Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Catalysis** Today

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cattod

# Tailoring preparation, structure and photocatalytic activity of layer-by-layer films for degradation of different target molecules

Jan Rongé<sup>a</sup>, Jeroen Bets<sup>a</sup>, Shruti Pattanaik<sup>a</sup>, Tom Bosserez<sup>a</sup>, Sara Borellini<sup>a</sup>, Sreeprasanth Pulinthanathu Sree<sup>a</sup>, Gero Decher<sup>b</sup>, Johan A. Martens<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Surface Chemistry and Catalysis, KU Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 23/2416, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium <sup>b</sup> Institut Charles Sadron, CNRS Strasbourg, Rue du Loess 23, 67034 Strasbourg, France

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 May 2014 Received in revised form 8 July 2014 Accepted 30 July 2014 Available online 31 August 2014

Keywords: Layer-by-layer deposition Photocatalvsis Water splitting Polyelectrolytes Self-assembly Titanium dioxide

1. Introduction

photocatalytic glass) [2,3].

ABSTRACT

Photocatalytic thin films composed of titanium dioxide and poly(styrene sulfonate) with hierarchical porosity and high transparency were prepared by the layer-by-layer self-assembly method. The influence of preparation conditions on the structure and photocatalytic activity of the films was investigated. It was found there is no need to reach adsorption equilibrium during layer deposition. Using very short deposition times, films with better transparency and higher activity for methylene blue photo-oxidation were obtained. Diffusion limitations due to strong adsorption of dye molecules and ammonia to the polyelectrolyte were revealed. These results indicate that films could be devised to selectively degrade specific target molecules in a mixture. The films were deposited on a three-dimensional carbon substrate and successfully demonstrated in a photoelectrochemical water splitting experiment.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

in gradual film buildup, while intermediate rinsing steps remove excess material so that only a monolayer of material is deposited in each step. Originally LbL was only applied to polymers [5], but quickly expanded to include deposition of nanoparticles as well [6]. Kotov et al. [7] were the first to introduce positively charged titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles in a LbL assembly using poly(diallylmethylammonium chloride) counter-anions. Shortly after, Liu et al. [8] prepared transparent TiO<sub>2</sub> films using poly(styrene sulfonate) (PSS) as the anion, a method that was adopted by several other groups [2,3,9]. For TiO<sub>2</sub>/polymer composites, Kim and Shiratori [9] showed that the use of weak polyelectrolytes results in thick films with high surface roughness whereas strong polyelectrolytes such as PSS give rise to dense films. Sohn et al. [10] highlighted the difference between spin-coated and dip-coated TiO<sub>2</sub>/polymer films. Dip-coated films have a fuzzy, accessible structure, while spin-coated films are layered and have low permeability, which restricts their photocatalytic activity to a single layer of catalytic material. LbL films containing TiO<sub>2</sub> are optically transparent, robust and photocatalytically active. They have a tunable thickness and composition, and can be tailored to contain many more components. LbL assembly has proven itself as an interesting technique to prepare photocatalytic films on various surfaces.

Although some work exists examining the structure of such films and few investigate the influence of preparation conditions

Photocatalysis is a very popular strategy for remediation of air

and water pollution. In photocatalysis generally a semiconductor

breaks down pollutants using solar energy, which is essentially

free. Usually nanosized semiconductor particles are investigated

for their high surface area and efficient charge transport [1]. How-

ever, for easier handling, recycling and safety, it is advisable to

immobilize these nanoparticles on a solid surface. Such a nanopar-

ticle film ideally maintains high porosity and surface area, is robust and depending on the application, may be optically transparent (e.g.

Layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly is a versatile and low-cost tech-

nique to fabricate well-defined thin films. Contrary to most

conventional techniques, it can be performed at low temperature and mild conditions, making it compatible with numerous

substrates and components. LbL assembly consists of alternately

dipping a substrate in solutions containing e.g. positively and neg-

atively charged species [4]. The electrostatic interactions result

Corresponding author. Tel.: +32 16 32 16 10.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.cattod.2014.07.051 0920-5861/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







E-mail address: Johan.Martens@biw.kuleuven.be (J.A. Martens).

on film structure, a relationship between film structure and photocatalytic activity has not been established. In this work, we describe the influence of LbL deposition parameters on resulting film structure, and its corresponding photocatalytic activity. We show that certain dyes can better penetrate in films with appropriate structure, whereas ammonia oxidation benefits more from increased photocatalyst loading. The same film structure was found useful for photoelectrochemical water splitting.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Layer-by-layer deposition

Glass substrates with a surface area of  $5 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm}$  were successively cleaned with detergent, ethanol and deionized water and then immersed in pure  $H_2SO_4$  for 30 min. Finally, they were sonicated in pure acetone for 1 h to remove all dust particles and dried. Silicon substrates ( $5 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm}$ ) were cleaned with compressed air and subsequently treated in  $H_2SO_4$  and acetone in the same manner. Carbon fiber electrodes ( $5 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm}$ , Toray paper E030, Quintech) were only rinsed with acetone prior to LbL deposition.

