ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering xxx (2016) $1-11$ $1-11$

Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jngse

Non-equilibrium simulation of hydrate formation and dissociation from $CO₂$ in the aqueous phase

Khadijeh Qorbani* , Bjørn Kvamme, Richard Olsen

Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Allegaten 55, 5007 Bergen, Norway

article info

Article history: Received 30 January 2016 Received in revised form 20 March 2016 Accepted 29 March 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords: Hydrate simulation Carbon dioxide storage Reservoir simulation Non-equilibrium thermodynamics

ABSTRACT

The RetrasoCodeBright (RCB) hydrate simulator has the ability to calculate the amount of $CO₂$ -hydrate formed from water and $CO₂$ as well as dissociation towards $CO₂$ gas when the gas phase is undersaturated with water (or in the case where the pressure and temperature conditions are outside of the hydrate stability region). By implementing a non-equilibrium thermodynamic module into RCB, we added another route for hydrate-phase transitions towards $CO₂$ in the aqueous phase as well as in the water phase. We also considered hydrate instability due to the undersaturation of $CO₂$ in the contacting water phase. As a kinetic model, we used classical nucleation theory, where the phase transitions were treated as non-equilibrium processes under the local constraint of mass and heat fluxes. We verified CO2 hydrate formation and dissociation by observing supersaturation and undersaturation with respect to the thermodynamics variables, $CO₂$ mole-fraction, pressure, and temperature. We determined competing hydrate phase transitions by investigating the Gibbs free-energy differences between hydrate and parent phases (origin of hydrate formers), and we calculated the energy differences from variations in the chemical potentials, which were estimated using non-equilibrium thermodynamics. In this paper, we discussed our implementation, as well as results obtained from simulations utilizing our modifications. © 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Gas hydrates are crystalline water-based structures with guest hydrocarbon or non-hydrocarbon molecules, where guest molecules are trapped within a crystal structure composed of water molecules [\(Weatherford Laboratories, 2016\)](#page--1-0). Huge amounts of gas are trapped inside the hydrate structures. 1 $m³$ of hydrate dissociating at standard temperature and pressure will result in 164 m^3 of gas (at standard conditions) and 0.8 $m³$ of water [\(Kvenvolden and](#page--1-0) [Howell, 1993\)](#page--1-0).

Methane is the dominant gas component of natural gas hydrates (NGHs) ([Weatherford Laboratories, 2016](#page--1-0)), and methane hydrates exist in places where the pressure and temperature conditions are within the hydrate stability zone ([Lerche and Bagirov, 1998\)](#page--1-0). The quantity of the in-place natural gas stored in hydrate-bearing sediments significantly exceeds the conventional fossil-fuel resources ([Kvenvolden and Howell, 1993](#page--1-0)). Thus, NGH reservoirs have become attractive as unconventional sources of fossil fuel.

However, its exploitation is difficult because of the lack of economically feasible production methods ([Weatherford](#page--1-0) [Laboratories, 2016\)](#page--1-0). The worldwide distribution of natural gas hydrates is different compared to that of conventional hydrocarbons, and countries such as Japan have large natural gas reserves trapped as methane offshore Japan, while having almost no conventional hydrocarbons. Depressurization, thermal stimulation, and inhibitor injection are the three conventional methods used to exploit methane from hydrate reservoirs [\(Sloan and Koh, 2007\)](#page--1-0). All of these gas-production mechanisms will result in the dissociation of hydrates and the production of a large amount of water. This may result in an increase of the overburden stress, which can affect the geomechanical stability of hydrate-bearing sands, resulting in subsidence and landslides in hydrate-dissociated regions. Thus, we require a new production method to avoid this problem.

Natural Gas

Over the past few decades, the Earth's climate has undergone rapid changes. One reason is believed to be an excess of $CO₂$ in our atmosphere, emanating from the burning of fossil fuels ([Stockeret al, 2014\)](#page--1-0). One possible solution to reversing its effects is to capture $CO₂$ gas and inject it into $CH₄$ -hydrate reservoirs for storage in the form of $CO₂$ -hydrate ([Graue et al., 2008\)](#page--1-0).

E-mail address: Khadijeh.Qorbani@ift.uib.no (K. Qorbani).

