

## Regular article

# On the occurrence of a eutectic-type structure in solidification of Al–Zr alloys



F. Wang<sup>a</sup>, D.G. Eskin<sup>a,\*</sup>, A.V. Khvan<sup>b</sup>, K.F. Starodub<sup>b</sup>, J.J.H. Lim<sup>c</sup>, M.G. Burke<sup>c</sup>, T. Connolley<sup>d</sup>, J. Mi<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Brunel University London, Brunel Centre for Advanced Solidification Technology, Kingston Lane, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> National University of Science and Technology MISIS, Thermochemistry of Materials SRC, Leninsky Prosp. 4, Moscow, 119049, Russian Federation

<sup>c</sup> University of Manchester, Materials Performance Centre, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

<sup>d</sup> Diamond Light Source Ltd., Harwell Science & Innovation Campus, Didcot OX11 0DE, United Kingdom

<sup>e</sup> University of Hull, School of Engineering, Hull HU6 7RX, United Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 31 January 2017

Received in revised form 17 February 2017

Accepted 18 February 2017

Available online xxxx

## Keywords:

Eutectic solidification

Solidification microstructure

Differential thermal analysis (DTA)

Electron diffraction

Al–Zr

## ABSTRACT

For the first time, experimental evidence is presented for the occurrence of a eutectic reaction in dilute Al–Zr alloys solidified at cooling rates from 0.5 to 5 K/s. Particles which formed at grain boundaries during solidification were confirmed by electron diffraction analysis to be the equilibrium tetragonal DO<sub>23</sub> Al<sub>3</sub>Zr phase. Differential thermal analysis showed a thermal arrest at 658–659 °C, i.e. below the melting point of pure Al, which indicated the presence of a eutectic reaction.

© 2017 Acta Materialia Inc. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Zirconium is added to aluminium alloys for several purposes: to prevent or control recrystallization [1], to enhance mechanical properties and thermal stability while retaining electrical conductivity [2], and to control grain size during solidification [3]. In the first two cases, supersaturation of the Al solid solution is achieved during solidification and the metastable L1<sub>2</sub> Al<sub>3</sub>Zr phase precipitates during annealing, pinning dislocations and subgrain boundaries [1]. In the last case, primary crystals of the equilibrium Al<sub>3</sub>Zr phase act as nucleating substrates for Al grains [4]. Non-equilibrium solidification of Al-rich Al–Zr alloys typically results in either formation of a supersaturated solid solution of Zr in Al or the formation of the metastable Al<sub>3</sub>Zr phase [5]. When designing alloys for these applications, rather small concentrations of Zr are used, typically below 0.2 wt%; and the peritectic reaction in the Al corner of the Al–Zr binary phase diagram is assumed: L + Al<sub>3</sub>Zr → (Al) at 661 °C [6] with the peritectic point at 0.28 wt% Zr and the maximum liquid solubility of Zr in (Al) 0.11 wt% at 661 °C [7]. However, most of the work on the equilibria in the Al corner of the Al–Zr phase diagram has been done by assessment rather than by direct measurements [6].


In this study we present for the first time experimental evidence of a probable eutectic reaction occurring in the Al corner of the Al–Zr system, instead of the conventionally accepted peritectic reaction.

Evidence for a eutectic-type reaction arose during work to study the solidification microstructures of Al–Zr alloys, containing 0.1, 0.15, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5 wt% Zr. These alloys were made by the UK group in a graphite crucible and electric resistance furnace using 99.99% pure Al and an Al–10 wt% Zr master alloy. The master alloy was added to the molten aluminium at 900 °C and the melt was isothermally held for 1 h with intermittent stirring before solidifying either in a graphite crucible in air (1 K/s) or by pouring into a copper wedge mould preheated to 500 °C, enabling a range of cooling rates from 3 to 12 K/s, as recorded by K-type thermocouples. Metallographic samples were cut from the bottom parts of the castings, ground and polished using standard techniques and examined in scanning (SEM, Zeiss SUPRA35V) and transmission (TEM, JEOL 2100) electron microscopes. Four foil samples approximately 80 to 90 nm-thick were also prepared by focused ion beam (FIB, FEI Helios 660) from the areas of interest and used for phase identification by TEM. In addition, deep etching of some samples with NaOH water solution was performed to remove the Al matrix, thereby revealing the 3D morphology of the intermetallic particles.

