



NO oxidation to NO₂ over manganese-cerium mixed oxides



Gongshin Qi*, Wei Li

General Motors Global Research and Development, 30500 Mound Road, Warren, MI 48090, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 November 2014

Received in revised form 15 January 2015

Accepted 5 March 2015

Available online 8 April 2015

Keywords:

Diesel aftertreatment

MnO_x-CeO₂

NO oxidation

MnO_x-CeO₂-ZrO₂ mixed oxides

Sulfur poisoning

Hydrothermal durability

HR-XRD

ABSTRACT

There is a recognized need for lowering greenhouse gas emissions from mobile sources in order to address concerns regarding global climate change. Diesel engines offer superior fuel efficiency and greenhouse gas reduction potential; however, the highly loaded Pt for converting NO to NO₂ in diesel aftertreatment catalysts is one of the major hurdles in the broad implementation of highly fuel efficient diesel engine vehicles. Here, we reported that manganese-cerium mixed oxides coated on monolith substrates demonstrated a superior NO oxidation to NO₂ activity, showing a promise for PGM thrifting for diesel or lean burn engines emission control catalysts. The mixed oxide (MnO_x-CeO₂) shows a much higher NO oxidation to NO₂ rate and is nearly 3 and 10 times active of that MnO_x and CeO₂ at 200 °C, respectively. Significantly lower reduction temperature of the mixed oxide (MnO_x-CeO₂) compared with MnO_x and higher Mn⁴⁺ to Mn³⁺ reduction peak intensity suggest that ceria helps stabilize higher oxidation state of Mn. The MnO_x-CeO₂ mixed oxide shows higher activity for NO oxidation due to the enhanced rate of nitrites decomposition to NO₂. The structure of the MnO_x-CeO₂ mixed oxide maintained during a cyclic treatment of oxidative/reductive gas conditions at 650 °C. Furthermore, the incorporation of ZrO₂ into MnO_x-CeO₂ mixed oxide not only increased NO oxidation activity but also the sulfur tolerance. About 70% of the activity of the MnO_x-CeO₂-ZrO₂ was recovered via regeneration in a H₂ rich stream.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

NO oxidation to NO₂ is a critical reaction step for various devices in a diesel or lean burn gasoline engine after-treatment system [1–3]. For urea selective catalytic reduction (SCR), an NO₂:NO ratio of 1:1 is the most effective. NO oxidation to NO₂ is also required for lean NO_x Trap (LNT) operations; during the lean period, NO needs to be oxidized to NO₂ before being absorbed on storage components, such as barium oxides. NO₂ has been reported to promote the passive regeneration of soot on Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF), reducing the required active regeneration frequency and then fuel consumption. However, diesel engine exhaust typically contains less than 10% NO₂ and hence a catalyst is required to convert NO to NO₂ in order to improve the aftertreatment efficiency. Several reports have found Pt to be the most active catalyst [4–6] for NO oxidation to NO₂. The need for high NO conversion to NO₂ has driven the commercial diesel oxidation catalyst (DOC) and LNT catalyst formulations to contain high concentration of platinum, contributing to the high cost of the after-treatment system. Consequently, there is substantial interest in developing better performing, lower cost and durable NO oxidation catalysts.