Corresponding author. The corresponding author. It was proposed that captured CO₂ could be injected into

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2016.03.093> 1875-5100/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Qorbani, K., et al., Non-equilibrium simulation of hydrate formation and dissociation from $CO₂$ in the aqueous phase, Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2016.03.093

ARTICLE IN PRESS

methane-hydrate reservoirs, replacing the methane hydrate with $CO₂$ -hydrate (as well as mixed $CO₂/CH₄$ hydrate) ([Graue et al.,](#page--1-0) [2008](#page--1-0)). This would result in the production of methane [\(Graue](#page--1-0) [et al., 2008\)](#page--1-0), and is made possible because methane hydrate is less stable than both pure $CO₂$ -hydrate and mixed $CO₂/CH₄$ hydrate ([Svandal, 2006\)](#page--1-0). Two mechanisms are involved in this process. The dominant mechanism is the formation of new $CO₂$ -hydrate from injected $CO₂$ and water from the pores. The second mechanism, which is slower, is the solid-state exchange of $CH₄$ with $CO₂$, which is dominated by solid-state mass transport ([Graue et al., 2008;](#page--1-0) [Ersland et al., 2009; Kvamme et al., 2007, 2014, 2009; Buanes](#page--1-0) [et al., 2006](#page--1-0)). As a result, the heat of formation of new $CO₂$ -hydrates will assist with the dissociation of in-situ $CH₄$ -hydrate. The application of this method also satisfies the stabilization of the hydrate-bearing layers, and the sequestration of $CO₂$ in the form of hydrate inside $CH₄$ -hydrate reservoirs maintains the local geo-mechanical stability of the CH₄ producing zone ([Ohgaki et al., 1996\)](#page--1-0).

As a result of the changing climatic conditions, NGHs may start to dissociate, enabling the released $CH₄$ to find its way to the atmosphere [\(Chabert et al., 2011](#page--1-0)). Furthermore, hydrates are continuously undergoing dissociation by contact with water that is undersaturated with methane through fractures and faults. Considering that methane is a much more aggressive greenhouse gas than $CO₂$ [\(Bollmann et al., 2010](#page--1-0)), gas hydrates may potentially have a significant effect on future global warming ([Leggett, 1990a,](#page--1-0) [1990b](#page--1-0)). Typical values indicate that the effect of methane may be of the order of 25 times worse than that of $CO₂$ as a greenhouse gas ([Bollmann et al., 2010](#page--1-0)). However, the lifetime of methane in the atmosphere is significantly shorter than that of $CO₂$ because of its lower molecular weight and density of CH₄ [\(Solomon et al., 2007;](#page--1-0) [Blasing\)](#page--1-0). For CH₄, a lifetime of twelve years in the atmosphere has been frequently reported ([Anderson et al., 2010](#page--1-0)). However, when burnt as a fuel, it releases much less $CO₂$ than many other fossil fuels. Thus, because of the ever-increasing energy demands, these reservoirs may become important sources of energy for future use through the controlled production of methane, which can be used as a fuel.

In the literature, there is limited information about long-term processes that occur if $CO₂$ is injected into $CH₄$ -hydrate or if $CH₄$ is produced from hydrate reservoirs. The use of field-scale experiments is a costly way of obtaining this information. Furthermore, because of the time scales of the processes that are relevant to hydrate dynamics in practice, it would be an intractable task to solve the problem experimentally. However, the use of computer simulations is an inexpensive way of determining the outcomes of possible scenarios. Proper reservoir modelling may be used to shed light on possible scenarios within a limited computational time.

When modelling hydrates in nature, it is important to consider that hydrates are formed from a variety of phases. Liquid water can create hydrates form gas-phase hydrate formers, as well as from hydrate formers in aqueous solution or adsorbed hydrate formers. This gives rise to the formation of various types of hydrates that have different filling fractions, and as a result, different free energies and phase-transition rates. This means that there will be different hydrate phases in nature. Thus, even when they are stable over the pressure and temperature domains, hydrates are generally unable to attain thermodynamic equilibrium because of Gibbs' phase rule. As a result, to obtain a more accurate description of hydrate phase transitions, computer simulations should treat these processes as processes of non-equilibrium competing phase transitions under local constraints of mass and heat transport dynamics. It is also important to include all hydrate phases in such a simulation. In addition, hydrate phase transition rates are much faster than those of conventional mineral precipitation and dissolution rates. This means that there is a requirement for an implicit geomechanical calculation during the simulation to avoid the time lag of geomechanical analysis in each time-step.

