



Practical choice of ^1H – ^1H decoupling schemes in through-bond ^1H –{X} HMQC experiments at ultra-fast MAS

Yusuke Nishiyama^{a,*}, Xingyu Lu^b, Julien Trébosc^b, Olivier Lafon^b, Zhehong Gan^c, P.K. Madhu^d, Jean-Paul Amoureux^b

^a JEOL RESONANCE Inc., 3-1-2 Musashino, Akishima, Tokyo 196-8558, Japan

^b UCCS (CNRS-8181), Lille North of France University, Villeneuve d'Ascq 59652, France

^c Center of Interdisciplinary Magnetic Resonance, NIMFL, Tallahassee, FL 32310, USA

^d Department of Chemical Sciences, TIFR, Colaba, Mumbai 400 005, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 June 2011

Revised 5 October 2011

Available online 9 November 2011

Keywords:

Solid-state NMR

^1H

Very fast MAS

1 mm MAS

HMQC

CRAMPS

ABSTRACT

Three ^1H – ^1H homonuclear dipolar decoupling schemes for ^1H indirect detection measurements at very fast MAS are compared. The sequences require the following conditions: (i) being operable at very fast MAS, (ii) a long T_2' value, (iii) a large scaling factor, (iv) a small number of adjustable parameters, (v) an acquisition window, (vi) a low rf-power requirement, and (vii) a z-rotation feature. To satisfy these conditions a modified sequence named Tilted Magic-Echo Sandwich with zero degree sandwich pulse (TIMES₀) is introduced. The basic elements of TIMES₀ consist of one sampling window and two phase-ramped irradiations, which realize alternating positive and negative 360° rotations of ^1H magnetization around an effective field tilted with an angle θ from the B_0 axis. The TIMES₀ sequence benefits from very large chemical shift scaling factors at ultra-fast MAS that reach $\kappa_{\text{CS}} = 0.90$ for $\theta = 25^\circ$ at $\nu_r = 80$ kHz MAS and only four adjustable parameters, resulting in easy setup. Long $\kappa_{\text{CS}}T_2'$ values, where T_2' is an irreversible proton transverse relaxation time, greatly enhance the sensitivity in ^1H –{ ^{13}C } through-bond J -HMQC (Heteronuclear Multiple-Quantum Coherence) measurements with ^1H – ^1H decoupling during magnetization transfer periods. Although similar sensitivity can be obtained with through-space D -HMQC sequences, in which ^{13}C – ^1H dipolar interactions are recoupled, J -HMQC experiments incorporating ^1H – ^1H decoupling benefit from lower t_1 -noise, more uniform excitation of both CH, CH₂ and CH₃ moieties, and easier identification of through-bond connectivities.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Sensitivity enhancement by indirect detection of ^{13}C and ^{15}N spectra via ^1H nuclei is a well established method in solution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments [1–3]. Since the magnetization is transferred via J -coupling, the resulting 2D spectra show through-bond correlations which are useful for resonance assignments. The sensitivity enhancements are due to high natural abundance ($\approx 99.99\%$) and gyromagnetic ratio of ^1H . The narrow line-widths of ^1H nuclei and their long T_2' values, which are much longer than the magnetization transfer periods, even for small J couplings, greatly contribute to sensitivity enhancement. However, high natural abundance and gyromagnetic ratio also lead to strong ^1H – ^1H homonuclear dipolar interactions, which are fortunately averaged out by fast isotropic tumbling motions in the solution state. On the other hand, these interactions induce rapid spin diffusion among ^1H nuclei in rigid-solid samples, resulting in short T_2'

relaxation times, typically less than tens of micro-seconds, and in broad ^1H line-widths usually more than 50 kHz under static conditions. These two facts quickly quench ^1H magnetization during the magnetization transfer periods and signal acquisition. Thus, indirect detection via ^1H nuclei in solid state seems not to be as efficient as in solution state, especially if ^1H – ^1H interactions are not greatly decreased [4–11].

Decoupling of ^1H – ^1H homonuclear dipolar interactions is hence essential to achieve efficient indirect-detection via ^1H nuclei in solids. Theoretically, ^1H – ^1H homonuclear decoupling is easily realized by only applying an infinitely fast magic-angle spinning (MAS) sample rotation. Recently, rotation frequencies have largely increased with the advent of small diameter rotors [12]. However, even at $\nu_r = 80$ kHz, which is the fastest spinning frequency commercially available now [13], ^1H – ^1H interactions still show significant effect on ^1H line-width and T_2' value (see Figs. 2 and 4). Therefore, rf irradiations, as well as MAS, are needed to decouple efficiently these interactions. Numerous ^1H – ^1H decoupling schemes have been designed from the early stage of NMR [14–49]. Recently, sophisticated methods were developed by considering rf and mechanical averaging

* Corresponding author. Fax: +81 42 546 8068.

