



## New constraints on Saturn's interior from Cassini astrometric data



Valéry Lainey<sup>a,\*</sup>, Robert A. Jacobson<sup>b</sup>, Radwan Tajeddine<sup>c,a</sup>, Nicholas J. Cooper<sup>d,a</sup>, Carl Murray<sup>d</sup>, Vincent Robert<sup>e,a</sup>, Gabriel Tobie<sup>f</sup>, Tristan Guillot<sup>g</sup>, Stéphane Mathis<sup>h</sup>, Françoise Remus<sup>i,a,h</sup>, Josselin Desmars<sup>j,a</sup>, Jean-Eudes Arlot<sup>a</sup>, Jean-Pierre De Cuyper<sup>k</sup>, Véronique Dehant<sup>k</sup>, Dan Pascu<sup>l</sup>, William Thuillot<sup>a</sup>, Christophe Le Poncin-Lafitte<sup>m</sup>, Jean-Paul Zahn<sup>i</sup>

<sup>a</sup>IMCCE, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, Univ. Lille, France

<sup>b</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109-8099, United States

<sup>c</sup>Center for Astrophysics and Planetary Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

<sup>d</sup>Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Rd, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom

<sup>e</sup>IPSA, 7-9 rue Maurice Grandcoing, 94200 Ivry-sur-Seine, France

<sup>f</sup>Laboratoire de Planétologie et Géodynamique de Nantes, Université de Nantes, CNRS, UMR 6112, 2 rue de la Houssinière, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3, France

<sup>g</sup>Laboratoire Lagrange, CNRS UMR 7293, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, B.P. 4229, 06304 Nice Cedex 4, France

<sup>h</sup>Laboratoire AIM Paris-Saclay, CEA/DRF - Université Paris Diderot - CNRS, IRFU/SAP Centre de Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>i</sup>LUTH-Observatoire de Paris, UMR 8102 du CNRS, 5 place Jules Janssen, 92195 Meudon Cedex, France

<sup>j</sup>Observatório Nacional, Rua José Cristino 77, São Cristóvão, Rio de Janeiro CEP 20.921-400, Brazil

<sup>k</sup>Royal Observatory of Belgium, Avenue Circulaire 3, 1180 Uccle, Bruxelles, Belgium

<sup>l</sup>USNO, 3450 Massachusetts Avenue Northwest, Washington, DC 20392, United States

<sup>m</sup>SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, LNE, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 4 October 2015

Revised 8 July 2016

Accepted 24 July 2016

Available online 3 August 2016

#### Keywords:

Astrometry

Interior

Saturn

### ABSTRACT

Using astrometric observations spanning more than a century and including a large set of Cassini data, we determine Saturn's tidal parameters through their current effects on the orbits of the eight main and four coorbital Moons. We have used the latter to make the first determination of Saturn's Love number from observations,  $k_2=0.390 \pm 0.024$ , a value larger than the commonly used theoretical value of 0.341 (Gavrilov & Zharkov, 1977), but compatible with more recent models (Helled & Guillot, 2013) for which the static  $k_2$  ranges from 0.355 to 0.382. Depending on the assumed spin for Saturn's interior, the new constraint can lead to a significant reduction in the number of potential models, offering great opportunities to probe the planet's interior. In addition, significant tidal dissipation within Saturn is confirmed (Lainey et al., 2012) corresponding to a high present-day tidal ratio  $k_2/Q=(1.59 \pm 0.74) \times 10^{-4}$  and implying fast orbital expansions of the Moons. This high dissipation, with no obvious variations for tidal frequencies corresponding to those of Enceladus and Dione, may be explained by viscous friction in a solid core, implying a core viscosity typically ranging between  $10^{14}$  and  $10^{16}$  Pa.s (Remus et al., 2012). However, a dissipation increase by one order of magnitude at Rhea's frequency could suggest the existence of an additional, frequency-dependent, dissipation process, possibly from turbulent friction acting on tidal waves in the fluid envelope of Saturn (Ogilvie & Lin, 2004; Fuller et al. 2016).

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Tidal effects among planetary systems are the main driver in the orbital migration of natural satellites. They result from physical processes arising in the interior of celestial bodies, not observable necessarily from surface imaging. Hence, monitoring the Moons'

motions offers a unique opportunity to probe the interior properties of a planet and its satellites. In common with the martian and jovian systems (Lainey et al., 2007, 2009), the orbital evolution of the saturnian system due to tidal dissipation can be derived from astrometric observations of the satellites over an extended time period. In that respect, the presence of the Cassini spacecraft in orbit around Saturn since 2004 has provided unprecedented astrometric and radio-science data for this system with exquisite precision. These data open the door for estimating a potentially large

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [lainey@imcce.fr](mailto:lainey@imcce.fr) (V. Lainey).

number of physical parameters simultaneously, such as the gravity field of the whole system and even separating the usually strongly correlated tidal parameters  $k_2$  and  $Q$ .

