ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Molecular Liquids xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Molecular Liquids



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/molliq

A study of the interaction between a phenothiazine drug promazine hydrochloride with cationic surfactants

Q1 Kabir-ud-Din *, Abbul Bashar Khan, Andleeb Z. Naqvi

4 Department of Chemistry, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India

ARTICLE INFO

- 6 Article history: 7 8 Received 27 July 2011 Received in revised form 5 September 2013 10 Accepted 5 September 2013 Available online xxxx 11 13 Keywords: 15Promazine hydrochloride 16 17Cationic surfactants 18 Critical micelle concentration
- 19 Interaction parameters
- 20 Activity coefficients

5

37

36

ABSTRACT

A tensiometric study at 303.15 K was made to study the binary mixed systems of a phenothiazine drug 21 promazine hydrochloride with six cationic surfactants (decyl-, dodecyl-, tetradecyl-, hexadecyltrimethyl- 22 ammonoium bromides, and cetylpyridinium bromide/chloride). Relevant parameters were evaluated by using 23 the Regular Solution Theory and Motomura treatment for binary mixed systems. Clint's model was also used 24 to explain the nonideal behavior of the systems. The synergistic behavior (i.e., non-ideal behavior) for binary 25 mixtures is explained by the deviation of critical micelle concentration (*cmc*) from ideal critical micelle concen- 26 tration (*cmc*^{*}), micellar mole fraction (X_1^{m}) from ideal micellar mole fraction (X_1^{decal}), the values of interaction pa- 27 rameter (β) and activity coefficients (f_i) (for both mixed micelles and mixed monolayer). The excess free energy 28 (ΔG_{ex}) explains the stability of mixed micelles in comparison to micelles of pure drug; the stability decreases with 29 the increase in alkyl chain length of the surfactant. Interfacial parameters, i.e., Gibbs surface excess (Γ_{max}), min- 30 imum head group area at air/water interface (A_{min}), free energy of micellization (ΔG_m^o), and standard Gibbs en- 31 ergy of adsorption (ΔG_{ads}^o) were also evaluated for the systems.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. 33

34

38 1. Introduction

The dual nature of amphiphilic compounds (because of the hydro-39 philic and hydrophobic parts) is the foundation of their relation to both 40 external and internal interfaces in solutions. In recent years, studying 41 the mixed amphiphilic systems is in vogue [1–4] owing to their better 42 performance than the pure individual components. The nonideality of 43 mixing may cause synergism in the properties of amphiphilic mixtures 44 that may be exploited in many ways to their end use applications [5]. 45 46 For example, in dermatological preparations, the surfactant mixture synergism can minimize the total surfactant monomer concentration, which, 47 in turn, reduces skin irritation [6]. Micelles can only be used as drug car-48 riers and not as targeting systems due to their labile nature, although ev-49 50idences are there that suggest possibility to alter the biodistribution of a drug by administering it in a micellar solution [7]. A large number of 51drugs from many pharmacological groups of compounds exhibit typical 5253colloidal behavior [8-10]. Phenothiaznes act on a wide range of receptors in the nervous system and have been found to be versatile anticholinergic 54 and antihistamine compounds. The micellar mode of association and the 5556discontinuity in the physicochemical properties of phenothiazine drugs 57in the aqueous solutions have been studied by several workers [11–13]. 58As mentioned earlier the mixed micellar systems have been widely

0167-7322/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2013.09.003

studied, but mixtures of drug-cationic surfactant have been less fre- 59 quently examined [14-16]. Here we used the surface tension measure- 60 ments to determine the critical micelle concentration (cmc) of various 61 drug-cationic surfactant binary systems wherein the effect of chain 62 length and head group of n-alkyltrimethylammonium bromides and n- 63 alkylpyridinium halides on the physico-chemical properties of a pheno- 64 thiazine drug promazine hydrochloride (PMZ) by was studied using the 65 various solution and thermodynamic theories [17-24]. The effect of 66 alkyl chain length on the toxicity and pharmacology of a series of C_{10} - 67 C_{20} has been studied in the female rat [25], and toxicity decreases with 68the increasing length of alkyl chain up to C₁₆. Cetyltrimethylammonium 69 bromide (CTAB) has also been found to be non-carcinogenic in rats 70 [26]. Cationic (CTAB) and a nonionic $(C_{12}E_{23})$ reduced the degradation 71 of several penicillins by the factor 4 to 12, while anionic surfactant 72 (NaLS) increased the rate of degradation [27]. 73

