



# Assessment and optimization of a new sextuple energy system incorporated with concentrated photovoltaic thermal - Geothermal using exergy, economic and environmental concepts



Fateme Ahmadi Boyaghchi\*, Sahar Nazer

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering & Technology, Alzahra University, Deh-Vanak, Tehran, Iran

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 24 January 2017

Received in revised form

21 June 2017

Accepted 21 June 2017

Available online 22 June 2017

### Keywords:

Renewable energy

Magnetic refrigeration

Multi generation

Economic

Environmental impact

Optimization

## ABSTRACT

This research presents a thermodynamic, economic and environmental impact assessments of a new renewable based sextuple system made up of an organic Rankine cycle, magnetic refrigeration cycle, proton exchange membrane electrolyzer, date dryer unit and concentrated photovoltaic thermal collectors delivering power electricity, cooling and heating effects, hydrogen, oxygen and dried date productions. The impacts of the substantial design parameters on the annual thermal and exergy efficiencies, total product cost and environmental impact rates are evaluated. From parametric analysis, PEM electrolyzer current density affects the product cost rate of the system less than other parameters within 3.05% and turbine inlet pressure yields the reduction in the total product environmental impact rate by about 3.8%. Moreover, an elitist non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm and LINMAP decision maker are employed to identify the final optimum answer of the desired system. From optimization outcomes, the optimum performance of the system shows 18.3% reduction for cost and 24.9% improvement for environmental impact criteria. The annual thermal efficiency is improved about 27.4% and annual exergy efficiency gets 2.12 times. Under the optimum conditions, the isobutane mass flow rate reaches the maximum value of 35 kg/s and net power output increases within 50.25% in relation to the base point.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Population growth and industry development have led to energy demand rise. The direct consequence of this issue is air pollution and the significant CO<sub>2</sub> emission from the energy production related to global warming (Lam et al., 2016).

Improving industrial process to increase the energy efficiency, minimizing waste disposals and reducing their impacts through better management, reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by making progress toward lower carbon (Dovi et al., 2009), minimizing emissions and energy wastage by improving industrial processes and integration of renewable energy (Klemeš et al., 2010) are the most effective ways to mitigate the environmental pollution.

In addition, utilizing the advanced MGSs (multi generation systems), a system with more than three different commodities,

can play a significant role to save energies and reduce the concerns of air pollution due to their high efficiencies and low greenhouse gas effects. On the other hand, renewable resources due to their sustainable and environmental friendly production processes and products are convenient prime movers for MGSs to meet out energy demand requirements.

Nowadays, proposing and investigating MGSs driven by various renewable energies from the viewpoints of the conventional exergy and exergoeconomic concepts are regarded to be of particular interest for several researchers. Coskun et al., (2012) proposed and thermodynamically analyzed seven various combinations of geothermal based MGSs for practical applications. To examine the performance of desired system, two distinct substantial groups were considered for heating and cooling seasons. Improvement potentials for each system component and the overall system were calculated and compared. Moreover, four thermodynamic criteria; namely, energetic and exergetic renewability rates as well as system energetic and exergetic reinjection rates were studied. They found the overall system energy and exergy efficiencies were increased about 3.40 and 1.12 times for the cooling season and

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 21 88044040; fax: +98 21 88617537.

E-mail addresses: [fahmadi@alzahra.ac.ir](mailto:fahmadi@alzahra.ac.ir), [aboyaghchi@gmail.com](mailto:aboyaghchi@gmail.com) (F. Ahmadi Boyaghchi).

