ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Catalysis Today**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cattod



# Study on the hydrothermal and SO<sub>2</sub> stability of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported manganese and iron oxide catalysts for lean CO oxidation



M. Tepluchin<sup>a</sup>, S. Kureti<sup>b,\*</sup>, M. Casapu<sup>a</sup>, E. Ogel<sup>a</sup>, S. Mangold<sup>c</sup>, J.-D. Grunwaldt<sup>a,d</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Institute for Chemical Technology and Polymer Chemistry, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Engesserstr. 20, D-76131 Karlsruhe, Germany
- b Technical University of Freiberg, Institute of Process Engineering and Chemical Engineering, Chair of Reaction Engineering, Fuchsmühlenweg 9, D-09599 Freiberg, Germany
- c ANKA, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany
- d Institute of Catalysis Research and Technology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history: Received 10 November 2014 Received in revised form 12 January 2015 Accepted 14 January 2015 Available online 19 February 2015

Keywords: Exhaust gas catalysis Flame spray synthesis Thermal stability Manganese oxide Iron oxide

#### ABSTRACT

This paper addresses the hydrothermal stability and  $SO_2$  poisoning resistance of  $Al_2O_3$ -supported manganese and iron oxide catalysts for lean CO oxidation. Catalysts were used in the form of powders and coated cordierite monoliths. Bare catalysts mostly comprised Mn and Fe loadings of 20 wt% and were prepared by impregnation and flame spray pyrolysis (FSP). The hydrothermal treatment was conducted at 700 °C. Monolithic catalysts were evaluated toward CO oxidation, and powders were characterized by X-ray diffraction,  $N_2$  physisorption, X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy and transmission electron microscopy. Catalytic tests indicated higher hydrothermal stability of the FSP samples, whereas the corresponding Mn catalyst was most stable and most active. The superior stability of the FSP catalysts was associated with the maintenance of their nanocrystallinity and high active surface area. Furthermore, the hydrothermal treatment of the manganese oxide catalysts was accompanied by formation of the thermodynamically preferred Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

The  $SO_2$  poisoning of selected Mn-based powders was performed at  $150\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  and led to strong decrease in catalytic performance, likely due to formation of sulfate species on Mn sites and alumina surface. The FSP catalyst could be partially regenerated by annealing at  $700\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ , related to its thermal stability and homogeneous dispersion of the manganese moieties.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Diesel engines are the most effective internal combustion engines for vehicles reflected by reduced fuel consumption and  $CO_2$  emission. However, a constraint of diesel engines is the output of harmful pollutants such as nitrogen oxides ( $NO_x$ ), carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC) and soot. Consequently, emission limits were introduced and continuously tightened in the past few years worldwide. For removal of HC, CO and soot from the oxygen-rich diesel exhaust, so-called diesel oxidation catalysts (DOC) are used, while for  $NO_x$  abatement the selective catalytic reduction and  $NO_x$  storage-reduction catalysts are employed [1,2]. DOC systems imply PC and PC as active components associated with their high catalytic efficiency and hydrothermal stability [3]. Nevertheless, the increased demand for noble metals becomes more and more an

issue related to their limited natural resources. Hence, alternative catalytic components are of immense interest for the substitution of precious metals in pollution control.

For CO oxidation, a multitude of metal oxides were reported to reveal considerable catalytic performance [4,5], particularly cobalt, copper or chromium oxides [6]. Furthermore, prospective CO oxidation activity was also shown for manganese oxide [7–10] and iron oxide catalysts [11–13]. Recently, we evaluated a series of  $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ -supported manganese and iron oxide catalysts for the oxidation of CO under O $_2$ -rich conditions by systematically varying the metal loading as well as preparation procedure [14,15]. Promising activity even at low exhaust temperatures (150–200 °C) was observed for highly loaded Mn/Al $_2$ O $_3$  prepared by flame spray pyrolysis and incipient wetness impregnation.