Base metal oxide catalysts have been investigated for NO oxidation, such as, Co₃O₄ [7], La_{1-x}Ce_xCoO₃ [8], La_{1-x}Sr_xCoO₃ and La_{1-x}Sr_xMnO₃ [9]. Among these catalysts, Co based oxides showed a higher NO oxidation activity; however, La_{1-x}Sr_xCoO₃ is not stable in 5%H₂/Ar at temperatures above 500 °C [9]. In addition, cobalt is a major cause of contact dermatitis and is considered carcinogenic [10], so the use of cobalt as a catalyst is restrained in some regions. MnO_x based oxides have been reported to be highly active for the oxidation of light oxygenates [11–13], CO [14] and soot [15,19], wet ammonia oxidation [16,29], low temperature ammonia-SCR [17,18] reactions and NO_x absorption [20]. For example, MnO_x-CeO₂ mixed oxides showed total oxidation of ethanol to CO₂ at only 200 °C [14], which compares favorably with a Pt/Al₂O₃ catalyst that achieves complete ethanol oxidation at 280 °C [21]. Encouraged by the results of NO oxidation over La_{1-x}Sr_xMnO₃, manganese based oxides were investigated for NO oxidation to NO₂. The MnO_x-CeO₂ mixed oxides usually have much higher catalytic activities than those of the individual MnO_x and CeO₂ because of the strong interaction due to the formation of solid solution [13,22–24]. The incorporation of manganese ions into cerium dioxide lattice greatly improved the oxygen storage capacity and the oxygen mobility on the surface of the mixed oxides. Although the manganese based oxides have been extensively studied as oxidation catalysts, reports of their use for NO oxidation are few [53]. Li and co-workers reported that manganese-

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 586 986 1058; fax: +1 586 986 8697.

E-mail address: gongshin.qi@gm.com (G. Qi).

cerium oxides were active for NO oxidation to NO₂ reaction [53]. However in their work the catalyst activities were measured using a space velocity that was 5–6 times lower than realistic vehicle exhaust conditions on fresh samples; the hydrothermal durability, sulfur poisoning and mechanistic aspects have not been investigated. Therefore their results, while interesting, did not provide sufficient information to evaluate the potential for practical applications.

GM R&D has discovered that MnO_x-ZrO₂ and MnO_x-CeO₂ catalysts coated on monolith substrates showed excellent activities for NO oxidation to NO₂, a critically important step for a range of the devices in a diesel after-treatment system. Based on our previous study, under realistic conditions, MnO_x-CeO₂ catalysts achieved higher NO oxidation to NO₂ activity than a Pt-based commercial catalyst. 50% NO conversion can be achieved over the MnO_x-CeO₂ mixed oxide, while, only 35% NO conversion observed on the Pt catalyst at 250 °C. In order to fully understand the mechanism of NO oxidation to NO₂ reaction, this report is to explore the synergistic effects between manganese and cerium using multiple characterization techniques; the hydrothermal durability and sulfur poisoning and sulfur regeneration are investigated in this report too.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

MnO_x, CeO₂ and MnO_x-CeO₂ catalysts were prepared by a co-precipitation method [13]. Briefly, appropriate amounts of metal nitrates were dissolved in de-ionized water at room temperature and an aqueous solution of ammonia was added as a precipitate agent with stirring until the pH value reached 10. The precipitate was further aged at the same temperature for 2 h in the mother liquid. After filtration and washing with deionized water, the obtained solid was dried at 120 °C for 12 h and then calcined at 550 °C in air for 5 h. For the MnO_x-CeO₂ mixed oxides, the weight percent of Mn metal is 4% from ICP analysis, corresponding a mole ratio of Mn/(Ce + Mn) = 0.12. 4 g of each oxides catalyst and 15 g of water were ball milling for 18 h, the slurry was wash-coated onto monolith core samples (3/4 in. diameter × 1 in. length, 400 cells per square inch (cps) with 4 milli-inch wall thickness cordierite). The total washcoat loading for all catalysts are 100 g/L. After washcoating, the monolithic catalyst was dried at 120 °C and calcined at 550 °C for 2 h. All the catalysts were pretreated at 750 °C for 1 h under 10% H₂O/air in oven before performance evaluation. The fresh and hydrothermally treated catalysts are labeled with a suffix of F (fresh) and HT (hydrothermally treated), respectively.

