ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Chemical Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chemphys



Quantitative theory for the lateral momentum distribution after strong-field ionization

Ingo Dreissigacker*, Manfred Lein

Institut für Theoretische Physik and Centre for Quantum Engineering and Space-Time Research (QUEST), Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, D-30167 Hannover, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 December 2011 In final form 31 January 2012 Available online 9 February 2012

Keywords: Strong-field ionization Angular streaking Lateral momentum distribution Tunneling formula

ABSTRACT

We investigate theoretical models for the lateral width of the electron momentum distribution after recollision-free strong-field ionization of atoms. We review the derivation of the tunneling formula and demonstrate that the pre-exponential factor in the saddle-point approximation cannot be neglected if quantitative results are desired. We calculate the widths for hydrogen as well as argon and neon atoms. We compare to results from the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, and to the experimental results from [L. Arissian, C. Smeenk, F. Turner, C. Trallero, A.V. Sokolov, D.M. Villeneuve, A. Staudte, P.B. Corkum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 133002].

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The availability of light sources capable of producing ultra-short pulses in the femtosecond [1] and even the attosecond regime [2] has led to numerous new applications, such as generation of coherent soft X-rays [3], attosecond imaging of molecular electronic wave packets [4], real-time observation of atomic-scale electron dynamics [5,6], and probing of molecular dynamics with subfemtosecond resolution [7]. In attosecond science [8], angular streaking with elliptically or circularly polarized pulses may become an important tool to measure the carrier-envelope-phase (CEP) of few-cycle laser pulses [9]. Angular streaking has already been used to put a small upper limit on the tunneling delay time [10,11]. Furthermore, ionization of atoms by circularly polarized light has brought new insight into tunneling ionization via measurement of the lateral momentum distribution, i.e. the distribution in the direction perpendicular to the laser field [12]. While linear polarization leads to strong Coulomb effects in the lateral distributions [13,14], for circular polarization, the width of the lateral distribution is approximately predicted by a simple tunneling formula [15,16]. It can therefore be used to improve [17] measurements of peak intensities via momentum distributions [18]. It has been demonstrated theoretically that the lateral width corresponds to the instantaneous electric field at the moment of ionization, even at high field amplitudes for which substantial depletion takes place [19]. This enables precise measurements of the CEP or the peak field amplitudes, if the dependence of the width on the field is known accurately enough from theory. However, in the experiment by Arissian et al. [12], a difference of about 15% be-

E-mail address: ingo.dreissigacker@itp.uni-hannover.de (I. Dreissigacker).

tween the measured widths and the predictions of the tunneling formula has been found.

In this paper, we revisit the tunneling formula, and we find reasons for its deficiencies. We re-derive the expression with the correct prefactor by applying the saddle-point approximation to the strong field approximation (SFA). We demonstrate that it is actually capable of describing the lateral width very accurately. We use atomic units throughout this paper.

The previously used tunneling formula predicts – up to a nonconstant prefactor – a simple Gaussian dependence of the momentum distribution $|M(\mathbf{k})|^2$ on the lateral momentum component k_{\perp} [12.15,20],

$$|M(\mathbf{k})|^2 \propto P_{0\perp}(k_\perp) \exp\left(-k_\perp^2 \frac{\sqrt{2I_p}}{E_0}\right).$$
 (1)

The prefactor $P_{0\perp}(k_\perp)$ is the momentum distribution of the initial state, *i.e.* $P_{0\perp} = \int \mathrm{d}k_z |\tilde{\psi}_0(k_\perp,0,k_z)|^2$ with $\tilde{\psi}_0(k_x,k_y,k_z)$ being the initial-state momentum-space wave function, assumed to be cylindrically symmetric [12,20]. However, even with the non-adiabatic version of the tunneling formula [21], which replaces the Gaussian in Eq. (1) by an improved expression, Arissian et al. have found a difference of about 15% between the measured and the predicted widths of the lateral momentum distribution. In this work, we find that the inaccuracy originates mainly from the heuristic prefactor $P_{0\perp}(k_\perp)$, which does not arise rigorously from the derivation of the tunneling formula. We show results for hydrogen, argon, and neon atoms. We compare to the results obtained independently from time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) calculations in the case of hydrogen, and to the experimental results of Arissian et al. [12] in the case of argon and neon. For hydrogen, we

^{*} Corresponding author.

additionally solve the SFA integral numerically in order to assess the error introduced by the saddle-point approximation. In all cases, we find that the saddle-point approximation with the correct prefactor predicts the lateral width more accurately than the simple tunneling formula.

