



# Hydrogenolysis of glycerol to 1,3-propanediol over bifunctional catalysts containing Pt and heteropolyacids

Shanhui Zhu<sup>a,b</sup>, Yanan Qiu<sup>c</sup>, Yulei Zhu<sup>a,d,\*</sup>, Shunli Hao<sup>d</sup>, Hongyan Zheng<sup>d</sup>, Yongwang Li<sup>a,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Coal Conversion, Institute of Coal Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Taiyuan 030001, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, PR China

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Science & Technology Information of Shanxi, Taiyuan 030001, PR China

<sup>d</sup> Synfuels China Co. Ltd., Taiyuan 030032, PR China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 11 March 2012

Received in revised form 27 June 2012

Accepted 2 September 2012

Available online 18 October 2012

### Keywords:

Glycerol

Hydrogenolysis

1,3-Propanediol

Heteropolyacids

## ABSTRACT

Hydrogenolysis of glycerol to 1,3-propanediol was conducted over zirconia supported bifunctional catalysts containing Pt and heteropolyacids using  $\text{H}_4\text{SiW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}$  (HSiW),  $\text{H}_3\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}$  (HPW) and  $\text{H}_3\text{PMo}_{12}\text{O}_{40}$  (HPMo) as active compounds.  $\text{Pt}/\text{ZrO}_2$  was also examined for comparison. The as-prepared catalysts were characterized by BET, CO chemisorption, XRD, Raman spectra,  $\text{NH}_3$ -TPD and FTIR of adsorbed pyridine. Compared with  $\text{Pt}/\text{ZrO}_2$ , heteropolyacids modified  $\text{Pt}/\text{ZrO}_2$  catalysts showed higher acidity and better catalytic performance of glycerol hydrogenolysis to 1,3-propanediol. Among them,  $\text{Pt-HSiW}/\text{ZrO}_2$  exhibited superior performance due to the high Brønsted acid sites and good thermal stability. Independent of the heteropolyacid type, the concentration of Brønsted acid sites appeared as a key to the selective formation of 1,3-propanediol from glycerol hydrogenolysis, whereas the concentration of Lewis acid sites was related to the formation of 1,2-propanediol. We also investigated the reaction network and proposed a possible reaction pathway.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The catalytic transformation of biomass-derived feedstocks for the synthesis of value-added fuels, chemicals and materials has been attracting much attention, due to the diminishing reserves and rising price of fossil resources [1,2]. Recent development of biodiesel production by transesterification of plant oils and animal fat has made a large amount of glycerol available as a renewable feedstock for the production of various fuels and valuable chemicals [2–5]. Significant research efforts have been focused on the conversion of glycerol by various catalytic processes such as reforming, oxidation, dehydration, hydrogenolysis, etherification, esterification and so on [3–10].

Hydrogenolysis of glycerol to 1,2-propanediol (1,2-PDO) and 1,3-propanediol (1,3-PDO) is a noticeable pathway due to its production of renewable value-added chemicals. 1,3-PDO owes much higher economical value than 1,2-PDO, in particular, as an important monomer in the synthesis of polyester fibers [4,5,11]. Industrial production of 1,3-PDO is currently based on chemical

synthesis via hydration of acrolein or hydroformylation of ethylene oxide [12]. The development of an alternative environmentally benign process for the production of 1,3-PDO based on the biomass-derived glycerol as a sustainable feedstock is a real challenge.

Supported Ir, Pt, Ru, Rh, Pd and Cu catalysts have been used for glycerol hydrogenolysis to 1,3-PDO [9,10,13–21]. Among these catalysts, supported Pt catalysts are more selective for this reaction than others. To obtain 1,3-PDO effectively, it is necessary to add acid component to the catalysts due to the bi-functional mechanism. Previous research [9,22] shows that hydrogenolysis of glycerol to 1,3-PDO proceeds via dehydration of glycerol to 3-hydroxypropaldehyde (3-HPA) followed by hydrogenation. According to the above mechanism, acid catalysts play an important role in glycerol hydrogenolysis. Various acid catalysts including  $\text{H}_2\text{WO}_4$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , sulfated zirconia,  $\text{WO}_3/\text{ZrO}_2$ , and  $\text{WO}_3/\text{TiO}_2/\text{SiO}_2$  have been used [9,10,18,21,23,24]. Studies by Chaminand et al. [23] showed that hydrogenolysis of glycerol to 1,3-PDO on  $\text{Rh}/\text{SiO}_2$  catalyst achieved 4% yield in the presence of  $\text{H}_2\text{WO}_4$  at 200 °C and 8.0 MPa. Tomishige and co-workers [18] reported that the yield of 1,3-PDO reached 38% over  $\text{Ir-ReO}_x/\text{SiO}_2$  catalyst with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  as an additive in a batch reactor. However, the liquid acids would cause several problems in terms of catalyst separation, reactor corrosion and environmental protection. Recently, Oh et al. [24] have communicated the use of Pt-sulfated zirconia with 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone in glycerol hydrogenolysis but such strong acidic

