Accepted Manuscript

Transient Response Simulation of A Passive Sensible Heat Storage System and The Comparison to A Conventional Active Indirect Two-Tank Unit

Fritz Zaversky, Javier Pérez de Zabalza Asiain, Marcelino Sánchez

PII:	S0360-5442(17)31340-3
DOI:	10.1016/j.energy.2017.07.156
Reference:	EGY 11344
To appear in:	Energy
Received Date:	11 January 2017
Revised Date:	04 July 2017
Accepted Date:	26 July 2017

Please cite this article as: Fritz Zaversky, Javier Pérez de Zabalza Asiain, Marcelino Sánchez, Transient Response Simulation of A Passive Sensible Heat Storage System and The Comparison to A Conventional Active Indirect Two-Tank Unit, *Energy* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2017.07.156

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



TRANSIENT RESPONSE SIMULATION OF A PASSIVE SENSIBLE HEAT STORAGE SYSTEM AND THE COMPARISON TO A CONVENTIONAL ACTIVE INDIRECT TWO-TANK UNIT

Fritz Zaversky¹, Javier Pérez de Zabalza Asiain², Marcelino Sánchez¹

 ¹ National Renewable Energy Center (CENER), Solar Thermal Energy Department Address: c/ Ciudad de la Innovación 7, Sarriguren (Navarre), Spain E-mail: <u>fzaversky@cener.com</u>
² Public University of Navarre (UPNA), Department of Mechanical, Thermal and Materials Engineering Campus Arrosadía s/n CP 31006, Pamplona, Spain

5 6 7 8 ² P 9 10 11 **Abstract**

1

2

3

4

12 This work presents a 1-D numerical model of a passive sensible thermal energy storage (TES) system 13 using high-temperature concrete as storage medium. It is successfully validated against experimental data 14 obtained from a pilot-scale concrete storage module. A specific Nusselt number correlation is developed 15 providing better accuracy for the specific heat transfer fluid (Therminol VP1) and observed Reynolds 16 numbers, than conventional general purpose heat transfer correlations for forced convection inside tubes. 17 Then, the model is up-scaled to a commercial-sized thermal capacity of 504 MWh, providing grid-18 independent solutions and cyclic-steady-state initialization values for further use in a general purpose 19 CSP model library. The proposed implementation in Modelica provides a flexible and intuitive simulation 20 tool, which is not limited to a single simulation platform.

Next, the presented model of the concrete TES system is used to compare its transient response with that of a conventional active indirect two-tank heat storage system. Whilst the concrete TES system shows lower maximum rates of change in HTF outlet temperature right after the switching of operating modes, the conventional two-tank TES system has the important advantage of steady-state operation, providing constant HTF outlet temperature, which is very favorable considering steam cycle efficiency and thermal

26 fatigue management.

27 Keywords: Concentrated solar power (CSP); thermal energy storage (TES); transient response

28 1 Introduction

29 Solar thermal power, also known as concentrated solar power (CSP) or solar thermal electricity (STE) can 30 be considered as a highly promising technology when it comes to dispatchable and thus grid-friendly 31 supply of renewable electricity. This is due to the possibility of thermal energy storage (TES), the key 32 advantage over other renewable technologies (such as wind or photovoltaic), which enables the 33 decoupling between solar energy collection and electricity production. Given the abundant amount of 34 solar power available for terrestrial solar collectors (85 PW) [1], which exceeds the current world's power 35 demand (15 TW) several thousand times [1], CSP is a highly promising alternative to conventional fossil-36 fuel or nuclear technology, setting new standards in terms of environmental impact, sustainability, safety, 37 and thus quality of life.

38 Unfortunately, currently the cost of electricity generation for CSP (≈14 c€/kWh [2]) is still clearly above 39 conventional technology and other renewables (wind and photovoltaic reach 6 $c \in kWh$ on average [2]). 40 However, rather than comparing the pure cost, one should compare the true value of CSP for grid 41 operation and capacity [2] when considering an increasing fraction of not dispatchable renewables. Solar 42 thermal electricity is not to be seen as competitor of photovoltaic, in fact, it will be the enabler. 43 Additionally, it must be said that up to the present day, irreversible long-term damages of conventional 44 fossil and nuclear technology are not taken into account in the cost calculations, which makes a pure cost-45 based comparison definitely unfair.

In summary, TES is the big advantage of CSP and the conceptual implementation and operation has to be optimized. In particular, due to the highly intermittent nature of solar energy (cloud movements) the transient performance of TES systems and, especially, the ability of performing fast changes in load are Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5475636

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5475636

Daneshyari.com