



## NMR studies of weak protein–protein interactions

Lu-Yun Lian\*

*NMR Centre for Structural Biology, Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZB, UK*

Edited by J. Feeney and J.W. Emsley

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 19 August 2012

Accepted 22 November 2012

Available online 20 December 2012

#### Keywords:

NMR  
Weak  
Protein  
Interactions  
Dissociation constants

### ABSTRACT

### Contents

1. Introduction	59
1.1. Weak protein–protein interactions	59
1.2. NMR timescales	60
2. Preparation of samples	62
2.1. Determining dissociation constant and estimating population of bound species for weak complexes	62
2.2. Isotope labelling	62
2.3. Preparation of the protein–protein complexes for NMR studies	62
3. Chemical shift perturbation	62
4. Nuclear overhauser enhancement	64
4.1. Intermolecular NOE	64
4.2. Cross-saturation	66
5. Relaxation dispersion experiments	66
6. Paramagnetic effects	68
7. Residual dipolar coupling	69
8. Modelling of weak protein–protein complexes	70
9. Conclusion and perspective	70
References	71

*Abbreviations:* CPMG, Carl–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill; CSP, chemical shift perturbation; ETDA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; HADDOCK, High Ambiguity Driven protein–protein DOCKing; nOe, nuclear Overhauser effect; HSQC, heteronuclear single-quantum coherence; IDIS-NMR, isotope-discriminate NMR; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; NOESY, nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy; PCS, pseudocontact shift; PRE, paramagnetic relaxation enhancement; RDC, residual dipolar coupling; TROSY, transverse relaxation optimised spectroscopy.

\* Tel.: +44 151 795 4458; fax: +44 151 795 4404.

E-mail address: [lu-yun.lian@liverpool.ac.uk](mailto:lu-yun.lian@liverpool.ac.uk)

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Weak protein–protein interactions

Interactions between proteins are fundamental to life since proteins rarely act in isolation and very little happens in a cell without one protein making contacts with another. Speed and specificity are two opposing features of biological interactions. Protein–protein interactions are either stable or transient [1]. A stable

interaction is when the protein exists only in its complexed form and is, hence, a strong interaction. On the other hand, transient interactions are temporary in nature and require a set of conditions, such as conformational changes, phosphorylation or colocalisation within the cell, to promote the interactions. When in complex with interacting partners, transiently interacting proteins are involved in many cellular processes such as folding, electron transfer, protein modification, cell cycling and signalling.

It is now clear that many protein assemblies are weak and/or transient for biological reasons; complexes need to break and reform with specificity and at appropriate rates as part of their biological function and it is energetically preferable if the affinities of these complexes are relatively low. Transient interactions can be strong or weak; strong transient associations require a trigger to shift the equilibrium to the stable state, such as binding of cofactors, whereas in weak interactions, a dynamic oligomeric equilibrium exists with the interaction being broken and formed continuously. Examples of common biological and cellular processes where weak interactions are desirable include reversible cell–cell contacts, rapid enzymatic turnover, electron transfer, transient assembly/reassembly of large, and multiprotein complexes in which target proteins are modified, regulated or translocated to other cellular compartments [1]. In addition, productive, thermodynamically stable specific protein–protein complexes are often formed through the initial assembly of low-affinity, diffusion-controlled complexes.

Weak interactions are characterised by small protein–protein interfaces. Typically, protein–protein interactions interfaces are over  $1500 \text{ \AA}^2$ ; for weak complexes, however, the interface area can be as low as  $500 \text{ \AA}^2$  [2]. In terms of strengths of interactions,  $K_D$  values ( $K_D = k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$ ) less than  $10^{-9} \text{ M}$  are described as strong interactions, and  $K_D$  greater than  $10^{-4} \text{ M}$ , weak interactions. In protein–protein interactions,  $k_{\text{on}}$  is approximately  $10^5\text{--}10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  [3]; hence, for transient interactions,  $k_{\text{off}}$  can be as fast as  $10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . In the cell, a majority of the protein–protein interactions occur with  $K_D$  less than  $10^{-6} \text{ M}$ .

Being able to detect and characterize these weak complexes is crucial for understanding biological processes, mechanisms and pathways. The common biophysical techniques for studying weak protein–protein interactions include hydrodynamic methods, surface plasmon resonance, isothermal titration calorimetry, nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) and optical techniques such as fluorescence resonance energy transfer and bioluminescence resonance energy transfer [4]. However, NMR spectroscopy, is the most versatile and information-rich for reasons given below.