E-mail address: yunishiy@jeol.co.jp (Y. Nishiyama).

simultaneously [25–32]. Synchronized [29–32] or non-synchronized [33,34,38–41] Combined Rotation And Multiple Pulse Spectroscopy (CRAMPS) methods with ultra-fast MAS above $\nu_r = 60$ kHz have been introduced, including SAM [29–31], RN_n^v [32], $wPMLG_{pp}^{xx}$ [33], eDUMBO [38,40], and TIMES [41]. All of these rf-assisted 1H – 1H decoupling methods suppress 1H – 1H dipolar interactions, but inevitably introduce scaling down of 1H isotropic chemical shifts, as well as of ^{13}C – 1H J -couplings, by a factor of κ_{cs} . As CRAMPS direct 1H signal acquisition introduces a large additional noise and artifacts, very fast MAS alone is frequently preferred for line-narrowing in the direct 1H dimension [5,50–52]. To our knowledge, no measurement of T_2' enhancement by 1H – 1H dipolar decoupling during magnetization transfer periods has been reported for ^{13}C indirect detection in fast MAS through-bond 1H – $\{^{13}C\}$ correlation experiments. Although, this T_2' enhancement has been demonstrated in the ^{13}C direct detection scheme at moderate MAS frequencies [53].

In this article, we investigate the 1H – 1H decoupling schemes during the magnetization transfer periods in through-bond 1H – $\{^{13}C\}$ correlation experiments with indirect ^{13}C observation via 1H nuclei. The decoupling scheme should: (i) work well at very fast MAS to narrow the 1H line-widths, (ii) enhance the T_2' relaxation time to reduce signal decay during the magnetization transfer periods, and (iii) show a large scaling factor to shorten the magnetization transfer periods. In addition to these features, it is preferable that the decoupling scheme presents several additional features: (iv) a small number of parameters to be optimized, (v) an acquisition window enabling a direct 1D observation during optimization, (vi) a moderate rf power requirement, and (vii) an effective global precession of the spins around B_0 , usually called a z-rotation. Features (iv) and (v) enable a fast optimization of experimental parameters by directly observing 1D spectra. Features (vi) and (vii) are preferable to avoid sample heating and artificial modulations due to zero or symmetrical false 1H peaks, respectively. Usually, feature (vii) presents the disadvantage of reducing the scaling factor; but it has experimentally been found that this is not the case for very fast MAS [49], as shown below.

First, we investigate two 1H – 1H decoupling schemes, $wPMLG_{pp}^{xx}$ and TIMES, at very fast MAS. We also introduce a new version of TIMES, named TIMES₀, in which the sandwich pulses are removed, thus leading to favorable features for 1H – $\{^{13}C\}$ J -HMQC (Heteronuclear Multiple-Quantum Coherence) experiments at ultra-fast MAS. To conclude, we compare two different 1H – $\{^{13}C\}$ indirect HMQC observations, either based on through-bond or on through-space correlations.

2. 1H – 1H homonuclear decoupling schemes

In the article, we focus on three windowed non rotor-synchronized 1H – 1H decoupling schemes: $wPMLG_{pp}^{xx}$, TIMES and TIMES₀ (Fig. 1a). Indeed, these sequences work well at very fast MAS, enhance the T_2' relaxation time, have an acquisition window, and offer an effective z-rotation for 1H magnetization [33,41]. The gray sandwich pulses are removed in $wPMLG_{pp}^{xx}$ and TIMES₀, and hence, experimentally their pulse sequences are similar. However, as shown below, the two basic concepts of $wPMLG_{pp}^{xx}$ and TIMES₀ sequences are very different and their optimizations do not only correspond to a re-parameterization process. In the three sequences the magnetization is rotated during each τ_p period with an angle ψ around the effective field, which is tilted from the B_0 axis by the angle θ . Every cycle period, $\tau_c = 2(\tau_p + \tau_o) + \tau_w$, the global rotation is cancelled in each R or $\bar{R}$ unit, since the direction of the rotation in the second τ_p period is reversed. Thus, the interaction frame of the rf-field is coincident with the rotating frame in each sampling window, τ_w , where the NMR signal is sampled. An

effective z-rotation for the magnetization is achieved by applying two effective fields symmetrical with respect to B_0 during two consecutive τ_c periods [46–48]. The major difference between these methods is the ψ angle value and the presence or absence of sandwich pulses. These sequences are summarized in Table 1.

