



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Aerospace Science and Technology

www.elsevier.com/locate/aescte


Uncertain reduced-order modeling for unsteady aerodynamics with interval parameters and its application on robust flutter boundary prediction

Xianjia Chen^a, Zhiping Qiu^{a,*}, Xiaojun Wang^a, Yunlong Li^b, Ruixing Wang^c

^a Institute of Solid Mechanics, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

^b Department of Mechanical Science and Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, United States

^c Key Laboratory for Mechanics in Fluid Solid Coupling Systems, Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 February 2017

Received in revised form 7 August 2017

Accepted 12 September 2017

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Uncertain reduced-order modeling

Robust flutter analysis

Interval perturbation method

Uncertain aeroelastic system

ABSTRACT

Computational fluid dynamics based unsteady aerodynamic reduced-order models can significantly improve the efficiency of transonic aeroelastic analysis. In this paper, the concept of the conventional model reduction method based on the system identification theory is extended to aerodynamic subsystems with the consideration of computational fluid dynamics-induced interval uncertainties in simulation to get the aerodynamic reduced-order model as uncertain as the original aerodynamic subsystem. The interval estimation of identified coefficients involved in the uncertain reduced-order model is obtained by utilizing the first-order interval perturbation method. The stability problem of the interval aeroelastic state-space model formulated based on the constructed uncertain aerodynamic reduced-order model is equivalently transformed into a standard interval eigenvalue problem associated with a real non-symmetric interval matrix in which the interval bounds of eigenvalues are evaluated by virtue of the first-order interval matrix perturbation algorithm. A new stability criterion for the interval aeroelastic state matrix is defined to predict the robust flutter boundary of the concerned uncertain aeroelastic system. Two numerical examples with respect to the uncertain aerodynamic ROM constructions and robust flutter boundary predictions of the two-dimensional Isogai wing and the three-dimensional AGARD 445.6 wing in transonic regime are implemented to assess the validity and accuracy of the presented approach. The obtained results are also compared with Monte Carlo simulation solutions as well as numerical and experimental results in the literatures indicating that the proposed method can provide a more robust and conservative prediction on the flutter boundary of an aeroelastic system compared with conventional deterministic aeroelastic analysis approaches.

© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Classical aeroelasticity is the study dealing with the stability and response of elastic structures under the interaction of inertial forces, structural, and aerodynamic. Fluid–structure interaction effects are of paramount importance regarding the limits of the flight envelope and therefore strongly influence safety and efficiency requirements [1].

The issue of dynamic stability, which is commonly referred to as the flutter analysis, is an important branch in the field of aeroelasticity. The accurate prediction of unsteady aerodynamic forces is an essential foundation for flutter analysis. Due to the inherent superiority over the traditional linear potential flow theory for ad-

ressing distinct aerodynamic nonlinearities in the transonic flight regime or at a high angle of attack, the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) techniques have been widely used in aerodynamic calculations during the last several decades. However, in terms of efficiency, the high-fidelity CFD approach requires expensive computational costs associated with the meticulous descriptions of flow in both spatial and temporal dimensions, which limits its further applications in aeroelastic analysis, optimal design and control.

To alleviate the contradiction between the computational efficiency and predictive accuracy, increasing attention has been paid to the CFD-based reduced-order models (ROMs), which provide an alternate way to effectively model unsteady aerodynamic loads. The CFD-based ROM seeks to construct a simple mathematical representation model, which can capture the dominant behavior of the aerodynamic or aeroelastic system and can be convenient to

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: zpqiu@buaa.edu.cn (Z. Qiu).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ast.2017.09.018>