LbL deposition was performed by manual dip-coating using an established procedure [2]. Dipping solutions were prepared using MilliQ water and kept in polypropylene bottles. Substrates were first treated with poly(ethylene imine) (Lupasol WF,  $M_W \sim 25,000 \text{ g/mol}, \text{BASF}$ ) by dipping in a 3 gl<sup>-1</sup> solution for 20 min. Subsequently, substrates were alternatingly dipped, first in 1 gl<sup>-1</sup> poly(styrene sulfonate) ( $M_W \sim 70,000 \text{ g/mol}$ , Sigma Aldrich) solution and then in ~1 gl<sup>-1</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub> (Aeroxide P25, Evonik Degussa Corporation) suspension, both adjusted to pH 2.5 using concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>. Between deposition steps, the substrates were first dipped in three different baths of MilliQ water (adjusted to pH 2.5) followed by rinsing with copious amounts of MilliQ water (pH 2.5) using a rinsing bottle. For some films, the dipping solutions were stirred at 500 rpm using a magnetic stirring bar. After deposition, films were annealed at 60 °C in air for 1.5 h.

Reference films were prepared by conventional dip-coating in a 5 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub> suspension, adjusted to pH 3.5 using concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>. Substrates were submerged in the suspension for 10 min, followed by gentle drying under an air stream and annealing at 60 °C for 1.5 h. After annealing, the films were rinsed with MilliQ water. This process was repeated one more time to obtain the final film.

#### 2.2. Film characterization

Transmission UV-vis spectroscopy was carried out using an Infinite M200 Pro instrument (Tecan). Absorbance of the glass substrate was subtracted from the measurements. Since a film was deposited on both sides of the glass substrates, the obtained number was divided by two. For ellipsometric porosimetry the films (prepared on silicon substrates) were introduced to a vacuum chamber in which the spectroscopic ellipsometer (Sentech SE801) was mounted and a system for dosing adsorbates is connected. The adsorbate used was toluene. For determination of adsorption isotherms, the adsorbate pressure was slowly increased until the saturation pressure was reached. The desorption was performed by slowly evacuating the chamber. The ellipsometric angles  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$ over the spectral range of 400-800 nm, the pressure, and the time were continuously recorded. These data were used for calculation of porosity and pore size distribution as described in reference [11]. High-resolution scanning electron microscopy (HR-SEM) was performed on a Nova NanoSEM 450 (FEI). Films deposited on silicon were mounted on aluminum stubs using carbon tape. Throughplane resistance measurements were performed using a home built Teflon cell. A stainless steel sheet of  $1 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm}$  with deposited LbL film was pressed perpendicular onto a stainless steel counter electrode (2.65 cm  $\times$  3 cm). Linear scan voltammetry was used at potentials between -0.1 V and +0.1 V.

### 2.3. Photocatalytic testing

#### 2.3.1. Methylene blue degradation

LbL films were cut into pieces of  $0.8 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm}$  and put in quartz reaction tubes containing 5 ml of a methylene blue solution (0.01 mM). The solutions containing LbL films were kept in the dark for 20 min to achieve equilibrium of adsorption in the film. They were then placed in a Luzchem LZC-ICH2 photoreactor (UVA, 385 nm, 5 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) with rotating sample carrousel, at a temperature of 35 °C. Every 15 min a 200 µL sample was taken from the solution and analyzed by UV–vis spectroscopy at 664 nm. Afterwards it was returned to the solution.

### 2.3.2. NH<sub>3</sub> degradation

LbL films of 28 cm  $\times$  3 cm were placed inside a home-built continuous flow gas phase reactor described elsewhere [12]. Nitrogen gas containing 1000 ppm NH<sub>3</sub>, 5% O<sub>2</sub> and 3% H<sub>2</sub>O was sent over the reactor at different flow rates. The sample was illuminated at room temperature using a UVA lamp (365 nm, 1.1 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

## 2.3.3. Photoelectrochemical water splitting

Films deposited on carbon fiber electrodes were cut into pieces of 3 cm  $\times$  3 cm and used as the photoanode in a membrane electrode assembly. For the cathode, Pd on activated carbon (3 wt%, Sigma Aldrich) was deposited on carbon fiber electrode (2.5 cm  $\times$  2.5 cm) and a final loading of 40 µg cm<sup>-2</sup> was obtained. The electrodes were positioned on both sides of a Nafion<sup>®</sup> XL membrane (Quintech) and hot-pressed at 60 °C and 10 bar for 3 min. The assembly was mounted in a home-built two-compartment photoelectrochemical cell described in earlier work [13]. The anode and cathode were fed with a water-saturated nitrogen gas stream at 20 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Before measurement, the cell was equilibrated overnight with both compartments fed with saturated nitrogen at 20 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. The cell was illuminated by a Xe lamp with IR filter (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, Oriel 66984, Newport) and currents were recorded using a potentiostat (Versastat 4, Princeton Applied Research).

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Film characterization

Film buildup was monitored by transmission spectroscopy at 300 nm (Fig. 1). At this wavelength, PSS does not absorb radiation so only the amount of TiO<sub>2</sub> is measured [2]. Film thickness was measured for some samples using ellipsometry. A linear trend is indicative of proper LbL deposition. In Fig. 1, film growth is shown for deposition times of 6 min and 9 min for PSS and TiO<sub>2</sub>, respectively (referred to as  $(6/9)_x$ , *x* being the number of layer pairs). The inset shows the absorbance increase per layer pair for films with different deposition times. After an initial, fast adsorption step of species from solution, film growth decelerates limited by diffusion from the solution to the film surface. During the second phase, the adsorbed components may arrange into a more ordered structure, until saturation of the surface is reached [14–16].

The LbL films' microstructure was "fuzzy" instead of layered (Figs. 2 and 3). Similar observations were reported by other authors [2,9,10]. Interestingly, Fig. 3 shows island growth at short deposition times. This indicates that PSS and consequently  $TiO_2$  are not homogeneously distributed over the surface. Considering only the first layer pair, island growth is also observed at longer deposition times (Fig. S2). This is believed to be responsible for a hierarchical

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/54211

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/54211

Daneshyari.com