



Energetic and economic sensitivity analysis for photovoltaic water pumping systems



Imene Yahyaoui ^{a,*}, Ahmad Atieh ^b, Fernando Tadeo ^c, Giuseppe Marco Tina ^d

^a Technological Center, University of Espirito Santo, Brazil

^b University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan

^c Industrial Engineering School, University of Valladolid, Spain

^d Electric, Electronic and Computer Department, University of Catania, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 October 2016

Received in revised form 14 January 2017

Accepted 17 January 2017

Available online 30 January 2017

Keywords:

Photovoltaic energy

Sizing approach

PVsyst

Economic study

ABSTRACT

Costly battery energy storage are used in photovoltaic water pumping systems to ensure the power supply continuity and the system autonomy. However, the question of designing an optimum, economic and reliable system has not been fully answered. This research work proposes a smart sizing approach of a photovoltaic water pumping system components destined to Tomatoes irrigation. The system elements sizes namely the photovoltaic modules' surface, the battery bank capacity and the reservoir volume, are designed to save energy generated in excess, supply the water pump and ensure the system autonomy. Fluctuations occurrence, number of successive cloudy days and energetic losses are taken into account when designing. A theoretical analysis was carried out to assess the relation between the photovoltaic modules surface, the battery bank capacity and the water volume needed for the crops irrigation during the crops' vegetative cycle (from March to July). Additionally, the sizing algorithm results have been validated using PVsyst tool, which shows the efficiency of the obtained sizing. The economic sensitivity analysis for these water pumping systems in three countries, which are Tunisia, Spain and Qatar showed that photovoltaic-batteries/pump system shows that these systems are reliable and economic for both developing and developed countries.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The need to save water and energy is a serious issue that has increased in importance over the last years and will become more important in the near future (Young, 2013; Damerau et al, 2016; Yahyaoui et al, 2016). The low price of fuel was the reason why renewable energy sources are not widely used in several applications, including water pumping. Thus, water pumping systems based on renewable energies are still scarce, even though they have clear advantages, namely, low generating costs, suitability for remote areas, and being environmentally friendly. Nowadays, the price of electric energy is rising constantly, investing in more efficient solutions is also increasing (Ramos and Ramos, 2009).

Some renewable energies have been used in water pump applications, especially in remote agricultural areas, thanks to their potential. The renewable energies use depends on the user

propensity to invest in renewable based pumping systems, his/her awareness and knowledge of the technology for water pumping, and also on the availability, reliability, and economics of conventional options (Demetrios and Sotirios, 2006). Moreover, the evaluation of the groundwater volume required for irrigation and its availability in the area are also relevant in determining the profitability of renewable energies.

In this context, the Photovoltaic (PV) energy is considered an attractive solution to provide autonomous water pumping systems with electricity, especially in remote areas, where the continuous need to provide diesel is considered the most important disadvantage of diesel based systems. Therefore, the PV system should provide the autonomous installation with the needed energy, which requires an optimum sizing and energy management of the system components that are affected by the intermittent climatic parameters, namely the solar radiation and the ambient temperature (Yahyaoui, 2016; Yahyaoui et al. 2016).

In fact, the components sizing of autonomous PV systems is considered a key factor to generate the required power by the water pump during the days of autonomy (Jakhrani et al., 2012; Khatib et al., 2013). Consequently, the optimal sizing is indeed

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: imene.yahyaoui@ufes.br (I. Yahyaoui), akatieh@hotmail.com (A. Atieh), fernando@autom.uva.es (F. Tadeo), Giuseppe.tina@dieei.unict.it (G.M. Tina).