\* Corresponding author at: State Key Laboratory of Coal Conversion, Institute of Coal Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Taiyuan 030001, PR China.  
Tel.: +86 351 7117097; fax: +86 351 7560668.

E-mail address: [zhuyulei@sxicc.ac.cn](mailto:zhuyulei@sxicc.ac.cn) (Y. Zhu).

catalysts deactivate quickly as carbonaceous deposits block the catalyst surface. Additionally, the usage of organic solvent will greatly reduce the environmental and economical viability.

Heteropolyacids (HPAs) have demonstrated to display outstanding catalytic performance for both homogeneous and heterogeneous acid-catalyzed reactions because of its well-defined structure and widely tunable acidity [8,25–27]. HPAs possess unique physicochemical properties, with their structural mobility and multifunctionality, which is useful in industrial processes such as the liquid-phase dehydration of alcohols and hydration of esters or olefins [26–28]. Additionally, heterogeneous acid catalysis by HPAs has attracted much interest due to its potential of great economic rewards and green benefits. Compared to conventional solid acid catalysts such as oxides and zeolites, HPAs possess strong acidity, uniform acid sites and easily tunable acidity. Generally, tungsten HPAs owns stronger acidity, higher thermal stability and lower oxidation potential than molybdenum HPAs, which makes tungsten HPAs used more widely [29]. However, HPAs lack thermal stability and leach easily in polar solvents. To solve this problem, HPAs are often immobilized on supports such as  $\text{SiO}_2$  or  $\text{ZrO}_2$ . Kozhevnikov and co-workers [27] have studied the interaction between HPW and support with ammonia adsorption calorimetry, MAS NMR and FTIR and suggested increasing interaction in the following order:  $\text{SiO}_2 < \text{TiO}_2 < \text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5 < \text{ZrO}_2$ . Moreover, our previous study [13] showed that the Pt-HSiW/ $\text{ZrO}_2$  catalyst was rather durable during one-step hydrogenolysis of glycerol to biopropanols. Taken together, it is worthwhile to study supported HPAs as acid catalysts for glycerol hydrogenolysis.

In the present investigation, several zirconia supported Pt-HPAs (HSiW, HPW and HPMo) bi-functional catalysts were prepared, characterized, and tested in aqueous solution for glycerol hydrogenolysis. Special emphasis was also laid on formation route of glycerol hydrogenolysis and degradation based on the catalytic performance in the reaction of products and detected intermediates.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

$\text{ZrO}_2$  (Jiangsu Qianye Co., Ltd., China) was used as support of the catalysts.  $\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (AR), HSiW (AR), HPW (AR) and HPMo (AR) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All the catalysts were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation method. Typical procedures for the preparation of Pt-HSiW/ $\text{ZrO}_2$  catalyst are as follows. The Pt/ $\text{ZrO}_2$  catalyst was prepared by impregnation of zirconia support with an aqueous solution of  $\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The impregnated sample was dried overnight at  $110^\circ\text{C}$  and then calcined at  $400^\circ\text{C}$  in static air for 4 h. After impregnated HSiW onto Pt/ $\text{ZrO}_2$ , Pt-HSiW/ $\text{ZrO}_2$  was dried overnight at  $110^\circ\text{C}$  and then calcined at  $350^\circ\text{C}$  in static air for 4 h. Pt-HPW/ $\text{ZrO}_2$  and Pt-HPMo/ $\text{ZrO}_2$  were prepared in the similar way to that of Pt-HSiW/ $\text{ZrO}_2$ . The Pt and HPAs loadings were fixed at 2 wt.% and 15 wt.%, respectively.

