



Regular article

Grain orientations and grain boundaries in tungsten nonotendrils grown under divertor-like conditions[☆]



Chad M. Parish^{a,*}, Kun Wang^a, Russel P. Doerner^b, Matthew J. Baldwin^b

^a Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

^b University of California – San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 September 2016

Received in revised form 13 September 2016

Accepted 14 September 2016

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Transmission Kikuchi diffraction

Plasma simulation

Fusion energy

Fusion

Tungsten

ABSTRACT

We grew nanotendrils “fuzz” on tungsten via plasma exposure and performed transmission Kikuchi diffraction (tKD) in scanning electron microscopy of isolated nanotendrils. 900 °C, 10^{23} He/m²sec, 4×10^{26} He/m² exposure of tungsten produced a deep and fully developed nanotendrils mat. tKD of isolated nanotendrils indicated that there was no preferred crystallographic direction oriented along the long axes of the tendrils, and the grain boundary character showed slightly preferential orientations. Tendril growth is sufficiently non-equilibrium to prevent any preference of growth direction to manifest measurably, and that new high-angle boundaries (with new grains and grain-growth axes) nucleate randomly along the tendrils during growth.

© 2016 Acta Materialia Inc. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

In a magnetic fusion energy (MFE) system, such as a tokamak, the plasma-facing materials (PFMs) will be bombarded by a combination of deuterium/tritium ions and helium ions, while at high temperature and subjected to severe neutron irradiation. Tungsten is the primary candidate for the ITER project tokamak's divertors, and is the current front-runner for solid-wall and solid-divertor concepts in future tokamak designs [1]. However, nanostructure growth – so-called nanofuzz or nanotendrils, often microns long and $\ll 100$ nm in diameter – develops on tungsten surfaces during helium bombardment, and are not presently understood in detail [2–7]. This is one of the factors that may limit the applicability of tungsten (or indeed, many materials [8]) in the plasma-materials interaction environment.

The present understanding of nanostructure formation is primarily informed by molecular dynamics (MD) or other theory approaches [9–18], with relatively limited (although valuable) experimental information [5,6,8,19–21]. Still poorly defined is how fuzz transitions from the short incipient state of early growth – well-studied by MD – into

the long and stably-growing state during later growth. Under the earliest growth conditions (perhaps 10^{24} He/m² and below), before the fuzz develops, the surface of the tungsten begins to facet, and the degree and type of faceting on each grain is strongly related to the surface normal of the individual grains [22]. By the time the fuzz has grown into a developed and surface-covering mat (perhaps 10^{26} He/m² and higher), the surface appears uniform and underlying grains are not discernable. This must imply that by the time the fuzz reaches a steadily-growing condition, the different underlying grain orientations do not affect the tendril growth. We hypothesize two possible mechanisms for this: (1) A given nanotendrils growth axis – that is, a given crystallographic direction along the long axes of the tendrils – is strongly preferred, and the underlying grain orientations rotate near the substrate/tendrils interface into this particular orientation. Based on loop-punching arguments and surface energy arguments, this privileged axis might be $\langle 111 \rangle$ if found. Contrariwise, (2), it is possible that no particular tendril long-axis crystallographic direction is preferred, and growth can proceed roughly equally regardless of the underlying grain orientation, with no selection needed.

To test these two hypotheses, we used transmission Kikuchi diffraction (tKD) [23–25] to interrogate a large number of individual nanotendrils to obtain a statistical view of the tendril axes and the intra-tendrils grain boundary characters. We found no discernable preference for any given long-axis orientation, which we interpret to support hypothesis (2) above.

Experimentally, a specimen of mirror-polished polycrystalline tungsten was exposed to a He plasma in PISCES-A at the University of

[☆] Notice: This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: parishcm@ornl.gov (C.M. Parish).




Fig. 1. Scanning electron micrographs of the surface of the nanotendrils-coated tungsten surface.

California San Diego [26]. Conditions were 900 °C, 50 eV ion energy, $\sim 10^{23}$ He/m²s, 4000 s, to a total fluence of $\sim 4 \times 10^{26}$ He/m². The result is a thick (~ 1000 nm) mat of well-developed fuzz tendrils, of diameter ~ 20 – 30 nm; Fig. 1. It is also notable that the substrate grain size (roughly 1 μ m) is much smaller than the field of view in Fig. 1a, but no grain contrast propagates to the surface, as noted above.

Samples for transmission Kikuchi diffraction were prepared by putting a drop of methanol onto the fuzzy surface, putting a drop of methanol onto a 3 mm \varnothing copper-mesh TEM grid with a continuous carbon film covering it, gripping the copper grid with tweezers, and then swiping the grid across the surface of the fuzzy sample.

