#### Tetrahedron Letters 52 (2011) 34-37

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Tetrahedron Letters** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

## Ceric ammonium nitrate-mediated detritylation of tritylated amines

### Sankha Pattanayak, Surajit Sinha\*

Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700 032, India

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 21 September 2010 Revised 16 October 2010 Accepted 24 October 2010 Available online 30 October 2010

#### Keywords:

Trityl deprotection Ceric ammonium nitrate Deprotection mechanism Morpholino nucleosides Cerium(III) EPR

The triphenylmethyl (trityl) moiety is a valuable protecting group for the hydroxyl, amine and thiol functionalities.<sup>1</sup> The bulky size of trityl gives high selectivity for protection and the derivatives are mostly crystalline solids which can be easily separated and purified by recrystallization. For *N*-detritylation, acidolysis with protonic acids (e.g., HCl, HBr, CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, CCl<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H)<sup>2</sup> or Lewis acids (e.g., Yb(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, ZnBr<sub>2</sub>, diisopropylaluminum chloride)<sup>3</sup> is the widely used method but still HCl and CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H are the most common reagents.<sup>4</sup> In addition, Pd mediated hydrogenolysis,<sup>5</sup> harsh conditions like reductive demercuration,<sup>6a</sup> naphthalene catalyzed lithiation<sup>6b</sup> and Na/NH<sub>3</sub><sup>6c</sup> have also been employed to deprotect *N*-trityl compounds. Recently, ceric ammonium nitrate  $[Ce(NH_4)_2(NO_3)_6, CAN]^7$  or ceric triflate<sup>8</sup> have been used as suitable catalysts for the deprotection of trityl, monomethoxytrityl and dimethoxytrityl groups in wet acetonitrile under neutral conditions. However CAN-mediated deprotection has been reported for the hydroxy functionality of nucleosides and nucleotides whereas only three examples such as: N-tritylated phosphoramidate, N-tritylated-adenosine and N-tritylated-cytosine have been shown for *N*-detritylation.<sup>7</sup>

During our ongoing project we tried to deprotect *N*-trityl protected morpholino monomer **1** using 10 mol % of CAN in moist acetonitrile<sup>7</sup> (ACN) but unfortunately only a marginal conversion was observed (thin layer chromatography, TLC) even after stirring the reaction mixture for two days. According to the reaction mechanism,<sup>7</sup> the deprotected trityl cation converts into the corresponding trityl alcohol in the presence of water. We postulated that in case of *N*-detritylation, water might not be a good scavenger for trityl cation in comparison to the deprotected free amine.

Efficient deprotection of tritylated amines to the corresponding amines mediated by 20 mol % ceric

ammonium nitrate [ $Ce(NH_4)_2(NO_3)_6$ , CAN], 10 equiv of acetic acid and 15 equiv of water in dichlorometh-

ane is presented. This method equally worked well in the case of morpholino nucleosides.

Based on this postulation, we added a small amount (10 equiv) of acetic acid to the above reaction medium to protonate the deprotected amine. Interestingly, the reaction proceeded well and within one day, about 70% conversion was observed (Scheme 1). In order to confirm whether only acetic acid is responsible for the deprotection, the reaction was performed with only 10 equiv of AcOH in acetonitrile but almost no reaction was observed (TLC). Thus a combination of both CAN and AcOH is essential for the reaction. It is worth mentioning here that, during the synthesis of morpholino oligomers on solid support, *N*-detritylation of morpholino monomers was done with continuous flow of 2% acetic acid in trifluoroethanol<sup>9</sup> in order to remove the naked trityl cation and the reagent was used in large excess for complete deprotection.







© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 33 2473 4971; fax: +91 33 2473 2805. *E-mail address:* ocss5@iacs.res.in (S. Sinha).

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.10.118

Encouraged by this result, we sought to find more appropriate conditions for the deprotection. We investigaed various conditions using CAN or CAN-SiO<sub>2</sub> as a catalyst in the presence of acetic acid to the readily available N-tritylpiperidine  $\hat{2}^{6a}$  and the results are summarized in Table 1. Typically, CAN was dissolved in minimum amount (roughly 15 equiv) of water followed by the addition of the substrate, solvent and acetic acid. The progress of the reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC). In a dry solvent, without the addition of water, no reaction was observed. In the presence of CAN in dichloromethane (DCM), only a marginal progress of the reaction was observed (TLC) (entry 1). When the same reaction was performed in combination with 10 equiv of acetic acid, the reaction progressed well and 75% yield was isolated after in situ benzoyl protection (entry 3). Performing the reaction with only 10 equiv of acetic acid without CAN afforded good vield but the reaction took a longer period of time (entry 2). The tritylpiperidine **2** was then treated with 20 mol % of CAN in the presence of 10 and 5 equiv of acetic acid in DCM. In the presence of 10 equiv of acid, the reaction was completed in shorter time and 90% yield of the benzoyl-derivative was isolated (entry 4). GC analysis of the reaction mixture indicated complete disappearance of the starting material. Reduction of the amount of acid to 5 equiv did not help the reaction to reach completion and 63% benzoylated product was isolated (entry 5). The reaction was also done in acetonitrile but it took a longer period of time for completion (entry 6). Among the solvents tested, DCM was found to be superior to ACN, THF and DMF (entries 4 and 6-8). We attempted to remove trityl group using CAN–SiO<sub>2</sub> using the conditions reported by Hwu et al.<sup>7</sup> but CAN and CAN-SiO<sub>2</sub> were found to be almost comparable (entries 9–12). Again CAN–SiO<sub>2</sub> alone did not promote any transformation, and the starting material was almost completely recovered even after prolonged contact time whereas the deprotection went well in combination with 10 equiv of acetic acid (entries 9 and 10-12). The best results were obtained when 0.20 equiv of CAN or CAN-SiO<sub>2</sub> and 10 equiv of acetic acid were used in DCM (entries 4.12).