Central to the function of a protein and its interactions are the dynamics of the protein and, hence, methods such as NMR that are able to detect and characterise these are particularly powerful [5]. In addition, interaction effects are not restricted to the localised, contact interface between two proteins; rather information can be transmitted throughout the molecule [6]. NMR is able to provide such information for the entire protein at atomic detail. It is undoubtedly one of the best techniques for measuring dynamics and conformation changes. The method is suited for interactions associated with the very rapid dissociation rates ( $k_{\text{off}}$ ) that are often found in weak protein–protein complexes [7–14]. The types of information obtainable from NMR studies of weak complexes range from complete structure determination to examinations of low resolution datasets which simply highlight the possible regions involved in intermolecular interactions. Between these extremes, other types of structural and dynamics information can be obtained including providing affinity constants [15], and detecting binding intermediates [16]. To obtain high resolution structures of a complex, NMR-derived restraint-driven docking and energy minimisation methods are used, provided that the structures of the individual components are known [17–20]. For weak interactions,

combined NMR and functional studies, often involving mutagenesis, have been shown to be one of the most effective approaches for detecting otherwise undetectable interactions.

One main drawback of the NMR method is the low sensitivity; in very weak interactions the population of molecules in the complexed forms are often very low, posing a significant practical challenge in NMR studies. Two recent approaches have been particularly useful for detecting lowly populated species which may also be transient. These are paramagnetic relaxation enhancements (PREs) [21,22] and relaxation dispersion spectroscopy [23]. Furthermore, because many of the NMR experiments are conducted under equilibrium conditions, it is often possible to increase the population of complexed forms by increasing the initial concentrations of the interacting proteins.

The focus of this review is on the use of NMR to study weak heterotypic protein–protein interactions in which complexes are formed between different proteins rather the homotypic interactions which lead to the multimerisation of a particular protein. Section 2 describes methods for preparing weak protein complexes for NMR studies, and includes the different types of isotope labelling that are required, as well as the practicalities of making protein–protein complexes that are functionally relevant. Sections 3–6 describe the common NMR techniques that can be used to study weak protein–protein complexes; although many of these are similar to those used for the investigation of tight complexes, some are particularly relevant for the weaker complexes. Furthermore, in studies of weak interactions, the different techniques can be used in the ‘titration’ mode where the NMR characteristics are observed as a function of increasing concentrations of a partner protein, rather than as a single equilibrium mix with only one protein:protein concentration ratio; in this mode special considerations must be given as to how the parameters in the fully bound forms are derived [24,25]. Section 8 describes how NMR-derived information can be integrated with docking software to enable the determination of the structures of protein complexes; this latter hybrid method is proving to be a very powerful approach for the weakly-interacting protein complexes. Many of the techniques and approaches described here can also be found in Ref. [26] and Table 1 summarises examples of the weak complexes which have been studied in some detail.

## 1.2. NMR timescales

The success of an NMR method is dependent on the compatibility of the particular chosen experiment with the dynamics of the system under consideration. This is particularly pertinent to weak protein interactions where the populations of some of the states of interests are very low.

The term exchange rate between species,  $k_{\text{ex}}$ , is often used in NMR. It is related to the commonly used term  $k_{\text{off}}$  or  $k_{-1}$  (off rate) for interactions between protein  $E$  and ligand  $L$  by the equation  $k_{\text{ex}} = k_{\text{off}}/p_L$  (when detecting the ligand signal) and  $k_{\text{ex}} = k_{\text{off}}/p_E$  (when detecting the protein signal) where mole fraction  $p_L = [L]/L_T$  (and  $L_T = [L] + [EL]$ ,  $[L]$  is the concentration of ligand in the free state) and mole fraction  $p_E = [E]/E_T$  (and  $E_T = [E] + [EL]$ ) [26]. The exchange rate is defined on the NMR timescale by considering the lifetimes of each state relative to the difference in the NMR parameters of chemical shift, scalar coupling or relaxation rate. The chemical shift is the most common parameter, although exchange regimes on the relaxation timescales are becoming important especially when using relaxation-based characteristics such as PRE and relaxation dispersion experiments. When using the chemical shift timescale, in a second-order exchange between two molecules  $A$  and  $B$  as shown in Eq. (1), *slow exchange* is defined by  $k_{\text{ex}} \ll |\delta_A - \delta_B|$  where  $\delta_A$  and  $\delta_B$  are the chemical shifts in Hertz (Hz) in the two states, *intermediate exchange* defined by

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5419552>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5419552>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)