



## Anelasticity in cast Mg-Gd alloys

D. Nagarajan<sup>1</sup>

ARC-Centre of Excellence for Design in Light Metals, Materials Engineering, School of Engineering, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Anelasticity  
Mg-Gd alloys  
Mg-Al alloys  
Mg-Zn alloys  
Short range order  
Elastic twinning

### ABSTRACT

Cyclic loading-unloading tests in tension and compression were carried out on pure Mg and alloys with 0.4, 1.5 and 4.2 at% Gd, over a range of grain sizes, to quantify the solute and strain dependence of the materials anelasticity in the form of hysteresis loops. For a given grain size, the anelastic effect was more pronounced, i.e., the loops were wider, for pure Mg, and it decreased rapidly with the Gd concentration. The effect was larger for the finer grains in all materials, and in compression for the pure Mg and the 0.4Gd alloy. No difference between tension and compression was observed for the 1.5Gd alloy, whereas the loops were wider in tension than in compression for the 4.2Gd alloy. In comparison with existing studies in Mg-Al and Mg-Zn alloys, for a given solute concentration, Gd was more effective in reducing the magnitude of the effect than either Zn or Al, in that order. The overall behavior is discussed in terms of the hardening effects of short range order of the alloys on  $\{10\bar{1}2\}$  and  $\{10\bar{1}1\}$  twinning.

### 1. Introduction

The activation of twinning at low stress and strains in Mg allows it to play a crucial role, that of indirectly meeting the von-Mises criterion of five independent slip systems, for homogeneous plastic deformation [1–3]. These twins are not fully stable in the deformed state [4] and tend to partly revert, once the applied stress is removed [5] or reversed [6,7], leading to hysteresis loops during loading and unloading. This type of hysteresis loops have been reported in pure Mg and Mg-Al [5,6,8–10], and Mg-Zn [11]. Similar loops have also been observed in Zr [12].

Gharghouri et al. [5,6] used neutron diffraction to show that the partial reversion of  $\{10\bar{1}2\}$  twins upon unloading is the main cause of the loops in pure Mg and Mg-Al. An in-situ study on cast AZ31 alloy [13] also showed that  $\{10\bar{1}1\}$  twins form during unloading on grains with the c-axis normal (or nearly so) to the tensile direction, adding to the overall anelastic strain. More recent studies [10,11] showed that the anelastic strain in Mg-Al first decreased with the Al content (up to 2 at%) but increased again for the terminal solid solubility (~9%Al), whereas in Mg-Zn it decreased monotonically with increasing Zn contents up to the maximum solubility limit (~2.5 at%). This difference in behavior was ascribed to short range order (SRO) at large Zn concentrations, considering that twinning generally becomes more difficult as ordering sets in [14]. In Mg-Al, Mg-Zn or in pure Mg, the loops were wider in compression than in tension, an effect that

accounted for by the polar nature of twinning in conjunction with the tension character of the  $\{10\bar{1}2\}$  twins [11,15]. Under compressive uniaxial stress the volume fraction of twins is larger in compression than under tension, hence the wider loops in the former situation. The increased amount of twinning in compression leads also to a lower yield strength and in some cases to a plateau in the flow curve, giving rise to a tension-compression asymmetry [10,16].

More recent work on the Hall-Petch relation of Mg-Gd alloys [17] showed that dilute Mg-Gd alloys reproduced the pattern of behavior described above, i.e., the yield strength was lower in compression and a plateau in the flow curve. At higher concentrations, however, a reversion in the phenomenon occurred: the yield strength was less in tension than in compression. The reversion was explained through the activation of  $\{10\bar{1}1\}$  twins. Since these twins are ‘compression’ in character, (as opposed to ‘extension’ as  $\{10\bar{1}2\}$  twins) the effects upon the yield strength were reversed. The activation of  $\{10\bar{1}1\}$  twins, in turn, was explained by the very high yield strength of the concentrated alloy, due to the strong SRO introduced by Gd. The same work, and in line with prior work in Mg-Zn [16], assigned twinning a determining role in the Hall-Petch behavior of alloys. When twinning is activated at small strain, a lower stress intensity factor,  $k$ , is expected, whereas when solute interferes with the activation of twinning, twinning is delayed and  $k$  increases. The study of the anelastic effect offers a straightforward way to characterise how twinning develops as a function of the applied stress and strain for the given alloy, and this

E-mail address: [nagarajand@nitrrkl.ac.in](mailto:nagarajand@nitrrkl.ac.in).

<sup>1</sup> Current address: Assistant Professor, Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, National Institute of Technology (NIT) Rourkela, Rourkela – 769 008, Odisha State, India.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2017.03.094>

Received 7 December 2016; Accepted 24 March 2017

Available online 29 March 2017

0921-5093/ © 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

was the driving force for the present study. This is required to understand the role of twinning on the Hall-Petch behavior of Mg alloys and also to correct the 0.2% yield strength data accounting for anelasticity.

In this work, the effect of solute concentration on the anelastic behavior in Mg-Gd alloys was studied, in tension and compression, and for different grain sizes. The presence of SRO has been confirmed by diffuse x-ray scattering in this alloy system [18], and a behavior akin, only stronger, to that of the Mg-Zn alloys was anticipated. The solute contents were selected to cover the range of dilute (Gd < 0.4 at%) and concentrated (up to 4.5 at%) solid solutions.

## 2. Experimental procedure

Pure Mg and Mg-Gd alloys with 0.4, 1.5 and 4.2 at% were selected for the present study. Commercial purity magnesium was melted in an electric resistance furnace and predetermined amounts of Mg-40.5 wt % Gd master alloy were added. Different amounts of Zr in the form of Mg-22.5 wt% Zr master alloy were added to the melt as a grain refiner to achieve different grain sizes for each alloy composition. The melt was stirred for 10 min by hand<sup>2</sup> to ensure complete dissolution of the solute elements and pouring into either sand, steel or copper mould was carried out at 710–755 °C to get different grain sizes employing different cooling rates. Plates of dimensions 150×150×32 mm<sup>3</sup> from the sand and copper moulds, and cylinders of diameter 70 mm×height 150 mm from the steel mould castings were obtained.