In the SFA approach, the momentum distribution of an electron after strong-field ionization is the modulus squared of the SFA transition amplitude (Keldysh–Faisal–Reiss amplitude) [22–24], which reads

$$M(\mathbf{k}) = -i \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \langle \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{A}(t) | \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}(t) | \psi_0 \rangle e^{iS(\mathbf{k},t)}$$
 (2)

in the length gauge. Here, ${\pmb E}(t)$ is the electric field exerted to the atom by the laser pulse, ${\pmb A}(t) = -\int^t\! {\rm d}t' {\pmb E}(t')$, and $S({\pmb k},t) = \int^t\! {\rm d}t' \{I_p + ({\pmb k} + {\pmb A}(t'))^2/2\}$ is the action. The interaction of the atom with the laser field takes place between the times t_0 and t_f . The final velocity of an outgoing electron is given by ${\pmb v}_f = {\pmb k} + {\pmb A}(t_f)$ [25]. The matrix element ${\mathcal D}({\pmb k},t) = \langle {\pmb k} + {\pmb A}(t) | {\pmb r} \cdot {\pmb E}(t) | \psi_0 \rangle$ describes the transition from an initial bound state ψ_0 to a plane-wave state with kinetic momentum ${\pmb k} + {\pmb A}(t)$. After this transition, the interaction of the electron with the ion is neglected. The matrix element can be calculated easily if the bound-state momentum-space wave function $\tilde{\psi}_0({\pmb p}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int {\rm d}^3 r \psi_0({\pmb r}) e^{-i{\pmb p}\cdot{\pmb r}}$ is known:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{A}(t) | \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}(t) | \psi_0 \rangle = i \boldsymbol{E}(t) \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{p}} \tilde{\psi}_0(\boldsymbol{p}) |_{\boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{A}(t)}. \tag{3}$$

For hydrogen-like atoms with nuclear charge Z, the momentum-space wave functions are known analytically for any choice of quantum numbers n, l, m [26]:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\psi}_{\textit{nlm}}(p,\theta,\phi) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} e^{im\phi} \right\} \left\{ \left(\frac{(2l+1)(l-m)!}{2(l+m)!} \right)^{1/2} P_l^m(\cos\theta) \right\} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{2^{2l+5/2} l!}{\pi^{1/2} \gamma^{3/2}} \left(\frac{n(n-l-1)!}{(n+l)!} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\zeta^l}{(\zeta^2+1)^{l+2}} C_{n-l-1}^{l+1} \left(\frac{\zeta^2-1}{\zeta^2+1} \right) \right\}, \end{split} \tag{4}$$

where $\zeta=p/\gamma,\ \gamma=Z/n,\ P_l^m$ is the associated Legendre function, and C_{n-l-1}^{l+1} is the Gegenbauer polynomial.

Since the exponential in Eq. (2) oscillates rapidly with time, use of the saddle-point approximation is justified. Here, we restrict ourselves to a linearly polarized half-cycle laser pulse $\mathbf{E}(t) = \mathbf{e}_z E_0 \sin{(\omega t)}$ with $\mathbf{A}(t) = \mathbf{e}_z E_0 \cos{(\omega t)}/\omega$ in order to avoid interference effects between different saddle points. Agreement of the width from a linearly polarized half-cycle pulse with that from a circularly polarized pulse has been demonstrated in TDSE calculations [19]. The saddle-point condition reads

$$\dot{S}(\boldsymbol{k}, t_s) = 0, \tag{5}$$

which, with the definition of $S(\mathbf{k},t)$, immediately implies

$$(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{A}(t_s))^2 = -2I_p, \tag{6}$$

and

$$t_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{\omega} \arccos \left[-\frac{\omega}{E_0} \left(k_{\rm z} + i \sqrt{k_{\rm x}^2 + k_{\rm y}^2 + 2I_{\rm p}} \right) \right]. \tag{7}$$

If we use Eq. (6) together with the hydrogen momentum-space wave functions, we observe that all matrix elements for hydrogen have a pole at the saddle point [27,26]. With a generalized saddle-point formula, we can find the following approximation (for a derivation, see [27]. Appendix B).

