#### Acta Materialia 132 (2017) 467-478

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Acta Materialia

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/actamat

# Diffusion coefficients of transition metals in fcc cobalt

# S. Shahab Naghavi, Vinay I. Hegde, C. Wolverton\*

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 February 2017 Received in revised form 17 April 2017 Accepted 25 April 2017 Available online 5 May 2017

Keywords: Solute diffusion Cobalt-based superalloys First-principles DFT Five-frequency model

#### ABSTRACT

Using first-principles density functional theory (DFT), we calculate the diffusivities of 32 different solute elements-all transition metals, together with Al and Si-in fcc cobalt within the formalism of the fivefrequency model. For self-diffusion in fcc cobalt, we compare the accuracy of various approximations to the exchange-correlation energy functional of DFT in estimating the activation energy, and find that only the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) approximation agrees well with experimental reports and all other functionals largely overestimate it. Our calculations also show that an accurate estimation of the selfdiffusion coefficient requires explicit calculation of the effective jump frequency and vacancy formation entropy via phonons. Using accurate self-diffusion data and scaling all solute-related attempt freguencies with respect to the attempt frequency for self-diffusion using a simple relation involving the atomic mass and melting temperature of the solute yields solute diffusivities in excellent agreement with experiments, where such data is available. We find that large solutes spontaneously relax toward the nearest neighbor vacancy to relieve the misfit strain, and the extent of this relaxation correlates negatively with the migration energy. Thus, in general, larger solutes have lower migration energies and diffuse faster than smaller solutes in fcc cobalt. However, extremely large solutes, e.g., group III elements Sc, Y, Lu, tend to be trapped in an energy valley located halfway toward the vacancy, and monovacancy mediated diffusion may no longer be valid in such cases. Finally, for all the solutes considered, we systematically tabulate the diffusion-related quantities calculated—diffusion prefactors, migration and activation energies—constructing an extensive and accurate first-principles database for solute diffusion in fcc cobalt

© 2017 Acta Materialia Inc. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

The discovery of  $\gamma'$ – Co<sub>3</sub>(Al,W) precipitates with the L1<sub>2</sub> structure [1] has opened pathways to develop a new class of hightemperature fcc-based cobalt-based superalloys with performance potentially superior to those of traditional nickel-based ones. The cobalt alloys can offer about 150 °C higher solidus and liquidus temperatures than those of advanced nickel-based superalloys [1,2]. However, their practical application has not materialized due to limited mechanical properties and issues related to the narrow  $\gamma - \gamma'$  phase stability region [2–5]. Their properties continue to be improved via innovative processing techniques, microstructural modifications, and alloying additions [6,7]; all these techniques heavily depend on diffusional behavior of solute atoms in the fcc cobalt host alloy. Therefore, knowledge of diffusion of various solutes in fcc cobalt is crucial to understanding the

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: c-wolverton@northwestern.edu (C. Wolverton).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2017.04.060

1359-6454/© 2017 Acta Materialia Inc. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

macroscale properties of cobalt superalloys, such as diffusional phase transformations, nucleation, creep resistance, recrystallization, homogenization of alloys, and thermal oxidation [6,8-12].

A database of diffusion related quantities such as impurity formation energies, solubilities, solute-vacancy binding energies, and diffusion coefficients of various solutes in the host alloy, and understanding various physical and chemical factors influencing these quantities is vital for the development and design of new alloys. Such data is available for many technologically important host alloys such as Al, Mg, Cu, and Ni [13–23]. While properties such as impurity formation energy and solute-vacancy binding energy for various solutes in fcc cobalt have recently been studied [24], similar work on diffusion coefficients has not been done. Recently, Neumeier et al. [25] measured the diffusion coefficients of 12 solutes in fcc cobalt, and also performed kinetic Monte Carlo based calculations for 4 of the solute elements considered.

In the present work, using density functional theory (DFT) based calculations, we calculate the diffusion coefficients of a large number of 32 solutes in fcc cobalt for dilute concentrations. The solutes consist of almost all 3*d*, 4*d*, and 5*d* transition metal (TM)



Full length article



RCCESSING

Acta materialia

elements, together with Al and Si. Only vacancy-mediated diffusion through nearest-neighbor hops, which is known to be the dominant diffusion mechanism in most fcc metals [26-29], is considered. The diffusion coefficients of all the dilute solutes in fcc cobalt were determined using the so-called five frequency model proposed by Lidiard and LeClaire [30-32]. This model has proven to be verv accurate [13,16,22,23,33–36] in dilute limit when compared to techniques such as kinetic Monte Carlo [37] and molecular dvnamics [38]. We analyze the results of our calculations to search for the key physical effects that influence the diffusion coefficients of solutes  $(D_s)$ , solute activation energies (Q), and solute diffusion prefactors  $(D_s^0)$ . We also pay special attention to self-diffusion in fcc cobalt focusing on the effect of different approximations to the exhange-correlation functional of DFT on the vacancy formation energy, migration energy, and self-diffusion activation energy (Q) in fcc cobalt, and identify Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) approximation provide the best agreement with experiment. We find that the migration energies for various solutes vary parabolically across a transition metal series, reaching an extremum at the middle of the series, correlating well with *d*-band filling. We analyze the effect of entropic contributions on the accuracy of the calculated diffusion coefficients and identify the approximation of Neumann and Hirschwald [39] to effective frequencies to be sufficiently accurate while being computationally relatively inexpensive compared to full phonon calculations. We also find that larger solutes spontaneously relax toward a neighboring vacancy to relieve misfit strain resulting in lower migration barriers and higher diffusivities when compared to smaller solutes.