For automotive applications, the long-term stability of the catalysts toward  $SO_2$  and hydrothermal exposure is a crucial requirement.  $SO_2$  is known as strong catalyst poison blocking both precious metal sites as well as substrate components especially at low temperatures [16–18]. The deactivating  $SO_x$  species

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 3731 39 4482; fax: +49 3731 39 4555. E-mail address: kureti@iec.tu-freiberg.de (S. Kureti).

can partially be removed by thermal treatment, whereas the efficiency is enhanced under rich conditions [16,19]. Furthermore, high temperatures (>600 °C) can cause irreversible degradation of the catalysts implying sintering as well as phase transformation and crystallization of substrate and precious metal particles [18,20-24]. Thus, the thermal aging of automotive catalysts is currently inhibited by using sintering barriers such as La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub> [25,26]. Additionally, for supported metal oxide catalysts, the stability of the substrate is also of importance. For instance, iron oxide catalysts carried on BEA zeolite showed significant decrease in catalytic performance already after moderate hydrothermal exposure at 550 °C associated with sintering of the support [27]. But, iron oxide on thermally resistant WO<sub>3</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> maintained its catalytic activity even after prolonged treatment at 600 °C [28]. On the contrary, only minor change in oxidation activity was demonstrated for full manganese oxide catalysts even after handling at 750 and 1050 °C despite strong structural degradation and strong loss of surface area [29]. Moreover, the preparation route has a significant influence, e.g. flame-derived catalysts are expected to show high thermal stability [30,31].

As a consequence, for the development of advanced exhaust gas catalysts, the evaluation of hydrothermal stability and resistance toward SO<sub>2</sub> including potential deactivating mechanisms [32,33] is a crucial feature. For this purpose, it is advantageous to test the catalysts in the practical form of washcoated honeycomb monoliths. Hence, the objective of this study was the systematic investigation of the effect of hydrothermal exposure of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported iron and manganese oxide catalysts prepared by flame spray pyrolysis as well as conventional impregnation. These catalysts recently reported to reveal substantial potential for the after-treatment of lean exhaust [14] were coated on honeycomb carriers. The oxidation of CO was taken as test reaction relevant for the pollution control of diesel engines. Finally, the resistance toward SO<sub>2</sub> was examined with powder catalysts, which also served as basis for deeper characterization studies using X-ray diffraction (XRD), N2 physisorption (BET), X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy and transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

 $Fe/Al_2O_3$  and  $Mn/Al_2O_3$  catalysts were synthesized by incipient wetness impregnation (IWI) and flame spray pyrolysis (FSP). The preparation resulted in metal loadings of 10 and 20 wt% relative to bare alumina substrate. For simplicity, sample codes are used throughout the paper implying loading as well as metal component, e.g. 20  $Mn/Al_2O_3$ .

For IWI, the  $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$  carrier (Disperal, Sasol) was calcined at 600 °C for 3 h in static air. The substrate was then impregnated with an aqueous solution of iron(III)nitrate nonahydrate (Sigma-Aldrich,  $\square$ 97%) or manganese(III)nitrate tetrahydrate (Alpha Aesar,  $\square$ 98%) such that the solution was completely absorbed. The metal loading was controlled by adjusting the Mn and Fe precursor concentrations. After drying overnight at 100 °C, the samples were calcined for 3 h at 500 °C in static air, which resulted in nitrate decomposition and formation of respective metal oxides.

For FSP, the corresponding soluble precursors iron(III)acetylacetonate (Merck, □97%) and aluminum acetylacetonate (Merck, □99%) dissolved in toluene (Prolabo, □99.7%) or manganese(II)acetylacetonate and aluminum acetylacetonate dissolved in methanol/toluene (1:1 by volume) were taken. The used FSP bench was very similar to that reported recently [34] based on earlier ones by Mädler, Pratsinis and Stark [35,36]. The precursor solutions were fed in a capillary tube at 5 ml/min taking a syringe pump and were dispersed by a small annulus located at the outlet of the

capillary supplying an  $O_2$  flow of 5.01/min at 3 bar pressure drop. The spray was ignited by an annular premixed CH<sub>4</sub> flame comprising 1.601/min  $O_2$  and 0.751/min CH<sub>4</sub>. Gas flows were adjusted by mass flow controllers from MKS Instruments. The produced catalyst particles (ca. 0.8 g) were collected on glass fiber filters (75 cm diameter, Whatman GF6) employing a water-cooled holder connected to a vacuum pump. The pressure drop across the filter was about 180 mbar. As a reference, bare  $Al_2O_3$  was also synthesized by FSP.

