ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet



Synthesis of polycyclic fused 2-quinolones in aqueous micellar system

Subhendu Naskar, Pritam Saha, Rupankar Paira, Abhijit Hazra, Priyankar Paira, Shyamal Mondal, Arindam Maity, Krishnendu B. Sahu, Sukdeb Banerjee, Nirup B. Mondal*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, 4 Raja S.C. Mullick Road, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700 032, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 November 2009 Revised 23 December 2009 Accepted 10 January 2010 Available online 14 January 2010

Keywords: Fused quinolone Micelle Reaction in water

ABSTRACT

A high yielding green protocol has been developed for the synthesis of tri-, tetra-, and pentacyclic fused 2-quinolones in micellar medium. The method is more effective compared to phase-transfer catalytic (PTC) method in terms of the yield of the product as well as the reaction time. It is operationally simple as well as environmentally benign.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

In recent years, considerable interest has been noticed among the synthetic organic chemists to perform organic reactions in water¹ because it is abundant in nature, has virtually no cost, and is safest among all available solvents, thus leading to environmentally benign chemical processes.² But the limited solubility of various organic compounds in water is the prime hindrance in performing reactions in aqueous media. The development that has contributed to some extent to overcome this hindrance is the introduction of aqueous surfactant solutions in the form of micelles³ as the reaction medium. In conventional micellar catalysis, the surfactant micelles act to locally concentrate all reactants within the solution, both by solubilization due to hydrophobic effect and by counter ion binding due to electrostatic forces. The solubilization of water-insoluble reactants and products inside the micelles results not only in high concentration within the small volume, but also in different orientations of the soluble molecules that influence the reaction mechanism, resulting in remarkable differences in reaction rate and selectivity than would be observed in a homogeneous system.⁴

In recent times, quinolone antibiotics have received much attention due to their inhibitory effect on bacterial DNA gyrase,⁵ an enzyme essential for DNA replication. After the discovery of nybomycin,⁶ a number of quinolone antibacterials have been developed which possess either 2-quinolone or 4-quinolone moieties in their core. Considerable interest has been noticed in developing 2-quinolones as anticancer, antiviral, and antihypertensive agents.⁷ 4-Substituted 3-phenyl-2-quinolones exhibit high affinity in binding to the glycine site of *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor, and

such antagonists hold promise for the treatment of several central nervous system disorders.⁸ Amides of 3-hydroxy/alkyl-4-carboxylic acids of 2-quinolones also exhibit high affinity for the 5-HT3 serotonin receptor.^{8b}

In our ongoing endeavor for the syntheses of fused 2-quinolones, very recently we have developed a methodology for the synthesis of fused tri-, tetra-, and pentacyclic quinolones having six-, seven-, and eight-membered ring systems from easily available 8-hydroxyquinolines and 1,ω-dihaloalkanes/xylenes/methylquinoxalines in water-dichloromethane biphasic system using a phase-transfer catalyst⁹ (TBAB). However, the methodology had some shortcomings, like low yields of the quinolones (30-50%), formation of the quinolinium cation as a mixture, long reaction time, and use of environmentally hazardous organic solvents. We have now successfully overcome these by developing a truly green protocol for the synthesis of fused tri-, tetra-, and pentacyclicfused quinolones with excellent yields in a short reaction time using cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) as a micellar catalyst (MC) in aqueous media, without the use of any organic solvent in the reaction.

Initially we took up the synthesis of the tricyclic fused 2-quinolone $\bf 3a$, for which we chose 8-hydroxyquinoline ($\bf 1a$) and 1,2-dibromoethane ($\bf 2a$) as model starting materials. These were reacted in the presence of aq NaOH (10%) and a surfactant in water at room temperature for different time periods to evaluate the effect of various conditions. We also investigated the reactions systematically in aqueous solutions of cationic, anionic, and nonionic surfactants well above their critical micellar concentrations (CMC) in order to study the effect of surfactant solutions. The results revealed that the reactions carried out without a surfactant was ineffective even up to 24 h (Table 1, entry 1). However, reaction using tetradecyltrimethylam-

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 33 2473 3491; fax: +91 33 2473 5197. E-mail address: nirup@iicb.res.in (N.B. Mondal).