1270-9638/© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Nomenclature

a	dimensionless distance of elastic axis behind midchord	x_α	dimensionless distance of center of gravity behind stiffness center
\mathbf{A}_{as}^i	interval state matrix of uncertain aeroelastic model	<i>Greek</i>	
\mathbf{A}_i	coefficient matrices of $\mathbf{f}(k-i)$	α	pitch displacement
b	airfoil semichord	$\mathbf{\Gamma}_\theta$	feasible set of identified coefficients
\mathbf{B}_j	coefficient matrices of $\xi(k-j)$	$\mathbf{\Gamma}_\lambda$	feasible set of eigenvalues
\mathbf{e}	output error vector	δ	perturbation variable
E	Young's modulus	Δ	radius of interval
\mathbf{f}	system output (generalized aerodynamic coefficient) vector	θ	coefficient set of the aerodynamic ROM to be identified
\mathbf{F}	generalized aerodynamic force vector	$\hat{\theta}$	estimation of identified coefficients
G	shear modulus	λ_i	the i th eigenvalue of matrix
\mathbf{G}	generalized structural damping matrix	λ_{im}	imaginary part of the i th eigenvalue of matrix
h	plunge displacement of elastic axis	λ_{ir}	real part of the i th eigenvalue of matrix
$J(\theta)$	criterion function with respect to θ	μ	mass ratio
k	discrete time step	ν	Poisson's ratio
\mathbf{K}	generalized structural stiffness matrix	ξ	system input (generalized structural displacement) vector
K_h	plunge spring constant	ρ	density
K_α	pitch spring constant	ω_h	uncoupled natural frequency of airfoil in plunge
L	data length of system input and output	ω_α	uncoupled natural frequency of airfoil in pitch
M	modal truncation order of structural subsystem	<i>Abbreviations</i>	
\mathbf{M}	generalized structural mass matrix	ARMA	autoregressive moving average
Ma	Mach number of freestream	ARX	autoregressive model with exogenous input
na	output delay orders of aerodynamic model	CFD	computational fluid dynamics
nb	input delay orders of aerodynamic model	LB	lower bound
q	freestream dynamic pressure	LTI	linear time invariant
q^*	critical dynamic pressure	MCS	Monte Carlo simulation
r_α	dimensionless gyration radius of airfoil around stiffness center	NV	nominal value
t	real time	POD	proper orthogonal decomposition
V_f^*	flutter speed index	ROM(s)	reduced-order model(s)
\mathbf{v}_i	eigenvector associated with the i th eigenvalue of matrix	ROM-DAR	reduced-order modeling suitable for deterministic aerodynamic responses
\mathbf{v}_{im}	imaginary part of the i th eigenvector	ROM-UAR	reduced-order modeling suitable for uncertain aerodynamic responses
\mathbf{v}_{ir}	real part of the i th eigenvector	UB	upper bound
\mathbf{x}_a	state vector of aerodynamic state-space ROM		
\mathbf{x}_{as}	state vector of aeroelastic state-space model		
\mathbf{x}_s	state vector of structural state-space model		

use in the conceptual design, control and data-driven systems [2]. According to different modeling ideas, the methodologies to reduce the order of an aerodynamic model can be subdivided broadly into two main categories: one is based on the proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) approach [3] and the other on the system identification technology, mainly including autoregressive moving average (ARMA) models [4], linear state-space models [5], Volterra series models [6] and neural networked models [7]. Typically, most of the current proposed CFD-based ROMs, such as first-order POD methods, ARMA models, linear state-space models and first-order Volterra series models, are dynamic linear models constructed under the assumption of small-amplitude vibrations, which can accurately predict mildly nonlinear responses and are suitable for a wide range of flight conditions. These aerodynamic ROMs have been extensively applied to the analysis or design of transonic flutter [8], limit cycle oscillation [9], gust response [10], aeroservoelasticity [11], aerothermoelasticity [12] and transonic flutter suppression with control delay [13] with respect to simple airfoils, three-dimensional wings and even complete aircrafts in both frequency and time domain through the years. Most of the existing aerodynamic ROMs are generally linear or weakly-nonlinear models. The latest developments in the field of aerodynamic model reduction especially nonlinear model reduction is discussed by Marques et

al. [14]. Among the nonlinear ROMs, the nonlinear model projection is used to the reduction of nonlinear aerodynamic models for gust response prediction allowing a systematic investigation of the influence of a large number of gust shapes without regenerating the ROM [15]. The investigation on the accuracy of prediction and incurred computational cost of ROMs based on indicial functions, Volterra theory using nonlinear kernels, radial basis functions and a surrogate-based recurrence framework for X-31 aircraft pitching motions indicates that these ROMs can produce accurate predictions for a wide range of motions in transonic regime with a limited number of time-accurate CFD simulations [16]. While maintaining a high level of accuracy, the preceding aerodynamic model reduction methods can expedite the computational efficiency by 1 to 2 orders of magnitude compared with full CFD simulations, and demonstrate a huge potential for the analysis and design of aeroelasticity.

Generally, conventional aeroelasticity investigations are performed under the assumption of complete determinacy of systems. As a practical matter, real aeroelastic systems are inevitably confronted with multiple sources of uncertainty arising from 1) modeling-induced uncertainties due to simplifying assumptions, modal truncation, errors in boundary conditions and unmodeled dynamics, 2) numerical uncertainties generated by diversity in

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5472661>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5472661>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)