We systematically tabulate all the calculated properties—migration energy for different jumps, activation energies, diffusion coefficients—in order to aid in developing Calculation of Phase Diagrams (CALPHAD) [40–42] type diffusion mobility databases, similar to the ones that exist for Mg [43] alloys and Ni-based superalloys [44]. We hope our extensive first-principles diffusion coefficients data for solutes in fcc cobalt will greatly accelerate the development and design of new cobalt-based superalloys.

#### 2. Computational methods

For calculations in the present work, we used the projectoraugmented wave (PAW) method [45,46] as implemented in the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) [47,48]. Throughout the calculations, the plane-wave cutoff energy was set to a constant value of 520 eV. The exchange-correlation energy functional was described with the spin-polarized generalized gradient approximation (GGA) as parameterized by Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) [49], unless specified otherwise. All structures were fully relaxed with respect to lattice vectors and atomic positions by minimizing the energy until the Hellmann-Feynman forces on all atoms were less than 0.01 eV  $Å^{-1}$ , and stresses on the cell were less than 1 kbar. The Climbing Image Nudged Elastic Band (CI-NEB) as implemented in the VTST package [50,51] was used for the calculation of migration barriers. Lattice dynamics calculations were performed using VASP and the results were analyzed using the Phonopy package [52].

We tested the convergence of calculated migration barriers with respect to the size of the supercell and the number of images along the diffusion pathway used for NEB calculations. First, we compared the calculated barriers for various jumps using a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  (with number of atoms N = 32) and  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  (N = 108) supercells consisting of N - 2 cobalt atoms, and one solute atom with a nearest neighbor vacancy. We found that for most of the studied solutes the barriers calculated by the 32-atom supercell stay within 5% of those calculated by the 108-atom cell. Nevertheless, in the

case of  $E_m^3$  (migration energy corresponding to the vacancy jump dissociating the solute-vacancy pair, see  $\omega_3$  in Fig. 3) of large solutes (i.e., group III and IV) the difference can be significant (e.g., 0.6 eV for Zr). Therefore, we conducted further size convergence tests using 32-, 64-, 108-, and 256-atom supercells (see Fig. S5 in the supplementary information). The results demonstrate that the calculated barriers with the 108-atom cell are converged to within 0.03 eV, thus we assert that the 108-atom supercell is sufficiently converged for all barrier calculations in this paper. Regarding the number of images required along the diffusion pathway for NEB calculations, previous studies [16,17,23] have demonstrated that due to the simple energy landscape of fcc metals, a single image is sufficient to calculate the energy barrier accurately. Nonetheless, we calculated the various jump barriers for an Al solute atom in the 108-atom unit cell using up to 5 images. Consistent with previous studies [23,35,53], we find that for the different jumps shown in Fig. 2, a single image is sufficiently accurate (migration energies within 0.01 eV of those calculated with 5 images) to determine the migration barriers. Thus, we use a single intermediate image for calculating all migration barriers in the 108-atom supercell for all solutes. Details of the convergence tests can be found in the Supplementary Information.

#### 3. Results and discussions

In this section, we first discuss self-diffusion in fcc cobalt, briefly describing the formalism, and focusing on how different levels of approximation—different approximations to the exchangecorrelation functional of DFT and explicitly calculating entropic contributions—affect the activation energy and the diffusion prefactor. Next, we extend this discussion to impurity diffusion for all the 32 solutes considered in this work. We first focus on Al and W, explicitly calculating all contributions from phonons and compare the accuracy of several commonly used levels of approximation. We identify the approximation that is computationally inexpensive but sufficiently accurate, and use it for the calculation of diffusion coefficients of the rest of the solutes.

## 3.1. Self-diffusion

Formalism: Throughout this work we assume that the diffusion is governed solely by a monovacancy mechanism mediated by nearest-neighbor vacancy jumps. The self-diffusion coefficient for such a mechanism can be expressed as [54]:

$$D = f_0 \omega_0 a_0^2 C_0 \tag{1}$$

where  $a_0$  is the lattice parameter,  $f_0$  is the correlation factor (for self-diffusion on face-centered crystals, it is constant and equal to 0.7815),  $\omega_0$  is the vacancy jump frequency, and  $C_0$  is the concentration of vacancies. The equilibrium concentration of vacancies  $C_0$  at a given temperature *T* is given by

$$C_0 = \exp\left(-\frac{G_{\rm vf}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) \tag{2}$$

where  $G_{\rm vf}$  is the Gibbs energy of formation of a vacancy, and  $k_{\rm B}$  is the Boltzmann constant. Based on the transition state theory (TST),  $\omega_0$  can be written as [55]:

$$\omega_0 = \nu_0^{\star} \exp\left(-\frac{H_{\rm m}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) \tag{3}$$

where  $H_{\rm m}$  is the difference in enthalpy of the system in the initial

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5436181

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5436181

Daneshyari.com