Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 46 (2005) 2071-2074

Direct glycosylation: synthesis of α -indoline ribonucleosides

Tilak Chandra and Kenneth L. Brown*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701-3132, United States

Received 28 December 2004; revised 24 January 2005; accepted 25 January 2005

Abstract—A selective synthesis of α-anomers of indoline nucleosides is described. Ribonucleosides of indoline, dimethylindoline and 5-bromoindoline are readily prepared in good yield by reacting indoline bases directly with the protected sugar, 2,3-*O*-(1-methylethylidene) 5-*O*-(triphenylmethyl)-p-ribofuranose in dry ethanol or methylene chloride in presence of molecular sieves at 40–60 °C. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

 $\alpha\text{-Ribonucleosides}^{1,2}$ are rare in nature, but occur, for example, as the lower axial ligand (5,6-dimethyl-α-Dribofuranosylbenzimidazole)³ in coenzyme B₁₂. Analogs of coenzyme B₁₂ with altered axial nucleoside ligands are of interest as probes of the function of the axial ligand in the enzymatic activation of the coenzyme for carbon–cobalt bond homolysis.^{4–7} As such, α -indole nucleosides, which lack a coordinating nitrogen but otherwise maintain the structural integrity of the coenzyme, can probe the importance of axial coordination to enzymatic activation. However, the necessary synthetic routes to α-ribonucleosides are rare. We recently reported the successful synthesis of the α-ribonucleosides of indoline and 5,6-dimethylindoline and corresponding indole ribonucleosides^{8,9} via a multistep route requiring protection of the indoline and the use of an expensive coupling reagent, 2-fluoro-1-methylpyridinium tosylate. 10 Here we report an alternative route in which an unprotected indoline may be directly coupled to 2,3-*O*-(1-methylethylidene)5-*O*-(triphenylmethyl)-D-ribofuranose¹¹ in dry ethanol/methylene chloride, in the presence of Type 4 Å molecular sieves to give the α-ribonucleoside in 55–70% yield without any detectable β-ribonucleosides.

The dimethylindole^{12,13} bases were prepared by standard procedures from 5-nitropseudocumene in three steps. For the coupling reaction the dimethylindole base was converted in to dimethylindoline^{14,15} (Scheme 1) in excellent yield by sodium cyanoborohydride reduction¹⁴

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) sodium cyanoborohydride, AcOH, 10–15 °C; (ii) molecular seives, ethanol/methylene chloride, 40–60 °C, 4–6 h; (iii) MnO₂, benzene or methylene chloride, molecular sieves.

(indole ribonucleosides)

at 12 °C. 2,3-*O*-(1-Methylethylidene)-5-*O*-(triphenylmethyl)-D-ribofuranose was prepared from D-ribose in two steps in fairly good yield. A dry solution of 2,3-*O*-(1-methylethylidene)5-*O*-(triphenylmethyl)-D-ribofuranose¹¹ in ethanol was added directly dimethylindoline

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: brownk3@ohio.edu

Table 1. Reaction conditions and yields of glycosylation of different bases with 2,3-O-(1-methylethylidene)5-O-(triphenylmethyl)-p-ribofuranose

S. no.	Base (B)	Solvent	Reaction temp (°C)	Reaction time (h)	Yielda
1	Indoline	Ethanol	60–70	5–6	60
2	Dimethylindoline	Ethanol	60–70	4–5	65
3	5-Bromoindoline	Ethanol	60–70	6–7	55
4	Indoline	Methylene chloride	40	4	65
5	Dimethylindoline	Methylene chloride	40	4	70
6	5-Bromoindoline	Methylene chloride	40	5	60

^a Isolated yields.

and 4 Å molecular sieves at room temperature and the mixture was heated for 5-6 h under an argon atmosphere while the reaction progress was monitored by TLC and NMR. The reaction proceeded smoothly, and after completion the mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered, and thoroughly washed with ethanol. By using methylene chloride as a solvent, the observed yield was higher and there was no decomposition of the sugar, as the ethanol method resulting a sluggish reaction and decomposition of 2,3-O-(1methylethylidene)5-O-(triphenylmethyl)-D-ribofuranose at higher temperature. The reaction mixture showed only one isomer in NMR after workup. The reaction of dimethylindoline and 2,3-O-(1-methylethylidene)5-O-(triphenylmethyl)-p-ribofuranose proceeds faster (Table 1) than the reaction with the other indoline bases. 5-Bromoindoline¹⁶ is less reactive and the longer heating time results in lower yields of the corresponding ribonucleoside.

