Energy 116 (2016) 836-850

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/energy

Risk management and participation planning of electric vehicles in smart grids for demand response

Nasim Nezamoddini, Yong Wang*

Department of Systems Science and Industrial Engineering, Binghamton University, USA

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 9 May 2016 Received in revised form 12 September 2016 Accepted 1 October 2016

Keywords: Electric vehicle Grid-to-vehicle Vehicle-to-grid Smart grid Demand response

ABSTRACT

Demand response (DR) can serve as an effective tool to better balance the electricity demand and supply in the smart grid. It is defined as "the changes in electricity usage by end-use customers from their normal consumption patterns" in response to pricing and incentive payments. This paper focuses on new opportunities for DR with electric vehicles (EVs). EVs are potential distributed energy resources that support both the grid-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-grid modes. Their participation in the time-based (e.g., time-of-use) and incentive-based (e.g., regulation services) DR programs helps improve the stability and reduce the potential risks to the grid. Smart scheduling of EV charging and discharging activities also supports high penetration of renewables with volatile energy generation. This paper proposes a novel stochastic model from the Independent System Operator's perspective for risk management and participation planning of EVs in the smart grid for DR. The risk factors considered in this paper involve those caused by uncertainties in renewables (wind and solar), load patterns, parking patterns, and transmission lines' reliability. The effectiveness of the model in response to various settings such as the area type (residential, commercial, and industrial), the EV penetration level, and the risk level has been investigated.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The overall sales of electric vehicles (EVs) have been steadily rising [1]. The worldwide sales of modern EVs have recently passed the one-million milestone as shown in Fig. 1. Multiple reasons have contributed to such an increasing trend in recent years. One reason is that significant savings can be achieved by driving EVs in place of traditional internal combustion engine vehicles. One example of a Nissan Leaf vs. a Toyota Camry is shown in Fig. 2[2]. In addition, EVs release almost no carbon dioxide (CO₂) or air pollutants at the time of usage. As EV sales boom, the market share of EVs will also likely to increase in the future. However, the current power grids in many countries are not fully prepared for a high EV penetration. Therefore, unmanaged charging of EVs may cause problems such as system overload, power losses, and voltage fluctuations [3]. To deal with EVs' additional load and mitigate these potential issues, appropriate charging control is required. In literature, a wide variety of models were proposed for charging planning of EVs [4–7].

E-mail address: yongwang@binghamton.edu (Y. Wang).

Controlled charging during the valley hours (midnight to early morning) can partially reduce such issues and increase the utilization rate of existing infrastructure [8]. The charging service is commonly referred to as the grid-to-vehicle (G2V) service. Given the high battery capacity of EVs, they are also considered suitable distributed energy resources to discharge and feed power back to the grid when needed [9–11]. The discharging service is usually referred to as the vehicle-to-grid (V2G) service.

The G2V and V2G services have the potential to enable the power grid to accommodate various EV penetration levels through the demand response (DR) programs [12,13], without significant system upgrades. The main idea of DR is to encourage electricity users to manage their demand during peak periods or when system's safety is at risk [14]. DR programs can be divided into two categories: time-based and incentive-based. Major time-based DR programs include time of use (TOU) [15], real time pricing [16], and critical peak pricing [17]. A common feature of such programs is the varying electricity price over time. The price will be higher during the on-peak periods and lower during the off-peak periods. The time-varying price intends to level the load, i.e., shift the load from the on-peak periods to the off-peak periods [18,19]. As a result, not only the generation costs of power grid decreases considerably, the







^{*} Corresponding author. EB-T10, 4400 Vestal Pkwy E, Binghamton, NY 13902, USA.

