



#### Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

## **ScienceDirect**

Procedia Procedia

Energy Procedia 92 (2016) 88 - 95

6th International Conference on Silicon Photovoltaics, SiliconPV 2016

# Extraction of recombination properties from lifetime data

Gaby J.M. Janssen<sup>a</sup>\*, Yu Wu<sup>a</sup>, Kees C.J.J. Tool<sup>a</sup>, Ingrid G. Romijn<sup>a</sup> and Andreas Fell<sup>b†</sup>

<sup>a</sup>ECN Solar Energy, Westerduinweg3, 1755LE Petten, The Netherlands
<sup>b</sup>The Australian National University, 0200 Canberra, Australia

#### Abstract

Extraction of recombination properties like the recombination pre-factor  $J_0$  and the Shockley-Read-Hall base lifetime from photoconductance data on test structures and half-fabricates of photovoltaic cells is not always straightforward and unambiguous. In this paper the well-known "slope method" of Kane and Swanson will be compared to the method offered by the Quokka code. The Quokka code numerically solves the distribution of the excess carrier concentration over the thickness of the wafer at several injection levels. In this way artefacts due to transport limitations are avoided and the analysis does not rely on data at a single injection level. This gives more reliable results for  $J_0$  and the base lifetime. A method to the determine the base lifetime from the implied  $V_{OC}$  at 1 Sun illumination values is also presented.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer review by the scientific conference committee of SiliconPV 2016 under responsibility of PSE AG.

Keywords: silicon photovoltaic cells; recombination; lifetime; dark saturation current; QSSPC.

#### 1. Introduction

Solar cell optimization requires good, quantitative knowledge of the recombination parameters in a cell. These are e.g. the recombination pre-factor  $J_0$  of the diffused regions and  $\tau_{SRH}$ , the Shockley-Read-Hall lifetime of the base. The Sinton WTC-120 lifetime tester is a convenient and fast instrument that from quasi steady state photoconductance (QSSPC) measurements provides the effective lifetime  $\tau_{eff}$  for a range of excess carrier densities

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 – 88 515 4803 *E-mail address:* janssen@ecn.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Present address: Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems, 79110 Freiburg, Germany

 $\Delta n$  [1]. From these data the recombination parameters  $J_0$  and  $\tau_{SRH}$  can be extracted. The conventional method to do this is the slope method by Kane and Swanson [2]. This method has been implemented in the Sinton WTC-120 software. Recently this method was adapted to partially account for bandgap narrowing that occurs in the base at high injection levels [3].

The Kane and Swanson method has been proven to be very useful for extraction of  $J_0$  data. Values of the base lifetime  $\tau_{SRH}$  extracted by this method are usually not reported. The  $\tau_{SRH}$  is usually determined from measurements on structures where diffused regions have been stripped off and subsequently given an excellent surface passivation. While this method gives reproducible data, it gives only limited information of any impact the diffusion processes may have on the  $\tau_{SRH}$ . One reason why the  $\tau_{SRH}$  values by the Kane and Swanson are not often reported may be that they depend strongly on the selected point of analysis and that the  $\tau_{SRH}$  values are much more sensitive to this selection than the  $J_0$  values. This often results in a large scatter of the  $\tau_{SRH}$  values.

There are several causes for this strong dependence on the selected point of analysis.

- The carrier dependency of  $J_0$  and  $\tau_{SRH}$  itself. According to the Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH) theory the  $\tau_{SRH}$  of the base will vary with the injection level depending on the relative values of the capture time constants  $\tau_{0n}$ and  $\tau_{0n}$  [4]. This transition takes place when going from low level injection conditions to high level injection and is usually within the injection level range where lifetime data are taken. Also the  $J_0$  will be carrier dependent, e.g. due to the non-uniform quasi-Fermi levels in the diffused regions at high injection, or due a breakdown of field-induced surface passivation at high injection levels [5].
- Bandgap narrowing in the base. The effect of bandgap narrowing in the base was recognized by Kimmerle et al. [3]. The authors proposed a correction which was recently implemented in the standard Sinton WTC-120 software.
- A non-uniform distribution of the carrier density in the sample that increases with injection level. This occurs e.g. in samples with a high  $J_0$  because of transport limitations [6-8]. These transport limitations cause  $\Delta n$  values at the interfaces of the base and the heavily doped region to be lower than the average  $\Delta n$ . This results in an apparent decrease of  $J_0$  with the injection level.

In this paper we will compare the standard Kane-Swanson slope method and recent modifications with the Quokka method presented by Fell et al. [9,10]. The Quokka code is used to calculate the effective lifetime in a sample by numerical solving the distribution of  $\Delta n$  over the base. In this way transport limitations are included. In this paper the method using Quokka will be demonstrated further for test structures and half-fabricates with the emphasis on determining the  $\tau_{SRH}$ . Furthermore we will demonstrate that by using the implied  $V_{oc}$  values at one sun illumination the scatter often observed in the  $\tau_{SRH}$  is already significantly reduced.

#### Nomenclature Gtotal photogeneration rate $J_0$ recombination prefactor, with subscripts front, rear or base to designate region of origin $J_{\it ph,1Sun}$ generated photocurrent at 1 Sun illumination $\Delta n$ excess carrier concentration $N_D$ doping concentration of the base material intrinsic carrier concentration $n_i$ intrinsic carrier concentration with correction for bandgap narrowing $n_{i,eff}$ magnitude of elementary charge surface recombination velocity W thickness of the wafer effective lifetime in the base material $au_{e\!f\!f}$ Shockley-Read-Hall lifetime $\tau_{SRH}$ $au_{0p}$ capture time constant of holes capture time constant of electrons $\tau_{0n}$ intrinsic lifetime associated with radiative and Auger recombination in the base $au_{intr}$ effective lifetime after correction for intrinsic lifetime

 $\tau_{corr}$ 

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5446563

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5446563

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>