In order to explore the generality of the present method we examined the deprotection on a number of substrates. The results are reported in Table 2. The trityl group was introduced readily by the treatment of parent amines with trityl chloride in the presence of triethylamine in dry DCM. The most unactivated long chain tritylamines **3** and **4** (Table 2, entries 1, 2) participated in this

#### Table 1

Screening of reaction conditions for the deprotection of trityl amine 2

$ \begin{array}{c c}  & catalyst \\  & conditions^{a} \\  & H \\  & 2a \\  & Bz \end{array} $							
Entry	Catalyst <sup>c</sup> (mol %)	AcOH (equiv)	Solvent	Time (h)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)		
1	CAN (10)	-	DCM	20	Trace		
2		10	DCM	40	76		
3	CAN (10)	10	DCM	24	75		
4	CAN (20)	10	DCM	4	90		
5	CAN (20)	5	DCM	24	63		
6	CAN (20)	10	ACN	18	88		
7	CAN (20)	10	THF	20	80		
8	CAN (20)	10	DMF	10	81		
9	$CAN-SiO_2(10)$	_	DCM	20	Trace		
10	$CAN-SiO_2(10)$	10	DCM	16	82		
11	$CAN-SiO_2(20)$	10	ACN	12	90		
12	$CAN-SiO_2(20)$	10	DCM	2	92		

<sup>a</sup> Moist solvents were used.

<sup>b</sup> Yields were calculated based on benzoyl-derivative after silica gel column chromatography.

 $^{\rm c}~$  15 equiv  $H_2O$  was added to dissolve CAN before adding solvent.

Table 2
---------

Yield of detritylation reactions<sup>a</sup>

Fntry	Tritylated amine	Time	Vield (%)
1		22.6	
I	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>10</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NH11 <b>5</b>	33 11	90
2	$CH_3(CH_2)_{16}CH_2NHTr$ 4	40 h	93 <sup>b</sup>
3	NHTr 5	7.5 h	98 <sup>b</sup>
4	NHTr	13 h	87 <sup>c</sup>
5	NHtr 7	5 min	88 <sup>c</sup>
6		1.5 h	84 <sup>c</sup>
7	$\bigvee_{N}$ CO <sub>2</sub> Me	8 min	96 <sup>b</sup>
8	r $r$ $r$ $r$ $r$ $r$ $r$ $r$ $r$ $r$	30 min	89 <sup>b</sup>
9	N CO <sub>2</sub> Me 11	45 min	92 <sup>b</sup>
10	MeO <sub>2</sub> C-N-Tr 12	1 h	89 <sup>b</sup>
11		48 h	94 <sup>b</sup>
12		40 h	81 <sup>b</sup>
13	NHTr 14	5 min	Oxidation
14	NHTr 15	2 h	Ring cleavage and oxidation

 $^a$  Conditions: substrate (1.0 equiv), CAN (20 mol %), AcOH (10 equiv) and  $\rm H_2O$  (15 equiv) in DCM.

<sup>b</sup> Yield based on work-up Method A (see footnote 14).

<sup>c</sup> Column purified yield by Method B (see footnote 14).

reaction and gave deprotected amines in excellent yield. Similarly the trityl protected benzyl amine **5** and its derivative **6** (Table 2, entries 3, 4) underwent clear deprotection and both the phenyl rings were intact in the presence of CAN and acetic acid. The deprotected 2-methoxybenzylamine was isolated as an acetyl-derivative in 87% yield after in situ acetylation with acetic anhydride and chromatographic purification. Heterocyclic ring containing substrate like *N*-trityl-2-picolylamine underwent complete deprotection within 5 min and the product was isolated in acetylated form (entry 5). As trityl is a useful protecting group in peptide chemistry, a trityl protected dipeptide (**8**) was subjected for deprotection under these conditions and the deprotected peptide was isolated as an acetyl-derivative in 84% yield (entry 6). Next we tried the deprotection reaction with methyl ester of trityl-protected proline because

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5270649

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5270649

Daneshyari.com