Meakin et al. [28] concluded that when CTAB increases the rate of 74 hydrolysis, it is likely that the site of interaction is the surface, where 75 the ester linkage would be in a region of high hydroxyl ion concentra-76 tion. For mixed micellar solutions, the theoretical models rely on the 77 equilibrium between micelles and monomers in solution. The phenom-78 enon of mixed micelle formation described by the Clint's model [17] is 79 used to estimate the deviation of mixed micellar systems from the 80 ideal behavior. The extent of deviation from ideal behavior is quantified 81 via the dimensionless interaction coefficient β , originally introduced by 82 Holland and Rubingh [18]. The Motomura treatment [19] has been used 83 to determine the composition of mixed micelles from the variation of 84 experimental cmc values with the change in composition of binary surfactant mixtures. 86

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 571 2703515. *E-mail address:* kabir?@rediffmail.com (Kabir-ud-Din).

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Kabir-ud-Din et al. / Journal of Molecular Liquids xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

87 2. Experimental

88 2.1. Materials

The phenothiazine drug promazine hydrochloride (PMZ) (\geq 98%, 89 Sigma, USA, CAS registry no. 53-60-1) and cationic surfactants, i.e., 90 91 decyltrimethylammonium bromide (≥98%, TCI, Japan), dodecyltri-92 methylammonium bromide (≥98%, TCI, Japan), tetradecyltrimethyl-93 ammonium bromide (\geq 99%, Sigma, USA), cetyltrimethylammonium 94 bromide (\geq 99%, Merck, Germany), cetylpyridinium bromide (\geq 99%, Merck, Germany), and cetylpyridinium chloride (98%, BDH, England) 95were used without further purification. The γ vs. logC plots of pure sur-96 factants showed no minima which ascertained their purity. Their aque-97 98 ous stock solutions were prepared in doubly distilled water.

99 2.2. Surface tension measurements

The ring detachment method (Du Noüy Tensiometer) was used to 100 measure surface tension (γ). The ring used in the measurement was 101 cleaned by washing with doubly distilled water followed by heating 102 through alcohol flame. Different mole fractions of mixed systems 103 104 were prepared from stock solutions of different concentrations of PMZ and cationic surfactants. The γ at each mole fraction was mea-105 sured by successive addition of concentrated solution of the mixture 106 in pure water at 303.15 K. In order to determine the values of critical 107 micelle concentration (cmc), two linear fits were used for each of the 108 109 isotherms. The first line was fitted to the interval of concentration characterized by linear decrease of the surface tension and the sec-110 ond one to the region of concentration with nearly constant surface 111 112 tension. The cmc values were determined from the break point of the surface tension vs log C curves and accuracy on the individual 113surface tension reading is approximately ± 0.5 mNm⁻¹. The cmc 114 values agree well with the literature (Table 1). Further, in conformity 115to the observations of Mandal and Nair [29], we too obtained slightly 116 lower cmc value for CPB than CPC. Seemingly, the higher counterion 117 association of CPB (as the larger the hydrated radius of the counter-118 ion, the weaker is the degree of binding) [29,30] results in CPB mi-119 celles with more rigid surface. 120

t1.1 Table 1

t1.2 Variation of critical micelle concentration (*cmc*) and ideal critical micelle concentration (cmc^*) with mole fraction of surfactants.

t1.4	Mole fraction of surfactants	<i>cmc</i> (mM)	<i>cmc</i> * (mM)	Mole fraction of surfactants	<i>cmc</i> (mM)	<i>cmc</i> * (mM)
t1.5	0	33		0	33	
t1.6	DeTAB			CTAB		
t1.7	0.1	5.90	34.45	0.1	3.40	7.03
t1.8	0.5	29.5	41.80	0.5	1.52	1.70
t1.9	0.7	34.5	46.79	0.7	1.20	1.23
t1.10	0.9	38.9	53.14	0.9	0.96	0.96
t1.11	1	57.0		1	0.87	
t1.12	DTAB			CPB		
t1.13	0.1	15.0	28.97	0.1	3.55	4.55
t1.14	0.5	14.0	19.46	0.5	1.12	1.02
t1.15	0.7	12.0	16.72	0.7	0.81	0.74
t1.16	0.9	11.7	14.65	0.9	0.66	0.58
t1.17	1	13.8		1	0.52	
t1.18	TTAB			CPC		
t1.19	0.1	6.9	15.55	0.1	3.16	4.78
t1.20	0.5	5.0	4.99	0.5	0.10	1.08
t1.21	0.7	4.1	3.73	0.7	0.89	0.78
t1.22	0.9	3.8	2.97	0.9	0.79	0.61
t1.23	1	2.7		1	0.55	