2.2. Catalyst evaluation

The catalysts (1.0 in. length with 0.75 in. diameter) were tested in a quartz tubular reactor (i.d. 0.75 in.) operated at atmospheric pressure. The gases were fed using a series of mass flow controllers. The total feed flow rate was 3.0 L/min, corresponding to a space velocity of 25,000 h⁻¹, with 8% O₂, 5% H₂O, 8% CO₂, 200 ppm NO and a balance N₂. The reactor was heated in a tube furnace that controlled the temperature just upstream of the catalyst and thermocouples were used to measure the temperatures upstream and downstream of the catalyst sample. The reactor outlet stream was analyzed with a Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) analyzer (Thermo Nicolet NEXUS 670), calibrated at 940 Torr and 165 °C. A pressure controller at the outlet of the FTIR was used to maintain the calibration pressure, and the line downstream of the reactor was heated to 165 °C. The lines upstream of the reactor

Table 1
BET surface area, crystallite size and lattice parameters.

Catalysts	BET surface area (m ² /g)	Lattice parameters (Å)	Crystallite size (nm)
CeO ₂ -F	37.56	5.4116	12
MnO _x -F	13.15	n/a	49
MnO _x -CeO ₂ -F	88.56	5.3918	5
CeO ₂ -HT	17.55	5.4119	19
MnO _x -HT	9.50	n/a	70
MnO _x -CeO ₂ -HT	13.75	5.4091	14

were also heated to 165 °C to assure complete vaporization of water.

NO uptake measurements were performed at 50 °C using the same setup mentioned above. Before the measurements, the samples were pre-oxidized at 500 °C for 30 min under the flow of 8% O₂/N₂. The sample was cooled to 50 °C and then 200 ppm NO was introduced for 30 min. After NO gas was shut off, the sample was purged by N₂ for 30 min to allow weakly adsorbed species to desorb at the same temperature. Finally, a temperature programmed desorption (TPD) run was performed from 50 °C to 500 °C under the flow of 8% O₂/N₂, with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The adsorbed NO amount was calculated based on the NO_x desorption curve.

2.3. Catalysts characterization

Specific surface areas were measured by N₂ adsorption at liquid nitrogen temperature using a Micromeritics 2020 apparatus, with values listed in Table 1. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku D/MAX-RB diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) operated at 40 kV and 100 mA.

Temperature programmed reduction by H₂ (H₂-TPR) and oxygen temperature programmed desorption (O₂-TPD) were carried out on a commercial instrument (Micromeritics, Autochem2920) with MS. Before the TPR measurements, the samples were pre-oxidized at 500 °C followed by helium flow to purge the residual gaseous and weakly adsorbed oxygen. The temperature programmed reduction profile was measured in a flow of 10 vol.% H₂/Ar (30 ml/min) from room temperature to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min. For the O₂-TPD experiment, 100 mg of the sample was preheated in pure O₂ at 500 °C for 30 min. After cooling to room temperature, the sample was heated from room temperature to 800 °C in pure helium at a heating rate of 10 °C/min.

In situ Diffuse Reflectance Infrared Fourier Transform Spectroscopy (DRIFTS) measurement was performed on a Thermo Nicolet NEXUS 670 with a MCT detector and an in situ chamber allowing the sample heated up to 600 °C. Gases were mixed by mass flow controllers and introduced into the DRIFTS chamber at a rate of 100 ml/min. The sample was pretreated at 600 °C in 8% O₂/He for 30 min. After cooling to 200 °C, the background spectra were collected. Then, 200 ppm NO and 8% O₂ balanced by He were introduced into the chamber for adsorption. The spectra at different times were recorded, accumulating 32 scans at a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ and displayed in Kubelka–Munk unit.

In situ High Resolution X-ray Diffraction (HRXRD) measurement was conducted in Argonne National lab. The sample was first pretreated in air at 650 °C for 45 min, then at 3% H₂/N₂ for 90 min at the same temperature. Then further the sample was treated in air for 90 min and cooled down to room temperature in air. There is 3% water vapor in the feed gas during the whole treatment. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded during the process with a beam light (λ = 0.413 Å) at 30 kV.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/53906>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/53906>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)