SEM imaging and tKD were performed with a JEOL JSM6500F field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM). tKD was performed using an EDAX Hikari I electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) system and OIM software suite v7. If the usual sense of sample tilt for EBSD is considered positive, the tKD samples were run with 30° tilt in the negative sense. Samples were mapped with 6–7 mm working distance, 25–30 keV accelerating potential, and 3–5 nA probe current. Scans were typically a few hundred nanometers on a side, with 5–10 nm pixel pitches, 2×2 or 4×4 pixel binning on the EBSD camera, and 10–20 pixels/s. Scans were kept short (<5 min) to ensure negligible sample drift. Data cleaning consisted only of removing pixels of low image quality; no dilation, neighbor correlation, or other destructive cleanup steps were performed in post-processing [27]. A “mag-I-cal” specimen,¹ made from $\langle 001 \rangle$ orientated silicon with $\langle 101 \rangle$ in plane, and known spacings of SiGe layers, thinned by ion milling, was examined to confirm that tKD measured orientations to better than $\pm 5^\circ$ to the outside world, and magnifications to better than 20%. All of the patterns were saved during mapping, for later reindexing and reanalysis if necessary. The only phase identified was BCC tungsten, space group $Im\bar{3}m$.

Thirteen tendrils or tendril clusters were interrogated via tKD; Fig. 2a shows a typical SEM image. The image quality (IQ) map, which shows the sharpness of the tKD patterns on the EBSD camera and are therefore maps of the patterns' quality, are shown in Fig. 2b. A map of the crystallographic orientation in the out-of-the-page orientation, colored according to the inset unit triangle, is presented in Fig. 2c. Black lines denote high angle ($>15^\circ$) grain boundaries, and cyan lines denote low angle (2 – 15°) boundaries. In Fig. 2b and c, two small marks, ‘d’ and ‘e,’ denote two typical tKD patterns that are presented as Figs. 2d–2e. Although the patterns are not excellent, mainly due to the necessity for dynamic background subtraction, they are fully suitable for consistent indexing if significant care is exercised in choosing the Hough transform parameters. Similar results are seen from another dataset, Fig. 3a–c.

The important point to take away from the data in Figs. 2–3, and the eleven other datasets we obtained similarly is that both intratendril grain boundaries are present, and the tendrils are generally only one grain wide, which means a given orientation down the tendril axis can be obtained for most grains.

Interestingly, and perhaps surprisingly, no preferred tendril growth axis is observed, Fig. 4a (and online Supplemental information Fig. S1). If there is a preferred axis, it is too statistically weak to be observed here. The unit triangle in Fig. 4a can hold all of the tendril long-axis orientations (due to tungsten's symmetry), and the points for individual grains ($N = 34$) are spread across the unit triangle. We also measured the axis/angle pairs that describe the grain boundaries, and plotted these in Figs. 4b–c. In Fig. 4b, the axis/angle pairs are plotted on the unit triangle at the axis location, and the points are sized and colored by the angle. The histogram of angles alone, Fig. 4c, shows a very slight preference at 60° , but generally a random assortment of angles. Note that for angles between 58 and 62° , the points are colored red to denote this narrow range of angles in a noticeable fashion. In the grain boundary analyses, no low-angle boundaries ($<15^\circ$) were examined. For all of the data in Fig. 4, we used the “ \bar{g} ” option in EDAX OIM Analysis software, meaning that the average orientation of the grains, rather than the particular pixel within a grain selected by the computer mouse, was used to determine the tendril long axes or grain boundary axis/angle pairs.

We return to the two hypotheses stated in the introduction: (1) A given nanotendril growth axis is strongly preferred, leading to rotation of the tendril axes from the substrate into the preferred orientation, or (2) no particular tendril long-axis crystallographic direction is preferred, and growth can proceed roughly equally regardless of the underlying grain, with no selection needed. Our results, in which no preferential long axis is observed in a data with reasonable ($N = 34$) statistics, appear to support hypothesis (2). Because the tendrils are polycrystalline, with widely varying grain orientations within a single grain, we can in fact conclude that not only are given orientations not preferred, but the orientation of a single grain in a tendril need have no relationship to the underlying substrate grain.

The $\{101\}$ plane has the lowest surface energy in tungsten, and the slip plane of punched prismatic loops – the presumed mechanism of at least early-stage tendril growth [11] – is $\{101\}$, with a slip direction of $\langle 111 \rangle$ [28]. Therefore, it might be expected that tendrils would have a $\langle 111 \rangle$ long axis, with $\{101\}$ low-energy planes bounding the faces of the tendril with normals roughly perpendicular to the $\langle 111 \rangle$ length. Clearly, from our tKD measurements, this is not occurring, but rather a uniform and random array of long axes are present.

It seems likely that at the high temperatures and high fluxes relevant to nanotendril growth, surface adatoms produced by loop-punching events may have time to undergo surface diffusion and lose their identity as punched loops, and attach to a convenient new location on the growing tendril. (What would make a particular location convenient, however, is not a question we can answer from

¹ <http://www.technoorg.hu/product/magical/description/>

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5443705>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5443705>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)