Tetrahedron Letters 53 (2012) 119-122

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet



etrahedro

Unexpected highly diastereoconvergent Grignard additions to *D*-xylofuranose-derived *t*-butanesulfinyl aldimines

Bing Wang^{a,b,*}, Pei-Pei Zhang^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai 200433, China
^b Institutes of Biomedical Sciences, Fudan University, 138 Yixueyuan Road, Shanghai 200032, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 August 2011 Revised 11 October 2011 Accepted 18 October 2011 Available online 7 November 2011

Keywords: t-Butanesulfinyl imine Grignard reagent Addition Diastereoconvergent Carbohydrate

ABSTRACT

Unexpected high levels of diastereoconvergence (dr >15:1) were observed in the addition of a series of Grignard reagents in THF to *D*-xylose-derived *t*-BS addimines **2a,b** affording (S_s ,SR)- and (R_s ,SR)-adducts. This anomaly was absent when using ethereal solutions of organometallic reagents, revealing the subtle solvent effects. This study illustrates the scope and limitations of *N*-*t*-BS imine chemistry in complex systems.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Recent development in the chemistry of *t*-butanesulfinyl imines has greatly advanced the asymmetric synthesis of chiral α branched amines, amino alcohols, amino acids, vicinal diamines, aziridines, etc.¹ For simple substrates, the sense of asymmetric induction was solely dictated by the sulfinyl auxiliary, whereas in substrates with multiple stereogenic centers, the effect of this chiral auxiliary also predominated.² To date, only a few clear-cut exceptions have been noted, in which a diastereomeric pair of *Nt*-BS imines exhibited the same diastereofacial selectivity toward the C=N bond regardless of the sulfinyl chirality.³ As a part of our ongoing projects concerning alkaloids⁴ and nucleosides,⁵ we planned to exploit the powerful and predictable chiral induction of *t*-butanesulfinyl for stereodivergent synthesis based on carbohydrate scaffolds. However, an unexpected result was encountered, and herein we report our preliminary findings.

Compared to analogous nitrones⁶ and oximes,⁷ sugar-derived *N*-*t*-BS imines have rarely been explored.⁸ The *t*-butanesulfinyl imines in interest were easily prepared from p-glucose in five routine steps (Scheme 1). 3-O-Benzyl-1,2:5,6-diacetonide **1**⁹ was selectively deprotected at the less hindered site,¹⁰ and the resulting 5,6-diol was oxidatively cleaved by NaIO₄. The crude aldehyde was condensed with *R*_S- and *S*_S-*t*-butanesulfinamides, respectively, to afford a diastereomeric pair of Ellman's imines **2a** and **2b** with a carbohydrate backbone in good yields.¹¹



Scheme 1. Preparation of D-xylofuranose-derived t-butanesulfinyl imines.

Initially, the addition of vinyl Grignard reagent to 2a and 2b was examined.¹² Both reactions appeared to be highly diastereoselective, affording *N*-*t*-BS allylic amines which were virtually diastereopure (dr > 50:1) in high yields, as judged by NMR of the crude adducts. The absolute configuration of **3a**, the adduct derived from S_s-sulfinimine **2a**, was unambiguously established by single crystal X-ray crystallography to be $(S_s, 5R)$.¹³ The other adduct **3b**, derived from *R*_S-sulfinimine **2b**, was an oil. Under the assumption that the chiral sulfinyl dictated the diastereoselectivity, we reasoned that **3b** was of the $(R_{S}, 5S)$ configuration. In order to prepare a crystalline derivative for rigorous structural determination by X-ray analysis, the chiral auxiliary was selectively removed using 2 M HCl without affecting the 1,2-acetonide, and the amine was derivatized as the corresponding 3,5-dinitrobenzamide 4b (Scheme 2). Unfortunately, it was still an oil, and this prompted us to prepare the analogous N-3,5-dinitrobenzoylated 4a from 3a for a comparison of their NMR spectra. Indirect assignment of the C-5 configuration of 4b can thus be made. To our surprise, the conceived epimers **4a** and **4b** were identical in all respects.¹⁴ Thus we concluded that the C-5 configuration of **3b** was also *R*. More importantly, this

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 21 54237570. E-mail address: wangbing@fudan.edu.cn (B. Wang).



Scheme 2. Vinyl Grignard addition to 2a,b and correlation of C-5 absolute configurations of 3a and 3b. ORTEP drawing for 3a.

means that the addition of vinylmagnesium bromide to 2a and 2b proceeded in an unexpected highly diastereoconvergent fashion, both from the *Re* face of the C=N double bond.

