Evaluation of cell culture in microfluidic chips for application in monoclonal antibody production
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A B S T R A C T
Microfluidic chips are useful devices for cell culture that allow cell growth under highly controlled conditions, as is required for production of therapeutic recombinant proteins. To understand the optimal conditions for growth of cells amenable of recombinant protein expression in these devices, we cultured HEK-293T cells under different microfluidic experimental conditions. The cells were cultured in polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) and polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microdevices, in the absence or presence of the cell adhesion agent poly-D-lysine. Different microchannel geometries and thicknesses, as well as the influence of the flow rate have also been tested, showing their great influence in cell adhesion and growth. Results show that the presence of poly-D-lysine improves the adhesion and viability of the cells in continuous or discontinuous flow. Moreover, the optimal adhesion of cells was observed in the corners of the microchannels, as well as in wide channels possibly due to the decrease in the flow rate in these areas. These studies provide insight into the optimal architecture of microchannels for long-term culture of adherent cells in order to use microfluidics devices as bioreactors for monoclonal antibodies production.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Microfluidics allows miniaturization of basic conventional biological or chemical laboratory operations. Lab-on-a-chip technology has been well accepted by biological and medical research communities as a promising tool for engineering microenvironments at molecular, cellular and tissue levels [1]. In the early 1990s the first microfluidic devices for biochemical applications were developed, and since then the field has been rapidly expanding [2,3]. These microfluidic chips have been used on a broad range of cell-oriented applications including monitoring cellular activity [4], cell-based assays to test drug sensitivity [1], cell-free protein synthesis [5] or monoclonal antibodies production [6,7] among others.

In contrast to conventional static approaches, microfluidic-based cell cultures are not only able to maintain well defined cell culture conditions, but more importantly, allow to continuously provide cells with fresh media containing oxygen, carbon dioxide and nutrients while removing metabolic products at a controlled flow rate [1,4,8].

Alternatively to early glass microfluidic chips, today polymers have become the popular choice offering a wide range of chemical and mechanical properties as well as better biocompatibility [2,9–11]. Commonly employed polymers are polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and polymethyl-methacrylate (PMMA). Garza-Garcia and collaborators engineered a chip with PMMA body and PDMS cover plate to produce the monoclonal antibody Infliximab [6]. Recombinant monoclonal antibodies are used for treatment grave diseases including autoimmune disorders and cancer [12], becoming one of the fastest growing areas in biopharmaceutical industry. Currently, monoclonal antibodies commercial production and other biotherapeutics are based on the synthesis in bioreactors with suspended mammalian cells with agitation operated in fed-batch or perfusion mode [13, 14]. The monoclonal antibodies production in stirred tanks faced challenges related to product quality and process such as demand for higher productivity, glycosylation control and reproducibility. Most of these challenges are related to large spatial and temporal variability of intrinsic fermenters conditions. One way to improve control is to reduce the scale of the system by miniaturization in the form of micro devices [15]. A micro device provides several advantages, including shorter time response, a higher surface/volume ratio and a more homogeneous and controllable microenvironment.
Moreover, PDMS devices offer surfaces that can be modified through oligopeptides, polysaccharides, proteins adsorption or via plasma processing to obtain specific surface features. Mimicking extracellular matrix is a challenge that has been addressed by texturing microchannels with fibronectin [6,7] and collagen [4,16] to achieve a better surface attachment of cells.

In this study, antibody production cells HEK-293T [17] were cultured in PMMA and PDMS microchannels in presence or absence of cell adhesion agent poly-d-lysine to assess their compatibility for mammalian cell culture and the effect of microchip geometry on cell growth. Coating with poly-d-lysine was chosen because it increases the number of positively-charged sites on chip surface, enhancing electrostatic interactions with the negatively charged groups on cell surface, therefore improving adsorption while preserving biological activity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Microfluidic devices design and fabrication

Two different microfluidic devices have been designed using Layout editor software (http://www.layouteditor.net). The first chip consists in three different microchannel shapes 40 μm height × 0.4 mm width (linear, zigzag and square waves), with an internal volume of 3.68 μL (Fig. 1A).

In the second microfluidic chip, channels of 40 μm height, with different serpentine shapes 100 μm wide and lengths between 12 and 80 mm, feed by a central channel (40 × 1.9 mm), and spacer channels (12 × 1.2 mm) between serpentine, were designed. The internal volume is 17.8 μL (Fig. 1B). These different microchannel shapes and widths have been designed and fabricated to test if cell adhesion and growth depend on geometry.