**Nomenclature**

A	area, m <sup>2</sup>	V <sub>ohm</sub>	ohmic overpotential, V
$\dot{B}$	environmental impact rate associated with exergy, Pts/s	W	work, J
b	specific environmental impact per unit of exergy, Pts/J	$\dot{W}$	power, W
c	cost per unit of exergy, \$/J	$\dot{Y}$	component-related environmental impact rate, Pts/s
$\dot{C}$	cost rate associated with an exergy stream, \$/s	$\dot{Z}$	cost rate associated with investment expenditures, \$/s
c <sub>p</sub>	specific heat, J/kg K	<i>Subscripts</i>	
CPVT	concentrated photovoltaic thermal	amb	ambient
C <sub>wind</sub>	wind speed, m/s	Cond	condenser
E <sub>act</sub>	activated energy, kJ/mol	conv	convection
El	environmental impact	coo	cooling
$\dot{E}$	total energy rate, W	D	destruction
ex	specific exergy, J/kg	dmg	demagnetization
$\dot{E}_x$	total exergy rate, W	Evap	evaporator
F	Faraday constant, C/mol	ex	exergy
f	frequency, 1/s	f	fluid
f <sub>c</sub>	exergoenvironmental factor, %	F	fuel
f <sub>c</sub>	exergoeconomic factor, %	g	glass
G <sub>B</sub>	beam solar radiation, W/m <sup>2</sup>	Geo	geothermal
G	Gibbs free energy, kJ	HEX	heat exchanger
H	total enthalpy, kW	MB	magnetocaloric bed
h	specific enthalpy, kJ/kg	mg	magnetization
J <sup>ref</sup>	pre-exponential factor, A/m <sup>2</sup>	Overall	overall
J <sub>o</sub>	exchange current density, A/m <sup>2</sup>	P	product
J	current density, A/m <sup>2</sup>	pc	potential refrigeration capacity
k	thermal conductivity, W/m.K	pl	plate
L	membrane thickness, m	MAG	magnetization
m	mass flow rate, kg/s	rad	radiation
$\dot{N}$	molar mass flow rate, mol/s	th	thermal
Nu	Nusselt number	tot	total
PEM	Proton exchange membrane	Tub	turbine
Pr	Prandtl number	w	water
Q	heat transfer, J	<i>Greek letters</i>	
$\dot{Q}$	heat transfer rate, W	μ	dynamic viscosity, Pa.s
Γ <sub>b</sub>	relative environmental impact difference	ΔC	adiabatic temperature in the magnetic material, K
r <sub>c</sub>	relative cost difference	η	efficiency
Re	Reynolds number	λ	heat transfer coefficient, W/m <sup>2</sup> .K
R <sub>PEM</sub>	proton exchange membrane resistance, Ω	λ <sub>a</sub>	water content at the anode-membrane interface, Ω <sup>-1</sup>
s	specific entropy, kJ/kg K	λ <sub>c</sub>	water content at the cathode-membrane interface, Ω <sup>-1</sup>
T	temperature, K	λ(x)	water content at location x in the membrane, Ω <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>act</sub>	activation overpotential, V	σ <sub>PEM</sub>	proton conductivity in PEM, s/m
V <sub>0</sub>	reversible potential, V	σ(x)	local ionic PEM conductivity, s/m
V <sub>act,a</sub>	anode activation overpotential, V	ρ	density, kg/m <sup>3</sup>
V <sub>act,c</sub>	cathode activation overpotential, V	σ	Stefan Boltzmann constant, J/s.m <sup>2</sup> .K <sup>4</sup>
		ε	emissivity

within 4.25 and 1.25 times for the heating season relative to the individual power generating option.

(Ratlamwala et al., 2012) designed and modeled a novel geothermal driven MGS including a double flash power generating, ammonia-water absorption refrigeration cycle, and PEM (proton exchange membrane) electrolyzer to produce cooling, heating, power, hot water and H<sub>2</sub> (hydrogen) using the exergy and exergoeconomic concepts. It was found that the geothermal source temperature, pressure and mass flow rate had negative impacts on cooling effects while the ambient temperature growth led to better exergetic efficiency. Moreover, a 60 K increment in the geothermal temperature increases H<sub>2</sub> produced from 1.85 kg/day to 11.67 kg/day.

(Ozturk and Dincer, 2013) carried out a solar driven MGS involving power, heating, cooling, hot water, hydrogen and oxygen production from the exergy viewpoint. Moreover, the thermodynamic assessment of a solar MGS with the coal gasification, containing power, heating and cooling effects, hydrogen, oxygen and hot water productions was conducted in the next research. In addition, sensitivity analyses were conducted for desired system to evaluate the thermodynamic performance versus the changes of some major design parameters. From the results it was clear that subsystem energy efficiency varied between 19.43 and 46.05% and its exergy efficiency changed between 14.41–46.14%. MGS had the maximum energy efficiency with value of 54.04% and exergy efficiency with value of 57.72% (Ozturk and Dincer, 2013).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5480423>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5480423>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)