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k}, t) e^{iS(\mathbf{k}, t)} \approx i^{q} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{-i\ddot{S}(\mathbf{k}, t_{s})}} \frac{\Gamma(q/2)}{2\Gamma(q)} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{k}, t_{s}) \times (-2i\ddot{S}(\mathbf{k}, t_{s}))^{q/2} e^{iS(\mathbf{k}, t_{s})}, \tag{8}$$

where q is the order of the pole, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{k},t_s) = \lim_{t \to t_s} \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{k},t)(t-t_s)^q$. If we additionally change the integration interval in Eq. (2) to $(-\infty,\infty)$, Eq. (8) is an approximation to the integral in Eq. (2).

As a consistency check, we note that taking the adiabatic limit $\omega \to 0$ in the exponential, we obtain

$$|M(\mathbf{k})|^2 \approx |\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + 2I_p}^3}{3E_0}\right),$$
 (9)

where Taylor expansion of the exponent with respect to $k_{\perp} = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$ yields the same Gaussian dependence as in the tunneling formula, Eq. (1) [21]. However, the prefactor $|\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})|^2$ that stems from Eq. (8) is not simply the initial-state momentum-distribution. Instead, the correct prefactor is

$$|\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})|^2 = 4\pi \left(\frac{\Gamma(q/2)}{2\Gamma(q)}\right)^2 \left|2\ddot{S}(\mathbf{k}, t_s)\right|^{q-1} |\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{k}, t_s)|^2, \tag{10}$$

which leads (without taking the adiabatic limit) to a quantitative tunneling formula (QTF),

$$|M(\mathbf{k})|^{2} = 4\pi \left(\frac{\Gamma(q/2)}{2\Gamma(q)}\right)^{2} |2(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{A}(t_{s})) \cdot \mathbf{E}(t_{s})|^{q-1}$$
$$\times |\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{k}, t_{s})|^{2} |\exp(iS(\mathbf{k}, t_{s}))|^{2}. \tag{11}$$

As the first application of our model, we consider a linearly polarized half-cycle laser pulse of 800 nm wavelength acting on the hydrogen 1s ground state. The resulting momentum distributions are centered at $\mathbf{v}_f = -\mathbf{e}_z \ E_0/\omega$ corresponding to $\mathbf{k} = 0$ since $\mathbf{A}(t_f) = -\mathbf{e}_z \ E_0/\omega$. We fit Gaussians $\exp\left(-k_\perp^2/\sigma^2\right)$ to the lateral momentum distributions

$$L_{k_{z}}(k_{\perp}) = \int dk'_{\perp} |M(k_{\perp}, k'_{\perp}, k_{z})|^{2}$$
 (12)

at k_z = 0 in order to obtain the respective width σ . Note that $v_{f,z} = -E_0/\omega$ corresponds to ionization at the maximum of the electric field and thus maximizes approximately the width [19]. We calculate the width using the QTF, Eq. (11), and by direct numerical integration of the SFA integral, Eq. (2). Additionally, we solve the TDSE

$$i\partial_t \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}(t) - \frac{1}{r}\right) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$$
 (13)

on a large grid in cylindrical coordinates to have an exact reference. Here, we exploit the fact that the hydrogen 1s state obeys cylindrical symmetry. We use the split-operator method to propagate the wave function with a time step of 0.0125 a.u. on a grid comprising 1536 points in lateral direction and 6144 points in field direction, covering 225×900 a.u. in total. We continue to propagate the wave function $\psi(\mathbf{r},t)$ after the end of the half-cycle pulse until the wave packet is sufficiently far from the ion to obtain the final momentum-space wave function $\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p})$ by Fourier transformation.

In Fig. 1, the resulting lateral widths σ are shown for the hydrogen atom over a large range of field amplitudes E_0 . We observe that the saddle-point approximation is a very accurate approximation to the SFA integral, which in turn is an accurate approximation to the exact solution of the problem. Shown are also results of the non-adiabatic tunneling formula

$$|M(\mathbf{k})|^2 \propto P_{0\perp}(k_\perp) |\exp(iS(\mathbf{k}, t_s))|^2, \tag{14}$$

where the exponential is the full non-adiabatic expression from the saddle-point SFA, but the prefactor is kept as in the simple tunneling formula. The exponential is the same as the one derived in [21]. The widths obtained from Eq. (14) as well as from Eq. (1) deviate

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5374040

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5374040

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>