



Absorption coefficient measurements of particle-laden filters using laser heating: Validation with nigrosin

Cary Presser*

Material Measurement Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8320, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 August 2011

Received in revised form

21 December 2011

Accepted 15 January 2012

Available online 21 January 2012

Keywords:

Absorptivity

Absorption coefficient

Climate change

Laser heating

Nigrosin

Particle-laden filters

Transmissivity

ABSTRACT

A laser-heating technique, referred as the laser-driven thermal reactor, was used in conjunction with laser transmissivity measurements to determine the absorption coefficient of particle-laden substrates (e.g., quartz-fiber filters). The novelty of this approach is that it analyzes a wide variety of specific samples (not just filtered samples) and overcomes measurement issues (e.g., absorption enhancement) associated with other filter-based particle absorption techniques. The absorption coefficient was determined for nigrosin-laden, quartz-fiber filters and the effect of the filter on the absorption measurements was estimated when compared to the isolated nigrosin results. The isolated nigrosin absorption coefficient compared favorably with Lorenz–Mie calculations for an idealized polydispersion of spherical particles (based on a measured nigrosin/de-ionized water suspension size distribution) dispersed throughout a volume equivalent to that of the nigrosin-laden filter. To validate the approach, the absorption coefficient of a nigrosin/de-ionized water suspension was in good agreement with results obtained from an ultraviolet/visible spectrometer. In addition, the estimated imaginary part of the refractive index from the Lorenz–Mie calculations compared well with literature values and was used to estimate the absorption coefficient of optically opaque packed nigrosin.

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

1.1. Filter-based particle absorption enhancement

The class of filter-based particle absorption techniques (measuring the absorption characteristics of atmospheric aerosol particles collected on filters) include aethalometers, (e.g., Hansen et al. [1]), integrating plate/integrating sphere photometers (e.g., Fry et al. [2], Campbell et al. [3], Lawless et al. [4]), particle soot absorption photometers (e.g., Bond et al. [5]), and multi-angle absorption photometers (e.g., Petzold et al. [6]). Typically, the transmission of light passing through the filter is

measured to determine the absorption coefficient in the Beer–Lambert law (or the extinction coefficient when scattering is considered significant). In turn, the absorption coefficient can be related to the imaginary part of the refractive index (depending on the state of the substance, i.e., if a bulk homogeneous substance or aerosolized powder). One important issue that has been reported extensively in the literature (e.g., Campbell et al. [3], Clarke [7], Bohren and Huffman [8], Petzold and Schönlinner [9], Cappa et al. [10]) is the effect of the filter substrate (and possibly the presence of nonabsorbing particles) on determining the correct value for the particle absorption coefficient, often referred to as absorption enhancement (e.g., Taha et al. [11]). This effect is attributed to absorption of backscattered laser light, which on first pass is not absorbed by the particles discretely distributed over and embedded within the fiber filter

* Tel.: +1 301 975 2612.

E-mail address: cpresser@nist.gov

Nomenclature

a	fitting parameter for exponential decaying function (see Fig. 5)
A	sample geometric cross-sectional area [m ²]
A^*	absorbance
Bi	Biot Number ($=hL/k_{\text{sam}}$)
c	mass specific absorption cross section (m ² g ⁻¹)
C	solute/solvent molar concentration (mol L ⁻¹)
$\bar{C}_{\text{ext}}, \bar{C}_{\text{sca}}, \bar{C}_{\text{abs}}$	mean extinction, scattering, and absorption cross sections, respectively (m ²)
$c_p(T)$	specific heat capacity (J g ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
$\bar{C}_v$	mean volume fraction
d	characteristic path length through the sample (m)
(dT/dt)	sample temperature derivative (K s ⁻¹)
D	diameter (m)
D_g	geometric mean diameter (m)
D_{32}	volume-to-surface area mean diameter (Sauter mean diameter) (m)
$F(T, T_o)$	heat transfer term (W)
h	convection heat transfer coefficient (W m ⁻² K ⁻¹)
I_I	incident radiation intensity (W m ⁻²)
I_τ	transmitted radiation intensity (W m ⁻²)
I_β	absorbed radiation intensity (W m ⁻²)
I_ρ	reflected radiation intensity (W m ⁻²)
k	imaginary part of the complex refractive index
k_c	coverage factor
k_{sam}	sample thermal conductivity (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
L	sample characteristic length (m)
$m(t)$	sample mass with respect to time (g)
m_f	sample final mass (g)
m_r	complex refractive index ($=n_r+ik$)
M	particle mass loading (g m ⁻²)
n	sample number
n_r	real part of the complex refractive index
N	number density (particles m ⁻³)
P_I	incident radiation power (see Table 3) (W)
P_τ	transmitted radiation power (see Table 3) (W)
$P(D)$	probability distribution function
$q(T)$	specific heat release rate due to chemical reactions (W g ⁻¹)
$Q_{\text{ext}}, Q_{\text{sca}}, Q_{\text{abs}}$	differential extinction, scattering, and absorption efficiencies, respectively
$\bar{Q}_{\text{ext}}, \bar{Q}_{\text{sca}}, \bar{Q}_{\text{abs}}$	mean extinction, scattering, and absorption efficiencies, respectively
$R_1(T_r)$	rate at which heat is transferred from the reactor at temperature T_r (W)
$R_2(T)$	rate of heat loss from the sample (W)
s	standard deviation of the mean
t	time (s)
t_a, t_b	time initiating Regimes 2 and 3, respectively (see Fig. 5) (s)
T	sample temperature (K)

T_{max}, T_o	steady-state sample temperatures defined in Fig. 4 (K)
T_r	reactor temperature (K)
u_c	combined standard uncertainty
x	size parameter ($=\pi D/\lambda$)
y	absorption penetration depth (m)
z	transformation parameter

Greek symbols

α	sample absorption coefficient (m ⁻¹)
$\beta(T, \lambda)$	spectral hemispherical absorptivity (fraction absorbed of incident radiation intensity)
β_y	differential spectral hemispherical absorptivity
γ	heat transfer parameter (W K ⁻¹)
$\Delta m(t)$	sample mass change after heating (g)
ε	sample extinction coefficient (m ⁻¹)
ε_m	solute molar cross section (m ² mol ⁻¹)
λ	wavelength (m)
ρ	spectral hemispherical reflectivity (fraction reflected of incident radiation intensity)
ρ_p	mass density (g m ⁻³)
σ	sample scattering coefficient (m ⁻¹)
σ_g	geometric mean standard deviation
τ	spectral hemispherical transmissivity (fraction transmitted of incident radiation intensity)
τ^*	temperature-dependent relaxation time (s)

Subscripts

<i>abs</i>	absorption
<i>Reg#</i>	regime
<i>c</i>	clean copper pan
<i>em</i>	electromagnetic (see Table 2)
<i>ext</i>	extinction
<i>f</i>	clean filter
<i>hb</i>	laser heating beam
<i>i</i>	index ($=p, s$)
<i>j</i>	index ($=ext, sca, abs$)
<i>n</i>	isolated nigrosin
<i>nc</i>	nigrosin packed within the copper pan
<i>nf</i>	nigrosin-laden filter
<i>p</i>	isolated particles
<i>ps</i>	particle-laden substrate
<i>pb</i>	laser probe beam
<i>s</i>	clean substrate
<i>sca</i>	scattering
<i>t</i>	thermal (see Table 2)

Superscripts

<i>b</i>	fitting parameter for exponential decaying function (see Fig. 5)
----------	--

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5429249>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5429249>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)