ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Materials Chemistry and Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/matchemphys



# Effects of Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S alloy composition and post-deposition air anneal on ultra-thin CdTe solar cells produced by MOCVD



A.J. Clayton <sup>a, \*</sup>, M.A. Baker <sup>b</sup>, S. Babar <sup>b</sup>, R. Grilli <sup>b</sup>, P.N. Gibson <sup>c</sup>, G. Kartopu <sup>a</sup>, D.A. Lamb <sup>a</sup>, V. Barrioz <sup>d</sup>, S.J.C. Irvine <sup>a</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Centre for Solar Energy Research, College of Engineering, Swansea University, OpTIC, St. Asaph, LL17 0JD, UK
- <sup>b</sup> The Surface Analysis Laboratory, Department of Mechanical Engineering Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK
- <sup>c</sup> Institute for Health and Consumer Protection, Joint Research Centre of the European Commission, 21027, Ispra, VA, Italy
- d Engineering and Environment, Department of Physics and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University, Newcastle, NE1 8ST, UK

#### HIGHLIGHTS

- CdCl<sub>2</sub> anneal treatment resulted in S diffusing to the back contact.
- High Zn levels created mixed cubic/hexagonal structure at the p-n junction.
- Increased Zn in Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S supressed S diffusion into CdTe.
- $\bullet$  Device  $V_{oc}$  was enhanced overall with an additional back surface air anneal.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 19 May 2016
Received in revised form
31 December 2016
Accepted 23 January 2017
Available online 25 January 2017

Keywords: MOCVD CdTe XPS XRD Photovoltaics

#### ABSTRACT

Ultra-thin CdTe:As/Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S photovoltaic solar cells with an absorber thickness of 0.5  $\mu$ m were deposited by metal-organic chemical vapour deposition on indium tin oxide coated boro-aluminosilicate substrates. The Zn precursor concentration was varied to compensate for Zn leaching effects after CdCl<sub>2</sub> activation treatment. Analysis of the solar cell composition and structure by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy depth profiling and X-ray diffraction showed that higher concentrations of Zn in the Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S window layer resulted in suppression of S diffusion across the CdTe/Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S interface after CdCl<sub>2</sub> activation treatment. Excessive Zn content in the Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S alloy preserved the spectral response in the blue region of the solar spectrum, but increased series resistance for the solar cells. A modest increase in the Zn content of the Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S alloy together with a post-deposition air anneal resulted in an improved blue response and an enhanced open circuit voltage and fill factor. This device yielded a mean efficiency of 8.3% over 8 cells (0.25 cm<sup>2</sup> cell area) and best cell efficiency of 8.8%.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Thin film cadmium telluride (CdTe) has been an established technology for photovoltaic (PV) solar energy for a number of years [1]. Its commercial interest stems from the ease in material synthesis and ideal material properties coupled with high solar cell conversion efficiencies achievable (currently 22.1% for a cell [2] and 18.6% for a module with aperture area of 7038.8 cm² [3]). However, the rate at which Te can be supplied is largely dependent on the mining of Cu and its availability may become limited if the demand

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Andrew.J.Clayton@Swansea.ac.uk (A.J. Clayton).

of global Te increased significantly [4]. In addition, a decline in the rate of Cu extraction [5] could also impact the Te supply. Reduction of CdTe absorber thickness becomes an important consideration for continued large scale production of CdTe solar modules [6–8]. The use of less material will also have a positive impact for reducing manufacturing costs and carbon footprint providing that the PV conversion efficiency does not deteriorate significantly. However, ultra-thin ( $\leq 1~\mu m$ ) CdTe solar cells are more susceptible to lateral inhomogeneity across the device [9,10] which is a limiting factor for industrial production.

There have been several studies on ultra-thin CdTe PV cells [6,11-13], reporting a best cell efficiency of 11.2% for close spaced sublimated (CSS) CdTe cells with an absorber thickness of  $0.6~\mu m$  [11] and an 11% best and 10% mean efficiency over 25 dot cells

(0.06 cm<sup>2</sup> cell area) for 0.5 µm thick CdTe produced by sputtering [13]. These PV cell performances are very respectable when compared to standard CdTe solar devices and absorber thicknesses of 2-5 µm [14,15]. The power output per mass of material (or tellurium) used (W/g<sub>Te</sub>), which will be referred to as material power yield, increases as the CdTe thickness is reduced. This relates directly to the fact that the majority of carriers are generated close to the junction [9]. The enhanced material power yield with reduced CdTe thickness can be demonstrated considering the reported world record 22.1% efficiency for a CdTe cell [2]. Assuming a CdTe thickness of 4  $\mu m$  for this 22.1% efficient cell, gives a W/g<sub>Te</sub> of 0.19. For an equivalent 2 µm and 0.5 µm CdTe solar cell using the world record efficiency [2], the W/g<sub>Te</sub> would be 0.38 and 1.51 respectively. For the reported [13] 11% cell with 0.5 μm CdTe the material power yield is 0.75 W/g<sub>Te</sub>, which is a significant improvement compared to solar cells with (>2 µm) CdTe thicknesses, even with the current world record efficiency for 2 μm CdTe thickness. This shows the incentive for reducing the CdTe thickness in future commercial production of CdTe modules. This becomes more desirable if there is a sufficient drop in the manufacturing cost from using less material and the deterioration in solar cell/module performances for ultra-thin devices is minimised.