3. Theoretical approach

3.1. Composition of mixed films and micelles

The composition of mixed adsorbed layers and micelles differs from 123 that of pure components because of their mutual interactions. Using 124 Motomura theory, which is based on the Gibbs–Duhem equation [31–33], 125 the composition of mixed micelles is determined by use of Eq. (1) 126

$$X_{M,1}^{m} = \alpha_{1}^{0} - \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}^{0}\alpha_{2}^{0}/cmc^{0}\right)\left(\partial cmc^{0}/\partial\alpha_{1}^{0}\right)}{1 - \frac{\delta\nu_{1,c}\nu_{2,d}}{\nu_{1,c}\nu_{2,d}^{0} + \nu_{2,d}\nu_{1}\alpha_{2}^{0}}}$$
(1)

Where

$$cmc^0 = (\nu_1\alpha_1 + \nu_2\alpha_2)cr$$

and

$$\alpha_1^0 = \frac{\nu_i \alpha_i}{\nu_1 \alpha_1 + \nu_2 \alpha_2} (i = 1, 2)$$
(3)

In Eq. (1), $X_{M,1}^m$ is the micellar mole fraction of the surfactant, v_i is the 134 number of ions dissociated by the *i*th component, and δ is the Kronecker 135 delta which is equal to 1 for identical counterions and 0 for different 136 counterions. By using Eqs. (2) & (3) and δ value, the Eq. (1) for PMZ-cationic surfactant systems reduces to 138

$$X_{M,1}^{\ m} = \alpha_1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{2cmc}\right) \left(\frac{\partial cmc^0}{\partial \alpha_1}\right)_{T,P}$$
(4)

Similarly, for mixed adsorbed layer Eq. (1) modifies to

$$X_{M,1}^{\sigma} = \alpha_1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{2C}\right) \left(\frac{\partial C^0}{\partial \alpha_1}\right)_{T,P}$$
(5)

where $X_{M,1}^{\sigma}$ is the mole fraction of the surfactant in the mixed adsorbed 142 layer, *C* is concentration of the surfactant at $\gamma = 49 \text{ mNm}^{-1}$ and 144

 $C^{0} = 2C$

Fig. 1 (a–d) shows the variation of α_1 , $X_{M,1}^m$ and α_1 , $X_{M,1}^\sigma$ with respect 147 to cmc^0 and C^0 , respectively. In all the systems $X_{M,1}^m$ and $X_{M,1}^\sigma$ values are 148 higher than the corresponding α_1 values with respect to cmc^0 and C^0 , 149 except in the case of mixed micelles of the drug and DeTAB where 150 $X_{M,1}^\sigma$ values are lower than α_1 . It is also observed from Fig. 1 (a–d) that 151 with the increase in alkyl chain length of the surfactant, the differ-152 ence of $X_{M,1}^m$ and $X_{M,1}^\sigma$ values with α_1 also increases. Variation of α_1 153 and $X_{M,1}^\sigma$ with cmc^0 for drug–CPB (Fig. 1 (e)), shows the same pattern 154 as in case of drug–CTAB mixed micelles but the decrease is more in 155 cmc^0 in case of the former. It suggests that despite of totally different 156 molecular dynamics (spin lattice relaxation time, T₁, values) [29] 157 there is no significant effect of pyridinium head group if replaced 158 by trimethylammonium head group of CTAB in the mixed micelles. 159

3.2. Interaction between molecules in mixed adsorbed film and micelle

The properties of ideal or nonideal behavior of mixed micelles of 161 PMZ-cationic surfactants are investigated by the pseudo phase model. 162 According to this model, micelles are considered to be macroscopic 163 phase in equilibrium with a solution containing corresponding mono- 164 mers. As such, the ideal cmc is related to individual cmc's by Eq. (6) [34] 165

$$\frac{1}{cmc_*} = \frac{\alpha_1}{cmc_1} + \frac{(1-\alpha_1)}{cmc_2}$$
(6)

Please cite this article as: Kabir-ud-Din, et al., J. Mol. Liq. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2013.09.003

139 141

146

121

122

129

130

(2)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5411859

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5411859

Daneshyari.com