Monolithic honeycomb cores ( $D=21 \, \mathrm{mm}$ ,  $L=11 \, \mathrm{mm}$ ) were taken from a full cordierite honeycomb with a cell density of 400 cpsi (Corning). For the coating, a mixture of 10 g powder catalyst and Al-based binder Disperal 2 (Sasol) or Si-based Ludox (Aldrich) in a 10:1 mixture (by weight) was diluted in 30 ml water. The monoliths were dipped into this slurry, dried overnight at 80 °C and finally calcined for 3 h in static air at 550 °C. The catalyst loading was gravimetrically checked, and the coating procedure was repeated until a mass of 0.5 g was deposited corresponding to a load of ca. 130 g/l.

#### 2.2. Hydrothermal and SO<sub>x</sub> exposure

The coated monoliths as well as bare powder samples were hydrothermally treated in a tubular quartz glass reactor. For this purpose, a blend of  $10\,\text{vol.\%}$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $10\,\text{vol.\%}$   $\text{O}_2$  balanced by  $\text{N}_2$  was fed at a total flow rate of  $1.0\,\text{l/min}$  resulting in a gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of  $20,000\,\text{l/h}$  for the monoliths. The powders were put in a ceramic crucible placed in the tubular reactor. All the samples were hydrothermally aged at  $700\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  mimicking the peak temperature in the exhaust pipe of diesel engines. After hydrothermal exposure for 2,4,6 and  $8\,\text{h}$ , the catalytic CO oxidation activity of the honeycomb-supported catalysts was measured and then the aging procedure was continued. Powder catalysts were aged for  $12\,\text{h}$ .

The SO<sub>2</sub> poisoning was exclusively performed for the Mn/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder catalysts, i.e. IWI-prepared 10 Mn/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and the FSP-made 20 Mn/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. These catalysts were exposed for 1.5 h at 150 °C to a gas mixture comprised of 10 ppm SO<sub>2</sub>, 10 vol.% O<sub>2</sub> taking N<sub>2</sub> as balance. No SO<sub>3</sub> evolved under these conditions. The feed was obtained by diluting a special mixture of 100 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> by pure N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> flows (Air Liquide). The total flow was kept at 1.0 l/min equal to a GHSV of 30,000 l/h. After the SO<sub>2</sub> exposure, the CO oxidation performance of the catalysts was evaluated. Subsequently, the thermal regeneration of these samples was evaluated by heating to 700 or 750 °C with a ramp of 25 K/min, while dosing a gas mixture of 20 vol.% O<sub>2</sub> and 80 vol.% N<sub>2</sub> at a total flow of 1.0 l/min.

#### 2.3. Catalyst characterization

The catalysts were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD),  $N_2$  physisorption, X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). XRD patterns were taken on a D8 Advance from Bruker using Ni-filtered Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. The diffractograms were recorded in the  $2\theta$  range from  $20^\circ$  to  $80^\circ$  with a holding time of 2 s and steps of 0.016. The accelerating voltage and anode current were  $40\,\mathrm{kV}$  and  $35\,\mathrm{mA}$ , respectively. Data evaluation was conducted with the data bank of Join Committee for Powder Diffraction Standards.

 $N_2$  physisorption was performed on a Sorptomatic 1990 from Porotec. The samples were degassed at 350 °C in vacuum (10<sup>-4</sup> mbar) for 2 h and then the  $N_2$  adsorption/desorption isotherm was recorded at 77 K. The BET surface area ( $S_{\rm BET}$ ) was derived from the BET line referring to 11 data points taken in the  $p/p_0$  range from 0.05 and 0.3.

XANES studies were conducted at the XAS beam line of the ANKA synchrotron radiation light source (Karlsruhe). A Si(111) double-crystal monochromator detuned to about 70% of the maximum

#### Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/53625

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/53625

Daneshyari.com