl sulfoxides

Table 2 Scope of the Pummerer-type azidation.

$$Ph^{-S} \xrightarrow{R^{1}}_{R^{2}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{p-NO_{2}DPPA (2.5 \text{ eq.})}_{\text{toluene, reflux, 2 h}} Ph^{-S} \xrightarrow{N_{3}}_{R^{1}} R^{2}$$



^a Diphenyl disulfide was obtained as a byproduct.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5264344

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5264344

Daneshyari.com