The castings were sectioned into either 10×10×95 mm<sup>3</sup> or 20×20×95 mm<sup>3</sup> bars for making tensile samples or diameter 22 mm×height 45 mm cylinders for making compression samples, and these were solution-treated in argon atmosphere followed by quenching in water. Solution heat treatment was carried out at 535 °C for 3 and 9 h for the 0.4% and 1.5% Gd, respectively, and 540 °C for 12 h for the 4.2% Gd. The additional time and temperature was given for the concentrated alloys to ensure the complete dissolution of the precipitates in to the solid solution. The pure Mg specimens were stress-relieved at 250 °C for 2 h and furnace cooled.

The chemical composition of the alloys was determined using the inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy and the results are shown in Table 1. Samples for grain size measurement were polished to 1 μm diamond finish followed by alumina polishing and etched using acetic – picric acid mixture [20 ml acetic acid, 3 g picric acid, 20 ml H<sub>2</sub>O and 50 ml ethanol]. The mean grain sizes calculated over 800 grains using the linear intercept method are listed in Table 1.

Cylindrical tensile specimens of different gauge diameter, according to the grain size, with a gauge length of 25 mm and cylindrical compression specimens of diameter 20 mm and height 40 mm were machined from the heat treated sections. To ensure true polycrystalline behavior, the specimen gauge diameter to the grain diameter ratio was always maintained greater than 35 for all the mechanical testing samples, except for the tensile samples of the coarsest grain sizes 432 and 730 μm, which was maintained at 15. Cyclic loading – unloading tests (see Fig. 1) were carried out at crosshead speeds ranging between 0.05 and 0.7 mm/min, the lower speed was used for the lower strain values of the hysteresis loop testing. A pair of opposing, knife-edge averaging extensometers were used to reduce any error in the recorded strain values caused because of sample misalignment. Tension samples were tested until fracture and compression samples were tested up to 7% strain. Data were stored at a rate of 250 points per second.

<sup>2</sup> Mechanical stirring resulted in excessive burning of the melt due to the extreme reactivity of Gd.

## 3. Observations

Fig. 1. shows a representative loading-unloading flow curve where the terms used in this work are defined.

Flow curves in tension and compression for the alloys studied are shown in Fig. 2a and b. The strength increased with the Gd content and with the exception of the most concentrated alloy, the loops were wider in compression than in tension. The tensile ductility of the 4.2Gd alloy specimens was very low due to the presence of large oxide films, and that limited the collection of data to strains of about 0.015 [17].

The anelastic strain, as defined in Fig. 1, was plotted as a function of true plastic strain for the different alloys and grain sizes in Fig. 3. The anelasticity progressively developed after an incubation plastic strain, which depended upon the alloy content and grain size; it then reached a maximum at a plastic strain of between 0.01 and 0.025 for all of the alloys and grain sizes.

Fig. 4 shows that the anelasticity developed at very low plastic strains in pure Mg compared with the alloys. The addition of Gd reduced the magnitude of anelasticity and shifted its onset towards larger strains, keeping it below the  $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_p$  line for all of the alloys, save for the finer grain size 0.4Gd alloy in compression.

Fig. 5a and b show the effect of grain size and the Gd content, respectively, on the anelastic strain, taken at a plastic strain of 0.002, for the alloys studied. The anelastic strain was generally larger in compression than the tension for all the grain sizes of the pure Mg and Mg-0.4Gd alloy, except for the coarsest grain size where there was no difference between the tension and compression. The anelastic strain was almost same at all the grain sizes for both tension and compression of the 1.5Gd alloy, whereas tension appeared to have more anelastic strain than the compression for the 4.2Gd alloy. Overall the anelastic strain decreased with the increasing grain size for all the materials. The anelastic effect decreased with increasing Gd content and was more pronounced for the finer grains in all materials.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Tension-Compression anelastic behavior

The larger anelastic strain in compression than in tension for the pure Mg and the dilute (0.4Gd) alloy is consistent with the notion that the behavior arises from a combination of the polar nature of twinning and the fact that the twin mode with the lowest activation stress is the “tension” {10 $\bar{1}$ 2} twin: in a random polycrystal, the fraction of grains having their c-axis favourably oriented for (tension) twinning is larger under a compressive stress than under a tensile stress [5,9–11,15]. This behavior of the 0.4Gd alloys is consistent with the behavior of the Mg-Zn and Mg-Al alloys reported in [5,6,9,11,13]. By the same token, the lack of difference between tension and compression for the 1.5Gd alloys, and the reversion, i.e., larger anelastic strain in tension, for the 4.2Gd alloys is puzzling. A possible explanation is put forward when the effects of different solutes is discussed below.

### 4.2. Grain size effects

The larger anelastic strain at smaller grain sizes is consistent with the idea proposed in [9,11] that small grain sizes offer more nucleation sites for favourably oriented twinning to occur due to the increased specific grain boundary area. However, the more gradual development of anelastic strain/ profuse twinning in the alloys in comparison with pure Mg implies that more microplasticity precedes the formation of most twins in the alloys, and the availability of nucleation sites can be expected to increase in proportion. The activation of twins, hence the reversion, are determined by the difference between the applied and the internal stress, rather than the specific grain boundary area. Smaller twins are less likely to relax plastically, so their tendency to revert can be expected to be larger as well. That is, a reduced grain size

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5455696>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5455696>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)