Nomenclature

A_{ci}	amount of clouds per day (%)	PV	photovoltaic
ANN	Artificial Neural Network	P_{pv}	Photovoltaic power (W)
C_b	battery cost (€/battery for n_y)	r_m	the rainfall (m^3)
C_{bat}	nominal battery capacity (A h)	R'_b	ratio of direct radiation on tilted PV module and direct radiation on horizontal PV module
C_{inv}	cost of inverter (€/inverter for n_y)	P_{pump}	water pump power (W)
C_{opt}	optimum batteries' capacity (Ah)	$P_{pv\ i}$	PV module power (W) at the minimum module surface
C_p	Peukert capacity (A h)	S_i	PV module surface (m^2)
C_{pv}	PV module cost (€/module for n_y)	S_i	minimum PV modules' surface (m^2)
C_R	stored charge in the battery (W h)	S_M	PV module surface at month M (m^2)
d_{aut}	number of days of autonomy	S_{opt}	optimum module surface (m^2)
d_{rech}	number of days needed to recharge the battery	T	mean monthly air temperature
dod	Depth of Discharge	T_a	ambient temperature at the panel surface ($^{\circ}C$)
E_c	energy stored in the batteries (W h)	T_{aref}	reference ambient temperature ($^{\circ}C$)
E_d	daily energy consumption (W h)	$T_c(t)$	PV cell temperature ($^{\circ}C$)
E_e	energy extracted energy from the batteries (W h)	T_{ref}	PV cell reference temperature ($^{\circ}C$)
E_{pump}	energy needed by the pump (W h)	t_{sr}	time of sunrise (h)
E_{PM}	energy extracted from the battery at pm (W h)	t_{ss}	time of sunset (h)
E_{PV}	energy generated by the PV modules (W h)	V	water volume needed to irrigate Tomatoes
EC_e	crop salt tolerance ($dS\ m^{-1}$)	V_{bat}	battery voltage (V)
EC_w	electrical conductivity of the irrigation water ($dS\ m^{-1}$)	$V_{leaked/excess}$	water volume leaked or in excess (m^3)
ET_o	reference crop evapotranspiration	V_{pumped}	possible pumped water volume (m^3)
f_i	irrigation frequency	$V_{reservoir}$	required volume of the reservoir (m^3)
G	solar radiation (W/m^2)	w	angle of the sun at a specific hour
GA	Genetic Algorithm	W_{pv}	average daily radiation ($W\ h/m^2/day$)
H	monthly global solar radiation (W/m^2)	W_{pvc_i}	solar energy for the month M using the clear sky model (W h)
$\bar{H}$	solar energy for the month M ($W\ h/m^2$)	w_s	angle of the sun at sunset
$H_b(t, d)$	direct solar radiation	y_{bat}	number of times the batteries are replaced during n_y years
$H_d(t, d)$	diffused solar radiation (W/m^2)	y_{chop}	number of times the chopper is replaced during n_y years
$H_t(t, d)$	solar radiation on the tilted module (W/m^2)	y_{inv}	number of inverters replaced during n_y years
K	correction factor	η	efficiency coefficient required (%)
k_c	seasonal crop coefficient	η_{bat}	electrical efficiency of batteries bank (%)
k_p	Peukert coefficient	η_{error}	error permitted in the sizing approach (%)
k_t	clearness index	η_{inv}	inverter performance (%)
l_f	leaching efficiency coefficient as a function of the irrigation water applied (%)	η_l	electrical efficiency of installation that includes Ohmic-wiring losses (%)
L_R	leaching fraction given by the humidity that remains in the soil expressed in (%)	$\eta_{matching}$	PV module matching performance (%)
LLP	Loss of Load Probability	η_{opt}	PV module performance due to optical effects (%)
M	month of the year	η_{pv}	PV module yield (%)
M_{bat}	maintenance cost for one battery (€/battery per year)	η_r	module efficiency at the reference conditions, STC (Standard Test Conditions) (%)
M_{chop}	maintenance cost for one chopper (€/chopper per year)	η_{reg}	regulator performance (%)
M_{inv}	maintenance cost for one inverter (€/inverter per year)	$\eta_{reservoir}$	water losses in the reservoir (%)
M_{pv}	PV module maintenance cost (€/module per year)	η_1	efficiency coefficient obtained (%)
MPPT	Maximum Power Point Tracking	β	PV module tilt angle ($^{\circ}$)
n_{bat_i}	minimum batteries number	β_{pv}	temperature coefficient for the module yield ($^{\circ}C^{-1}$)
n_{bat_M}	battery number in the month M	ρ	Albedo of the soil
$n_{bat_{opt}}$	optimum batteries number using the sizing algorithm	∂k	time between instant $k-1$ and k
n_{bat}	batteries number	θ	incidence angle of the solar radiation ($^{\circ}$)
n_c	number of consecutive cloudy days	θ_z	Zenith angle of the sun ($^{\circ}$)
n_{ci}	number of consecutive cloudy days per month M	Δdod_{max}	maximum dod variation (%)
n_{chop}	number of choppers	Δt	pumping duration (h)
n_{M_i}	days number in the month M		
n_{pv}	number of PV modules		
n_y	years number used for the systems costs evaluation		
NOCT	Nominal Operating Cell Temperature		

recognized as being crucial for the system to provide satisfactory power to the load. More precisely, for agricultural applications, where water is used principally for crops irrigation, the size of PV modules surface, the battery bank capacity and the reservoir volume must guarantee the pumping of the water volume needed by the crops during their vegetative cycle (Khatib et al., 2013).

In previous works, procedures have been proposed to size the PV water pumping components by taking into account energetic criteria (Capizzi et al., 2011; Acakpovi et al., 2012; Khatib et al., 2012). In this context, several techniques have been used in the literature. While early studies focused on developing analytical methods based on a simple calculation of the PV modules' surface

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5451134>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5451134>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)