



To the question of efficiency of iterative methods

Tamara Kogan^a, Luba Sapir^{b,*}, Amir Sapir^{c,d}, Ariel Sapir^e^a Amit Educational Network, Beer-Sheva, Israel^b Departments of Mathematics and Computer Science, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel^c Department of Computer Science, Sapir Academic College, Sha'ar HaNegev, Yehudah, Israel^d The Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel^e Department of Computer Science, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 September 2016

Received in revised form 11

November 2016

Accepted 12 November 2016

Available online 19 November 2016

Keywords:

Iterative methods

One-point method without memory

Efficiency index

Informational efficiency

Computational efficiency

Order of convergence

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove that, among all one-point iterative processes without memory of order p , the most efficient processes are of order $p = 3$. Moreover, the computational efficiency of one-point iterative processes without memory decreases to 1 as p increases, i.e., the efficiency index of higher order of convergence methods is low. We find the upper and lower bounds of the Ostrowski–Traub index of computational efficiency in a wider class of iterative methods with unit informational efficiency.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let α be a simple real root of a single non-linear equation of the form

$$f(x) = 0, \quad (1)$$

and x_0 be an initial approximation to α .

For solving (1) we apply a one-point iterative method without memory

$$x_n = F(x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

where $F(\alpha) = \alpha$.

Recall that, according to the classification of Traub [1], a one-point iterative method without memory is determined, at each step n , only by the new information at x_{n-1} , and we do not need to remember any evaluations from previous steps. Many classical methods, such as

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: lsapir@bgu.ac.il (L. Sapir), amirsa@cs.bgu.ac.il (A. Sapir), arielsa@cs.bgu.ac.il (A. Sapir).

Newton's method, of order 2:

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})}{f'(x_{n-1})}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3)$$

Chebyshev's method, of order 3:

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})}{f'(x_{n-1})} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{f(x_{n-1})f''(x_{n-1})}{2(f'(x_{n-1}))^2} \right), \quad (4)$$

and

Halley's method [2], of order 3:

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})}{f'(x_{n-1})} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{f(x_{n-1})f''(x_{n-1})}{2(f'(x_{n-1}))^2}}, \quad (5)$$

belong to this category.

In this paper we obtain bounds for the efficiency index of the iterative methods of a certain class and identify the optimal order among these methods.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the notations, definitions and some previous results, pertaining to this paper. Section 3 provides our main results, where we obtain the tight bounds for the efficiency index of one-point methods without memory and prove that the index is maximal for processes of order 3. Section 4 contains brief conclusions.

2. Definitions and notations

2.1. Order of convergence

Let $e_n = x_n - \alpha$ be the *error* at the n th stage of a convergent iterative process. If

$$|e_{n+1}| = c|e_n|^k + o(|e_n|^k), \quad (6)$$

where c and k are some positive constants, then the iterative process *converges with order* $p = k$, and the number c is called the *asymptotic error constant*. In 1870, Schröder [3] defined the order of convergence as follows: an iterative function F is of order p if

$$F(\alpha) = \alpha, \quad F^{(i)}(\alpha) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p-1, \quad F^{(p)}(\alpha) \neq 0.$$

Clearly, this definition is valid only for integer values of order p and for iterative functions with p continuous derivatives.

Wall [4] defined the order of the process in a more general way, namely by

$$p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}, \quad (7)$$

where $p_n = -\log |e_n|$, if the limit of the right-hand side exists. Note that Definition (7) generalizes (6). Indeed, if the process converges with order k (i.e., (6) takes place) then, for sufficiently large n ,

$$p_{n+1} \approx -\log c + kp_n,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} \approx -\frac{\log c}{p_n} + k.$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5471673>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5471673>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)