The microdevices were built in PDMS. To do this, a mold of the design in high relief was made by photolithography in a silicon wafer 700 μm thick (Virginia Semiconductor, Inc.), by using the negative resin SU-8 (MicroChem). The silicon substrate was cleaned by sonication in acetone and isopropyl alcohol, and substrate surface was dehydrated for 10 min at 200 °C. Then, SU-8 resist was dispensed on the substrate and spun in two cycles. The spinner was accelerated for 5 s at 100 rpm·s⁻¹ until 500 rpm, and held at 500 rpm for 5 s. In the spin cycle, a ramp of 300 rpm·s⁻¹ was applied until 2000 rpm, and held for 30 s. The resist was soft baked firstly at 65 °C for 20 min, and secondly at 95 °C for 50 min. The substrate was aligned and the resist was exposed to near UV at 650 mJ. The first step of a post-exposure bake consisted on 65 °C for 12 min, and the second step at 95 °C for 15 min. Finally, the resist was developed for 15 min under agitation.

PDMS chip fabrication steps are described in supplementary material. The first chip was also constructed from PMMA, which was manufactured using a Class 2 CO2 laser etching system (Megalaser ML-609), operating at 60 W and 200 mm·s⁻¹ scan speed. Designs of the plano-convex lenses were created using Layout editor software, interfaced directly with the CO2 laser. Finally, the inlet and outlet of the microdevice linked the microchannels with a syringe needle.

Two flow types have been used: continuous and discontinuous. Continuous flow experiments consisted in connecting microfluidic chips to a peristaltic pump (APEMA) and a bubble trap using PVC tubes in a recirculation mode (See a scheme in supplementary material), this set-up was inserted into an incubator at a constant temperature of 37 °C, whereas discontinuous flow studies were carried out by renewing culture medium each 24 h. Cells were cultured in an incubator (Ciberbay) that is commonly used to incubate eggs as it allows to control temperature and humidity (See supplementary material).

2.2. Cell culture and distribution

The microfluidic chips were disinfected using NaOH 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ for 24 h, and then rinsed with sterile water. Before cell seeding, chip was treated with poly-d-lysine hydrobromide 0.1 mg·mL⁻¹ (Sigma) sterile solution to improve cells attachment. The microdevice was incubated with poly-d-lysine solution for one hour at 37 °C. The solution was then removed and let dry 24 h at 4 °C. HEK-293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216) were cultured in complete DMEM medium (Gibco), supplementated with fetal calf serum heat-inactivated (FBS) 10% (v/v) (Internegocios SA), l-glutamine 2 mmol·L⁻¹, streptomycin 100 μg·mL⁻¹ and fungizone 0.250 μg·mL⁻¹ (Gibco) at 37 °C in an incubator with 5% CO₂. Cells were resuspended with trypsin 0.50 mg·mL⁻¹ and EDTA-4Na 0.2 mg·mL⁻¹ (Gibco), and incubated at 37 °C for 3 min. Trypsin was inactivated with PBS and cells were washed with phosphate buffer solution (PBS) (NaH₂PO₄ 50 mmol·L⁻¹, NaCl 300 mmol·L⁻¹, pH = 7.6) and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. Finally, cells were resuspended in the same complete DMEM medium, supplemented this time with 20% FBS at 10⁷ cells·mL⁻¹.

The microchannels and PVC tubes were filled with 15 mL complete DMEM medium, and the system was purged for 2 h. Next, HEK-293T cells in suspension were seeded into the syringe needle in the inlet of the microfluidic device. Cells were allowed to settle and microfluidic device was incubated at 37 °C in incubator overnight. A flow rate of 5 μL·min⁻¹ was applied to the peristaltic pump to constantly refresh DMEM medium, so each channel flow rate was 1.67 μL·min⁻¹. The microchannels were visualized using an inverted Olympus microscope CKX41. Brightfield images were taken with Olympus objectives LUCPlan FLN 40×/0.60; LCAch N 20×/0.40; PlanC N 10×/0.25; and PlanC N 4×/0.10 with an Olympus QColor 5; and processed with QCapture Pro 6.0 software. Cell density inside microchannels with linear, zigzag, or square wave (Fig. 1A) treated with poly-d-lysine was quantified. After two days incubation with peristaltic pump, cell density in each configuration was determined through quantification using Open CFU software [18] of 10× images acquired in triplicates. Bar graph analysis was performed with Excel and the significant differences analysis between the microchannels was made by t-test with the Statistica Package software.

The analysis of cell distribution over time in multiple configuration microdevices was determined through area quantification using Image J software of 4× images acquired in quadruplicates for wide channels and serpentine channel overtime, respectively. Bar graph and