In addition, alloys containing 0.4 and 1 wt% Zr were prepared independently by the Russian group using 99.99% pure Al and 99.99% pure Zr at 1000 °C, allowing for melt homogenisation for 2 h before solidification in a graphite crucible in air. Samples from the casting were cut and used for metallographic and differential thermal analysis (DTA/DSC Labsys Setaram). Prior to the measurements, the apparatus was calibrated using high-purity metal standards (99.999% Pb, 99.99% Al,

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [dmitry.eskin@brunel.ac.uk](mailto:dmitry.eskin@brunel.ac.uk) (D.G. Eskin).



**Fig. 1.** Secondary electron images of grain-boundary particles formed during solidification in Al–0.4 wt% Zr alloys at a cooling rate of 5 K/s (a) and 1 K/s (b–d): a, b, general view; c, higher magnification of grain boundary particles; and d, deep etching of the matrix.


99.99% Cu, 99.99% Ag). Using reproducibility of the calibration data, the instrumental error of temperature measurements was estimated as  $\pm 0.04$  K. The samples for these measurements were kept in closed  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  crucibles under a continuous 99.995% Ar flow, which was additionally filtered for oxygen. Before the experiments, the DTA/DSC apparatus was pumped out to  $10^{-5}$  atm and flushed with Ar three times. The heating/cooling rates for calibration and experiments were 5, 1 and 0.5 K/min. Experiments with an empty crucible or pure 99.99% Al as a reference sample were conducted. The heating curves were used to determine the solidus temperatures of the samples at different heating rates as recommended by the DTA guidelines [8].

Metallographic examination of the studied samples reliably revealed the presence of fine intermetallics at dendritic grain boundaries in all samples containing more than 0.15% Zr and solidified at cooling rates below 5 K/s. No intermetallic phases were found at lower Zr concentrations and at higher cooling rates (apparently due to the well-known phenomenon of supersaturation of the Al solid solution with Zr [1]). It is interesting to note that, on decreasing the cooling rate from 5 to 1 K/s, first the intermetallics at grain boundaries appeared and then the primary crystals were formed in addition to the grain-boundary particles as illustrated in Fig. 1a & b. These primary crystals are formed at hyper-eutectic compositions and have been observed in all the studied alloys containing more than 0.15% Zr, which places the eutectic

composition somewhere around 0.12–0.15% Zr (similar to the composition above which the primary intermetallics have been usually observed in this system). The fact that the primary particles do not always appear at higher cooling rates is also a well-known experimental fact for these alloys. The eutectic structure is, however, less affected by the cooling rate and has been always observed in the range of cooling rates 1 to 5 K/s.

At a higher magnification, one can resolve very fine (micron and submicron size) particles with a button shape (Figs. 1c and 2a), while deep etching revealed that these particles form a continuous network at grain boundaries (Fig. 1d). The alloys prepared for DTA analysis showed similar microstructures.

The identification of the submicron particles at grain-boundary was performed using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum imaging (Fig. 2) in combination with selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) analysis (Fig. 3) in the TEM at 200 kV. EDS maps extracted from the spectrum image datasets (Fig. 2) clearly show that the particles contain the elements Al and Zr. The selected-area diffraction patterns (Fig. 3) obtained at different beam directions for each particle were consistently indexed as tetragonal  $\text{DO}_{23}$   $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}$  particles and the measured d-spacing, interplanar angles and angles between beam directions agree well with published values of tetragonal  $\text{DO}_{23}$   $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}$  [7,9]. Both the EDS data and electron diffraction analyses confirmed that these



**Fig. 2.** (a) STEM image of intermetallic particles along the grain boundary; and EDS maps showing the elemental distribution of (b) Al and (c) Zr in the particles.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5443604>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5443604>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)