Only few reservoir simulators, including hydrates, are available in academia and industry. STOMP-HYDT-KE ([White\)](#page--1-0) is the third version of the STOMP family, and has the ability to simulate ternary hydrates of $CH_4/CO_2/N_2$ mixtures. Kinetics of hydrate-phase transitions are included using constant rates. TOUGH $+$ HYDRATE ([Moridis et al., 2012\)](#page--1-0) is an added module to TOUGH $+$, enabling the simulation of methane hydrates, while the commercial code, FLAC3D, is used to couple the flow and geomechanical properties. $TOUCH + HYDRATE$ uses both equilibrium and kinetic models for the hydrate-phase transitions, where the kinetic parameters of the hydrate reactions are obtained using the models proposed by Kim et al. ([Kim et al., 1987\)](#page--1-0), and of Clarke and Bishnoi ([Clarke and](#page--1-0) [Bishnoi, 2001](#page--1-0)). STARS is a compositional and geomechanical reservoir simulator developed by the Computer Modelling Group (CMG) ([Tabatabaie and Pooladi-Darvish, 2012](#page--1-0)). The kinetics of hydrate-phase transitions are calculated using a combination of the equilibrium-hydrate-decomposition model with the Kim and Bishnoi kinetic equation ([Kim et al., 1987](#page--1-0)). HydrateResSim (HRS) ([Moridis et al., 2005](#page--1-0)) is based on an early version of TOUGH-Fx, and is the only open-source code available to the public through the National Energy Technology Laboratories (NETL) ([National Energy](#page--1-0) [Technology Laboratory, 2016](#page--1-0)). This code is able to simulate the conventional methods of gas production from methane-hydrate reservoirs. It incorporates both the equilibrium and kinetic models of hydrate formation and dissociation. The revised code, Mix3HydrateResSim, which was modified by Gaparati and Anderson ([Garapati, 2013\)](#page--1-0), is capable of simulating the production of methane through the injection of $CO₂$ and $N₂$ into the hydrate reservoir. To obtain mixed hydrate data, Mix3HydrateResSim uses the cell potential method. It utilizes the equilibrium and kinetic models of hydrate formation and dissociation, and uses the model proposed by Kim et al. ([Kim et al., 1987](#page--1-0)) in kinetic-model calculations. MH21-HYDRES ([Research Consortium for Methane Hydrate](#page--1-0) [Resources in Japan, 2016](#page--1-0)) is a compositional simulator that has been developed by the Research Consortium to simulate the behaviour of methane-hydrate reservoirs. Hydrate dissociation is modelled using the Clarke-Bishnoi equation [\(Clarke and Bishnoi,](#page--1-0) [2001; Konno et al., 2008\)](#page--1-0), and hydrate-formation modelling utilizes the model proposed by Malegaonkar et al. [\(Malegaonkar et al.,](#page--1-0) [1997](#page--1-0)).

Most of the hydrate simulators mentioned above treat hydratephase transitions as an equilibrium process, and those that employ the kinetic approach in their models utilize simple laboratory methods such as the Kim and Bishnoi [\(Kim et al., 1987](#page--1-0)) approach. This approach is based on laboratory pressure/volume/temperature (PVT) experiments. As such, it is unknown whether these results can be transferred to dynamically flowing systems in porous media ([Vafaei et al., 2014](#page--1-0)). Furthermore, it is common to utilize a limited thermodynamic description where only temperature and pressure projections are considered. Another widely used simplification is to assume only a single route for the hydrate phase transitions.

In order to understand and characterize the behaviour of hydrates, there is a need to understand all of the coupled dynamic phase transitions that can lead to hydrate formation, as well as processes that can lead to local hydrate dissociation. In addition to the hydrate stability dependence on temperature and pressure, it is necessary to focus on the total thermodynamic dependency of hydrate stability by also including concentrations and densities of all co-existing phases of impact for hydrate stability. This also includes adsorbed phases on mineral surfaces. These mineral surfaces will typically structure water to resulting chemical potentials that are far below the chemical potential of liquid water ([Olsen et al.,](#page--1-0) [2015\)](#page--1-0). Different minerals adsorb hydrate guest molecules such as

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5484787>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5484787>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)