Table 1Effect of concentration of the surfactants on the yield of **3a**

Entry ^a	Surfactant	Concentration (mM)	Yield ^b (%)	
			In presence of TBAB ^c	In absence of TBAB
1	None	_	NR ^d	NR ^d
2	CTAB	30	25	24
3	CTAB	40	55	53
4	CTAB	50	75	74
5	CTAB	60	95	95
6	CTAB	70	95	95
7	CTAB	80	96	95
8	TTAB	60	75	75
9	SDS	60	60	NR ^d
10	Triton X-114	60	65	NR ^d

- $^{\rm a}$ All the reactions were performed using ${f 1a}$ and ${f 2a}$ in water at room temperature for 1 h.
- ^b Yield of isolated pure products.
- ^c Catalytic amount (0.05 mmol) of TBAB was used in all cases.
- d No reaction.

monium bromide (TTAB: cmc value 3.8 mM)^{10a} at a concentration of 60 mM yielded 75% of the product **3a** (entry 8). The most striking yield (95%) was obtained when the reaction was performed using cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB: cmc value 0.92 mM)^{10b} at 60 mM concentration for 1 h (entry 5). However, following the same protocol but using a 30 mM concentration of CTAB, the yield of the product was diminished to 24% (entry 2). On the other hand no significant increase in the yield was observed on enhancement of the concentration of CTAB beyond 60 mM (entry 6). When the reaction was carried out in the presence of the anionic surfactant sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS: cmc value 8.1 mM)^{10c} at the same concentration (60 mM) no product was isolated (Table 1, entry 9). This may be due to the mutual repulsion of the negatively charged

phenoxide groups of 8-hydroxyquinolines and sulfate groups of SDS resulting in minimal interaction (between phenoxide group of 8-hydroxyguinoline and micelle) with the other reactant (1.2-dibromoethane) located in the reaction system. In order to overcome this limitation, we used a catalytic amount (0.05 mmol) of tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB) as phase-transfer catalyst along with the anionic surfactant. Gratifyingly, we obtained the fused quinolone 3a in 60% yield (Table 1, entry 9). In this case the role of the phasetransfer catalyst was to shuttle the hydrophilic reactant into the micellar pseudophase for reaction with the solubilized lipophile.¹¹ Nonionic surfactant like Triton X-114 (cmc 0.28 mM)^{10d} also failed to yield **3a** even at concentration 60 mM, but the yield was better compared to anionic surfactant when the catalytic amount (0.05 mmol) of the phase-transfer catalyst was used (Table 1, entry 10). In the case of cationic surfactants like CTAB or TTAB, no significant changes in yields were observed in the presence of phase-transfer catalyst (Table 1, entries 2-8), as the cationic surfactant itself could perform a dual role.

The plausible mechanism for the formation of fused quinolone is depicted in Figure 1. In micellar media the reactants (1a and 2a) are in very close proximity and it is presumed that the initial formation of the quinoline ether (A) is formed through condensation of 8-hydroxyquinoline (1a) and 1,2-dibromoethane (2a) is followed by intramolecular attack of the quinoline ring nitrogen to form the quinolinium salt (a), as described earlier, which on arial oxidation leads to a.

In order to establish the generality and scope of this new methodology, we used different derivatives of 8-hydroxyquinoline and $1,\omega$ -dihaloalkanes/xylenes/methyl-quinoxalines as alkylating agents. The results, summarized in Table 2, reveal that the yields of the fused quinolones were reduced with increase in the ring size (i.e., the yield of 6,6,6 > 6,6,7 > 6,6,8 ring system), and no product formation was observed in case of 1,5-dibromopentane or

Fig. 1. Plausible pathway for the formation of 3a.

Synthesis of fused quinolones using micellar catalyst (MC) in water at different conditions^a

8-Hydroxy quinoline	Alkylating agent	Fused 2-quinolone	Yields ^b (%)	Ref.
R ¹ OH	BrCH ₂ CH ₂ Br 2a	R^1		
$1a (R^1 = R^2 = H)$		3a	95	9a
1b $(R^1 = Cl, R^2 = H)$		3b	92	9a
$1c (R^1 = R^2 = Cl)$		3c	92	_
1d $(R^1 = R^2 = Br)$		3d	95	9a
1e $(R^1 = Cl, R^2 = I$		3e	95	_

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5270370

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5270370

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>