Let's first analyze the $wPMLG_{pp}^{xx}$ sequence, which is composed with the R ($wPMLG_p^x$) and $\bar{R}$ ($wPMLG_p^x$) units. Each unit was originally designed by adding an acquisition window to the windowless PMLG sequence, which is essentially the same as the Frequency-Switched Lee–Goldburg (FSLG) sequence [20]. In FSLG, the tilt of the effective field is achieved by an off-resonance irradiation at ν_{off} frequency, whereas PMLG employs an on-resonance irradiation and the tilt of the effective field results from a sweep of the rf phase from 0 to ϕ_{last} [22]:

$$\phi_{last}(^{\circ}) = 360 \cdot \nu_{off} \cdot \tau_p, \quad (1)$$

where τ_p is the length of the ramped on-resonance irradiation. The rotation angle ψ about the effective field during τ_p is described by:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(^{\circ}) &= 360 \cdot \nu_{eff} \cdot \tau_p = 360 \cdot \sqrt{\nu_{1p}^2 + \nu_{off}^2} \cdot \tau_p \\ &= \sqrt{(360\nu_{1p}\tau_p)^2 + \phi_{last}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where ν_{1p} is the strength of the on-resonance B_1 field during the phase ramp. ν_{eff} is the strength of the effective field, which is tilted by the angle θ with respect to the B_0 magnetic field:

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\nu_{1p}}{\nu_{off}}. \quad (3)$$

$\theta = \theta_m = 54.74^{\circ}$ is used in FSLG and PMLG to achieve the Lee–Goldburg condition, and the theoretical length of the phase ramp irradiation is chosen to achieve a full $\psi = 360^{\circ}$ rotation about the effective field, thus leading to $\tau_p = 1/\nu_{eff} = 1/(\nu_{off}^2 + \nu_{1p}^2)^{1/2}$ and $\phi_{last} = 208^{\circ}$. In practice, $wPMLG_{pp}^{xx}$ is experimentally optimized by changing τ_p , while keeping the phase ramp final value of $\phi_{last} = 208^{\circ}$. This optimization leads to a concurrent variation of the offset frequency, ν_{off} , and of the θ and ψ angles. Indeed, after optimization, the experimentally optimized τ_p value tends to become shorter than its theoretical value [54], which corresponds to a θ angle smaller than θ_m (Eqs. (1), (3)), and to a ψ angle smaller than 360° (Eq. (2)).

With TIMES and TIMES₀, the effective rotation is always kept equal to $\psi = 360^{\circ}$ by changing not only the length of the on-resonance irradiation, but also simultaneously the phase sweep value (Eq. (2)) to:

$$\phi_{last}(^{\circ}) = 360 \cdot \left(1 - \tau_p^2 \nu_{1p}^2\right)^{1/2}. \quad (4)$$

The length of sandwich pulses in TIMES is experimentally optimized, but the flip angle of these pulses is always found to be close to the θ value described with Eq. (3). These pulses hence align the z axis of the precession axis, tilted with the phase ramp, with the B_0 field. Under fast MAS, these two axes tend to be very close, and therefore the two sandwich pulses can be dropped, thus leading to the TIMES₀ sequence.

It is important to reduce experimentally the number of optimized parameters for quick, stable, and reproducible experimental setup. There are six experimentally adjustable parameters in TIMES: the lengths of sandwich pulses, τ_o , of ramp pulses, τ_p , and of window, τ_w , as well as the amplitudes of rf fields during sandwich pulses, ν_{1o} , and ramp pulses, ν_{1p} , and the resonance offset frequency $\Delta\nu_o$. On the other hand, only four parameters (τ_c , ν_{1p} , $\Delta\nu_o$, τ_w) have to be optimized for $wPMLG_{pp}^{xx}$ and TIMES₀ sequences.

As the interaction and rotating frames coincide every τ_c , the isotropic chemical shift scaling factor can be analytically calculated with first-order average Hamiltonian:

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5406040>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5406040>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)