An improved spectral response in the blue region of the solar spectrum has been achieved for ultra-thin CdTe solar cells upon addition of Zn to the CdS layer giving a  $Cd_{1-x}Zn_xS$  alloy window layer with a larger band gap [10,16]. Previous work [17] has shown that metal-organic chemical vapour deposition (MOCVD) can introduce Zn into the CdS window laver in a controlled manner with determination of the optimised levels to give enhanced solar cell performance before the series resistance increases and a change in the lattice structure deteriorates collection at the junction. However, CdCl<sub>2</sub> activation treatment was found to reduce the Zn content in the Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S alloy window layer due to Zn leaching [10]. This is significant when the CdTe absorber thickness is reduced. Although the Zn composition in the Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S alloy window layer reduced as the CdCl<sub>2</sub> deposition increased, an improvement in open circuit voltage  $(V_{oc})$  was also observed [18]. This work considers the approach of using higher concentrations of Zn in the Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S alloy window layer to compensate for Zn leaching resulting from CdCl<sub>2</sub> activation.

In addition to the improvements in using a  $Cd_{1-x}Zn_xS$  alloy window layer, a post-CdCl<sub>2</sub> treatment air anneal at low temperature has been found [19,20] to be beneficial to MOCVD-produced CdTe solar cells. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) has shown [19] that there is oxidation of the CdTe after the air anneal and capacitance-voltage (*C-V*) measurements have confirmed [20] an increase in acceptor carrier concentration, as well as a reduction in the back contact barrier. These observations were for solar cells with a CdTe absorber thickness of 2.25  $\mu$ m, but the impact of this treatment on devices with reduced CdTe absorber thickness has not been reported. Other literature report [21,22] an improved CdTe solar cell performance carrying out the CdCl<sub>2</sub> anneal treatment with oxygen present in the chamber.

Changes to the solar cell composition and structure after the same CdCl<sub>2</sub> activation treatment are investigated using XPS depth

profiling and X-ray diffraction (XRD). Comparison between asgrown and air annealed devices is also made. These results are correlated with the corresponding PV solar cell performance.

#### 2. Experimental

Ultra-thin Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S/CdTe solar cells were produced using MOCVD in a single growth chamber. Boro-aluminosilicate glass coated with indium tin oxide was used as the substrate, with a thickness of 1.1 mm and sheet resistance of  $4-8 \Omega/\Box$ . A wide band gap Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S alloy window layer with a thickness of 0.24 μm was employed to enhance the spectral response in the blue region [16-18,24]. The 0.24 µm window layer thickness included a 0.05 µm CdS nucleation layer, the first layer to be deposited (at 315 °C) in the MOCVD growth chamber on to the ITO, which improved surface coverage of the Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S alloy window layer grown at the higher temperature of 360 °C. Interdiffusion of the CdS layer into the Cd<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>S alloy occurred during CdTe growth and Cl activation treatment. Different Zn concentrations in the alloy were achieved through varying the Zn precursor partial pressure,  $P_{\rm Zn}$ . Arsenic was used as the CdTe acceptor dopant with a mean concentration of  $\sim 5 \times 10^{18}$  atoms/cm<sup>3</sup>, and was introduced into the MOCVD growth chamber during CdTe deposition at 390 °C. All devices were treated in the same MOCVD chamber after CdTe growth, with the same CdCl<sub>2</sub> activation process; a CdCl<sub>2</sub> deposition time of 179 s at 200 °C and a thermal anneal at 420 °C for 10 min. The devices being subjected to a post-growth air anneal were placed in an oven at 170 °C for 30 min. Details of the processing parameters employed for the different samples are given in Table 1. In this Table, a comparison has been made to an equivalent MOCVD-grown ultra-thin CdTe device receiving no CdCl<sub>2</sub> anneal treatment (reference) and a CdTe device with absorber thickness of 2.25 μm (baseline). A previous study [18] determined that a greater CdCl2:CdTe thickness ratio was necessary compare to baseline devices produced using the same method. The approximate CdCl<sub>2</sub> thickness has been given, assuming a 1.2 nm/s growth rate determined in previous work [25]. Device PZN07 had a modest increase in the Zn precursor partial pressure  $(P_{Zn})$  than that typically employed (i.e. for the baseline, reference and PZN06 devices) during window layer deposition. For device PZN14,  $P_{Zn}$  was raised further, to double that used for device PZN07.

An anneal treatment without  $CdCl_2$  was not carried out in this study as the aim of the work was to study the efficiency enhancement associated with  $Cl^-$  diffusion in the activation process. After rinsing excess  $CdCl_2$  from the surface with deionised water, a further low temperature anneal was carried out for 30 min in air using an extracted oven set at 170 °C for devices PZN07 and PZN14 prior to back contact formation. Each ultra-thin CdTe solar cell device consisted of  $8 \times 0.25$  cm $^2$  cells defined by evaporating Au through a shadow mask. These cells are four times larger in size than other reported [13] high efficiency CdTe solar cells with reduced absorber thickness making them more susceptible to lateral inhomogeneities. The reason for this was to enable comparison to equivalent MOCVD-grown devices with the baseline CdTe absorber thickness. No Cu was added to the Au contacts and

 Table 1

 Ultra-thin  $Cd_{1-x}Zn_xS/CdTe$  solar cells with variable window layer Zn content controlled using different Zn precursor partial pressures  $(P_{Zn})$  during deposition.

Device	CdTe (µm)	P <sub>Zn</sub> (atm.)	CdCl <sub>2</sub> (s)	CdCl <sub>2</sub> (~µm)	Anneal (s)	Air anneal (s)
Baseline	2.25	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$	359	431	600	0
Reference	0.5	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$	0	0	0	0
PZN06	0.5	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$	179	215	600	0
PZN07	0.5	$6.9 \times 10^{-5}$	179	215	600	30
PZN14	0.5	$1.4\times10^{-4}$	179	215	600	30

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5448232

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5448232

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>