Stimulated by this unusual phenomenon, we then investigated the diastereoselectivities for the additions of other carbanions to determine whether this was general in scope (Table 1). Initially, when using (for convenience) commercial 3.0 M ethereal solutions of PhMgBr or EtMgBr, the stereochemical outcome diverged with that of vinyl addition, especially for the $S_{\rm S}$ -imine **2a**. Phenyl addition to 2a afforded predominantly (5S)-adduct 3d (entry 3), whose structure was established by X-ray analysis (Fig. 1).¹⁵ The analogous ethyl addition to 2a was almost non-selective (entry 5). Additions to **2b** proceeded with lower drs (7.5–12:1) as compared to the vinyl addition (entries 4 and 6). When using TMSC=CMgBr in Et₂O as a nucleophile, no desired adducts were detected (entries 7 and 8). It occurred to us that the apparent irregular behaviors of different Grignard species might be attributed to their respective co-solvents, although the volume of these ethereal Grignard solutions was just a fraction of CH₂Cl₂ used as the solvent for 2.

Indeed, after shifting the co-solvent to THF, all these additions proceeded in a diastereoconvergent manner, yielding the 5*R*-adducts in high *drs* (>15:1) regardless of the sulfur chirality (Table 2). Notably, addition of TMSC=CMgBr prepared in THF afforded the propargylic adducts **3k**,**l** in good yields and high *drs* (entries 9 and 10), with the exception that these reactions were carried out at -20 °C overnight. In view of the importance of co-solvent, we also tested on substrate **2a** using THF as the sole solvent, as

Table 1

Diastereoselectivity of Grignard addition to 2a,b

$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} $				
Entry	Imine	R, concn, co-solvent	3 (%) ^a	dr ^b
1	2a	Vinyl, 0.7 M, THF	3a , 87	50:1 ^c
2	2b	Vinyl, 0.7 M, THF	3b , 85	50:1 ^c
3	2a	Ph, 3.0 M, Et ₂ O	3c, 3d, 92	1:16 ^c
4	2b	Ph, 3.0 M, Et ₂ O	3e, 3f, 85	7.5:1 ^c
5	2a	Et, 3.0 M, Et ₂ O	3g, 3h, 93	1.3:1 ^d
6	2b	Et, 3.0 M, Et ₂ O	3i, 3j , 87	12:1 ^c
7	2a	TMS-C=C, 0.7 M, Et ₂ O	Complex	nd
8	2b	TMS-C \equiv C, 0.7 M, Et ₂ O	Complex	nd

^a Combined isolated yields of both diastereomers.

^b Diastereomeric ratio of (5*R*)- to (5*S*)-.

^c Determined by NMR.

 $^{\rm d}$ Determined by the isolated yields of each diastereomer.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing for 3d.

well as inverse order of addition. In the former case, no reaction was observed, and **2a** was recovered (entry 5). When a solution of **2a** in CH₂Cl₂ was added to 0.7 M PhMgBr in THF under -78 °C, the high *dr* was maintained, albeit the conversion dropped to ~50% (entry 6). These results clearly indicated a pronounced effect of the co-solvent: Grignard species dissolved in THF afforded high levels of diastereoconvergence, while ethereal solutions of the same nucleophiles produced widely varying and often unsatisfactory *drs*. Such solvent effect has not been emphasized in previous studies.¹⁶

The methods of stereochemistry determination for the adducts **3a–l** were outlined in Table 3. Diimide reduction¹⁷ of the vinyl group of **3a** and **3b** afforded ethyl analogs **3g** and **3i**, respectively. Similarly, removal of TMS ($K_2CO_3/MeOH$) in **3k** and **3l** followed by saturation of the triple bond produced **3g** and **3i**, respectively. In this manner, the C-5 configuration of **3g–l** was established. Removal of *t*-BS and *N*-benzoylation of **3d** and **3f** gave the same benzamide **4d** to establish the C-5 configuration of **3c–f**. Thus, with the aid of X-ray and chemical correlation, the structures of all adducts were unambiguously assigned.

Although it has been reported that carbanion addition to the analogous carbohydrate-derived nitrones^{6b} and *N*-benzyl imines¹⁸ proceeded in moderate to high stereoselectivity, the uniformly

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5265738

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5265738

Daneshyari.com