### 2.2. Catalyst characterization

$\text{N}_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms were recorded at  $-196^\circ\text{C}$  using a Micromeritics ASAP 2420 instrument after degassing at  $300^\circ\text{C}$  for 8 h in vacuum. BET surface area and BJH pore size distribution were calculated by desorption isotherms.

CO chemisorption was measured at  $50^\circ\text{C}$  in Auto Chem. 2920 equipment (Micromeritics, USA). Prior to the measurement, about 0.2 g catalyst sample was first reduced in situ for 2 h in pure  $\text{H}_2$  at

$200^\circ\text{C}$ , then purged with He at the same temperature for 1 h and finally cooled down to  $50^\circ\text{C}$ . The CO chemisorption was operated by pulse injection of pure CO at  $50^\circ\text{C}$ . The Pt particle size was calculated by assuming an adsorption of one CO molecule per surface platinum atom.

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were conducted at room temperature on a D2/max-RA X-ray diffractometer (Bruker, Germany) using  $\text{Cu K}\alpha$  radiation at 30 kV and 10 mA. The X-ray patterns were recorded in  $2\theta$  values ranging from  $10^\circ$  to  $90^\circ$  at the scanning rate of  $5^\circ/\text{min}$ .

Raman spectra were recorded with a LabRAM HR800 System equipped with a CCD detector at room temperature. The 325 nm of the He-Cd laser was used as the exciting source with a power of 30 MW.

$\text{NH}_3$ -TPD was carried out in the same apparatus as CO chemisorption. Prior to each run, the catalyst sample (0.3 g) was pretreated in He at  $350^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h, then cooled to  $100^\circ\text{C}$  and was saturated with pure  $\text{NH}_3$  for 30 min. After being purged with He for 30 min, the sample was heated to  $700^\circ\text{C}$  at a heating rate of  $10^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$  and the  $\text{NH}_3$  desorption was monitored with a TCD detector.

To evaluate and analyze the nature and acid amount of catalysts, FTIR spectra of adsorbed pyridine (Py-IR) of solid samples were carried out on a VERTEX70 (Bruker) FT-IR spectrophotometer, equipped with a deuterium triglycine sulphate (DTGS) detector. Prior to pyridine adsorption, the samples were heated to  $300^\circ\text{C}$  under vacuum, and then cooled to  $30^\circ\text{C}$ . The Py-IR spectra were then recorded at  $200^\circ\text{C}$  after applying vacuum for 30 min. The quantitative calculation of Brønsted and Lewis acid sites was determined by the integrated area of the adsorption bands at about  $1540$  and  $1450\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. The acidity of Brønsted and Lewis acid sites was calculated by using the adsorption coefficient values reported in the previous paper [30].

### 2.3. Catalytic tests

Hydrogenolysis of glycerol was conducted in a vertical fixed-bed reactor (i.d. 12 mm, length 600 mm) with an ice-water trap. In a typical run, 2.0 g catalyst (20–40 mesh) was charged in the constant temperature section of the reactor, with quartz sand packed in both ends. Prior to the test, the catalyst sample was in situ reduced in a stream of pure  $\text{H}_2$  ( $100\text{ ml}/\text{min}$ ) at  $200^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 h. After reduction, the system was cooled to the desired temperature. A 10 wt.% glycerol aqueous solution was fed continuously into the reactor with an HPLC pump along with a co-feed  $\text{H}_2$  of gas flowing at  $100\text{ cm}^3\text{ min}^{-1}$ . The liquid and gas products were cooled and collected in a gas-liquid separator immersed in an ice-water trap. The products were obtained when the reaction reached the steady state. Additionally, the reaction performance of 1,3-PDO, 1,2-PDO and ethylene glycol (EG) was also evaluated in order to reveal the reaction pathway of glycerol hydrogenolysis.

The liquid products were analyzed by gas chromatography using a capillary column (DB-WAX,  $30\text{ m} \times 0.32\text{ mm}$ ) equipped with a FID detector. The tail gas was off-line analyzed by a gas chromatography with a capillary column (OV-101,  $60\text{ m} \times 0.25\text{ mm}$ ) and a TCD detector. The products obtained were also identified by GC-MS. The conversion of glycerol and selectivity of products were calculated as follows:

Conversion(%)

$$= \frac{\text{moles of glycerol (in)} - \text{moles of glycerol (out)}}{\text{moles of glycerol (in)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Selectivity(\%)} = \frac{\text{moles of one product}}{\text{moles of all products}} \times 100.$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/54815>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/54815>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)