The identity and α -configuration of these ribonucleosides were confirmed based on the previous characterization⁸ of the corresponding α -indoline ribonucleosides, which were prepared by the 2-fluoro-1-methylpyridinium tosylate¹⁰ method and fully characterized by Xray and 2D NMR spectroscopy. The structure of these indoline ribonucleosides, prepared by direct glycosylation, was also confirmed by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and as well as 2D NMR (COSY, HMQC, and NOESY). The signals for the methyl protons of the isopropylidene in 8 were present at δ 1.38 and 1.60 ppm and the anomeric proton signal was visible at δ 5.44 ppm (Table 2), the same as reported for the indoline ribonucleosides⁸ prepared by the 2-fluoro-1-methylpyridinium tosylate method. The indoline methylene protons in dimethylindoline ribonucleoside 9 showed a strong NOE with one of the isopropylidene methyls, which further supports the α -anomeric configuration. For further use as a precursor for B3-deazacobalamins (Fig. 1),

Table 2. ¹H NMR/¹³C NMR comparison of indoline ribonucleosides prepared by direct glycosylation^b/2-fluoro-1-methylpyridinium tosylate^a method (chemical shifts, ribose protons, δ ppm)

Tro
$$\stackrel{5}{\overset{}{}}$$
 $\stackrel{}{\overset{}{\overset{}{\overset{}{\overset{}}{\overset{}}{\overset{}}}}}$ $\stackrel{R_1}{\overset{}{\overset{}}}$ $\stackrel{R_2}{\overset{}{\overset{}}}$ $\stackrel{R_1}{\overset{}{\overset{}}}$ $\stackrel{R_2}{\overset{}{\overset{}}}$ $\stackrel{R_1}{\overset{}{\overset{}}}$ $\stackrel{R_2}{\overset{}{\overset{}}}$ $\stackrel{R_1}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{R_2}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{H}{\overset{}}$ (indoline) $\stackrel{R_1}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{R_2}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{H}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{H}{\overset{}}}$ $\stackrel{H}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{}}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{}}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{}}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{}}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{\stackrel{}}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{}}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{}}{\overset{}}$ $\stackrel{}}{\overset$

S. no.	Compound (ribonucleosides)	Me ₁	Me ₂	5′	5''	4′	3′	2′	1'
1	Indoline ^a (¹ H NMR)	1.39	1.61	3.28	3.35	4.19	4.72	4.85	5.455
2	Indoline ^b	1.38	1.59	3.28	3.35	4.17	4.69	4.84	5.44
3	Indoline ^a (¹³ C NMR)	25.56	27.48	63.89	_	82.00	80.67	81.49	92.83
4	Indoline ^b	25.57	27.49	63.92		82.03	80.72	81.52	92.89
5	Dimethylindoline ^a (¹ H NMR)	1.38	1.60	3.26	3.32	4.19	4.64	4.80	5.41
6	Dimethylindoline ^b	1.39	1.62	3.29	3.33	4.21	4.67	4.83	5.43
7	Dimethylindoline ^a (¹³ C NMR)	25.56	27.51	64.02	_	81.93	80.91	81.58	93.20
8	Dimethylindoline ^b	25.58	27.51	64.05		81.94	80.92	81.58	93.22
9	5-Bromoindoline ^a	1.38	1.60	3.24	3.41	4.14	4.64	4.77	5.32
10	5-Bromoindoline ^b	1.38	1.60	3.28	3.36	4.18	4.69	4.81	5.36

^a Reported ¹H NMR values in (δ ppm), Ref. 8.

^b Prepared by direct glycosylation.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5286088

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5286088

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>