0	2	7
0	J	1

Abbreviations		Dependent variables	
		$RBC_{a,t,s}^{Agr}$	RBC of aggregator <i>a</i> in time <i>t</i> of scenario <i>s</i>
DR	Demand response	SOC ^{Agr}	SOC of aggregator a in time t of scenario s
EV	Electric vehicle	SOC _{a,t,s}	Soc of aggregator a in time t of scenario's
G2V	Grid-to-vehicle	D (
ISO	Independent system operator	Parameters	
RBC	Remaining battery capacity	Bl	Susceptance of line l
SOC	State of charge	C_a^{+Agr}	Cost of V2G reserve provided by aggregator <i>a</i>
TOU	Time of use	C_a^{-Agr}	Cost of G2V reserve capacity for aggregator <i>a</i>
V2G	Vehicle-to-grid	C_k^{Cur}	Cost of renewable energy curtailment at bus k
Indiana and unuman		C_k^{UL}	Penalty cost for one unit of unmet load at bus k
Indices	ana ranges	C_{α}^{Gen}	Generation cost of generator g
u a	Aggregator index	C^{Ch}	Electricity price for charging EVs in time t
g i	Wind power system index	C ^{Dch}	Discharged energy cost of FVs in time t
J V	Bus index	C_{t}	Discount for providing C2V sorvice at time t
к 1	Line index	$C_{\overline{t}}$	Maximum conscience of line l
ı n	Solar nower system index	Filmer	
n c	Scenario indev	$H_{l,k}$	incidence matrix coefficient (-1, 0, or 1) at bus k of line
3 t	Time index	M	l A voru large gumber (big M)
A	Total number of aggregators	IVI Dmax	A very large number (Dig-W)
G	Total number of conventional generators	Pg	Opper limit for power generation of generator g
ĩ	Total number of wind power systems	P_g^{mm}	Lower limit for power generation of generator g
, К	Total number of buses	P_s	Probability of occurrence of scenario s
L	Total number of lines	R_g^{dn}	Ramp down limit of generator g
Ν	Total number of solar power systems	R_g^{up}	Ramp up limit of generator g
S	Total number of scenarios	$SOC_{a,0}^{Agr}$	Initial SOC of aggregator a
Т	Planning horizon	$\alpha(l)^{u,0}$	Bus that line <i>l</i> starts
		$\beta(l)$	Bus that line <i>l</i> ends
Decision variables		γ	User defined risk factor
$b_{a,t,s}$	G2V reserve provided by aggregator <i>a</i> in time <i>t</i> of	η_a^{+Agr}	Charge efficiency of EVs for aggregator a
	scenario s	η_a^{-Agr}	Discharge efficiency of EVs for aggregator a
$C_{k,t,s}$	Renewable energy curtailment in bus k in time t of		
	scenario s	Random	parameters
$d^+_{a,t,s}$	Energy charged to aggregator <i>a</i> in time <i>t</i> of scenario <i>s</i>	SOC_a^-	Desired leaving state of charge in percentage for
$d^{-}_{a,t,s}$	V2G reserve provided by aggregator <i>a</i> in time <i>t</i> of		aggregator a
	scenario s	SOC_a^+	Expected joining state of charge in percentage for
Ĵl,t,s	Energy flow through line <i>l</i> in time <i>t</i> of scenario <i>s</i>		aggregator a
$p_{g,t,s}$	Energy dispatched from generator g in time t of	$o_{l,t,s}$	Whether or not line <i>l</i> fails in time <i>t</i> of scenario <i>s</i>
Vac	scenario s	$\Lambda_{k,t,s}$	Load at bus k in time t in scenario s
$x_{a,t}^{v_{20}}$	Required V2G reserve of aggregator a in time t	$\pi_{a,t,s}$	Joining EVS' capacity of aggregator <i>a</i> in time <i>t</i> of
$x_{a,t}^{o_{2}}$	kequired G2V reserve of aggregator <i>a</i> in time <i>t</i>		Scenario S Loguing EVs' conscitutof aggregator a in time tof
$Z_{k,t,s}$	Unmet load of bus k in time t of scenario s	$\pi_{a,t,s}$	Leaving EVS capacity of aggregator <i>a</i> in time <i>t</i> of
$\sigma_{k,t,s}$	voltage aligie at bus k ill tille t of scendrio s		Successful Successful and successful in time tof scenario s
Binary	Dinami dummu yariahlar		Generation of solar system n in time t of scenario s
	u_{μ} u_{μ	Ψn,t,s	Generation of solar system II in time t of scelidito s
vvts, VV	te, wte, yate Dinary unimity valiables		

EV owners pay less for their charging expenses. The EVs will be utilized only in the G2V mode when participating in the time-based DR programs. The TOU is recognized as the most efficient time-based tariff in reducing EVs' charging costs and emissions [20].

Incentive-based DR programs for EVs include frequency regulation and spinning reserve [21–24]. Such applications have not been widely implemented yet, but they have great foreseeable potentials [25,26]. Both programs are a part of ancillary services, which are designed to support the power grid's reliability and continuous flow of electricity so that supply will continually meet demand. The regulation service is a real-time service to balance load and power generation so that the frequency will be maintained within a specific range of the nominal frequency (e.g., 60 Hz). The frequency deviates from its nominal value when there is a mismatch between load and electricity supply. EVs can be utilized in both the G2V and the V2G modes when participating in the incentive-based DR programs. The regulation down service is implemented in the G2V mode when power generation exceeds the load, and the regulation up service is implemented in the V2G mode when the power generation is insufficient for the load [27,28].

Several studies examined the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of using EVs for frequency regulation. The participation of EV owners is motivated by the additional revenue for the bidirectional energy flow [29]. Various techniques were proposed for cost benefit analysis of applying EVs in the V2G mode [30–32]. It was shown that the benefits justify the battery degradation and replacement expenses [33]. Han et al. [34] investigated Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5477334

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5477334

Daneshyari.com