The present work is based on two fully independent analyses (modeling, data, fitting procedure) performed at IMCCE and JPL, respectively. Methods are briefly described in Section 2. Section 3 provides a comparison between both analyses as well as a global solution for the tidal parameters  $k_2$  and  $Q$  of Saturn. Section 4 describes possible interior models of Saturn compatible with our observations. Section 5 discusses possible implications associated with the strong tidal dissipation we determined.

## 2. Material and methods

Both analyses stand on numerical computation of the Moons' orbital states at any time, as well as computation of the derivatives of these state vectors (see Section 2.1) with respect to: (i) their initial state for some reference epoch; (ii) many physical parameters. Tidal effects between both the Moons and the planet are introduced by means of the amplitude of the tidal bulge and its time lag associated to dissipation processes. The gravitational effect of the tidal bulge is classically described by the tidal Love number  $k_2$  and the tidal ratio  $k_2/Q$ . The Love number  $k_2$  is defined as the ratio between the gravitational potential induced by the tidally-induced mass redistribution and the tide-generating potential. As the interior does not respond perfectly to the tidal perturbations, because of internal friction applied on tides, there is a time lag between the tide-raising potential and the tidally-induced potential. The torque created by this lag is proportional to the so-called tidal ratio  $k_2/Q$ . The amplitude and lag of the tide potential can also be described using a complex representation of the Love number, where the real part correspond to the part of the potential aligned with the tide-raising potential, while the imaginary part describes the dissipative part (see also Section 4). The factor  $Q$ , often called the quality factor (Kaula 1964), or the specific dissipation function,  $Q^{-1}$ , in its inverse form, is inversely proportional to the amount of energy dissipated by tidal friction in the deformed object. Coupled tidal effects such as tidal bulges raised on Saturn by one Moon and acting on another are considered. Besides the eight main Moons of Saturn, the coorbital Moons Calypso, Telessto, Polydeuces, and Helene are integrated in both studies.

Although the two tidal parameters  $k_2$  and  $Q$  often appear independently in the equations of motion, the major dynamical effect by far is obtained when the tide raised by a Moon on its primary acts back on this same Moon. In this case, only the ratio  $k_2/Q$  is present as a factor for the major term, therefore preventing an independent fit of  $k_2$  and  $Q$ . However, the small co-orbital satellites raise negligible tides on Saturn and yet react to the tides raised on the planet by their parent satellites (see Figure in Appendix A.1). This unique property allows us to make a fit for  $k_2$  that is almost independent of  $Q$  (see Appendix A.1). In particular, we find that the modeling of such cross effects between the coorbital moons allows us to obtain a linear correlation between  $k_2$  and  $Q$  of only 0.03 (Section 3 and Appendix A.4). Thanks to the inclusion of Telessto, Calypso, Helene and Polydeuces, we can estimate  $k_2$  essentially around the tidal frequencies of Tethys and Dione.

### 2.1. IMCCE's approach

The IMCCE approach benefits from the NOE numerical code that was successfully applied to the Mars, Jupiter, and Uranus systems (Lainey et al., 2007, 2008, 2009). It is a gravitational N-body code that incorporates highly sensitive modeling and can generate partial derivatives needed to fit initial positions, velocities, and other parameters (like the ratio  $k_2/Q$ ) to the observational data. The code includes (i) gravitational interaction up to degree two in

the spherical harmonics expansion of the gravitational potential for the satellites and up to degree 6 for Saturn (Jacobson et al. 2006); (ii) the perturbations of the Sun (including inner planets and the Moon by introducing their mass in the Solar one) and Jupiter using DE430 ephemerides; (iii) the Saturnian precession; (iv) the tidal effects introduced by means of the Love number  $k_2$  and the quality factor  $Q$ .

The dynamical equations are numerically integrated in a Saturncentric frame with inertial axes (conveniently the Earth mean equator J2000). The equation of motion for a satellite  $P_i$  can be expressed as (Lainey et al. 2007)

$$\ddot{\vec{r}}_i = -\frac{G(m_0 + m_i)\vec{r}_i}{r_i^3} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N Gm_j \left( \frac{\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i}{r_{ij}^3} - \frac{\vec{r}_j}{r_j^3} \right) + G(m_0 + m_i)\nabla_i U_{i0} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N Gm_j \nabla_j U_{j0} + \frac{(m_0 + m_i)}{m_i m_0} (\vec{F}_{i0}^T - \vec{F}_{0i}^T) - \frac{1}{m_0} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N (\vec{F}_{j0}^T - \vec{F}_{0j}^T) + GR \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\vec{r}_i$  and  $\vec{r}_j$  are the position vectors of the satellite  $P_i$  and a body  $P_j$  (another satellite, the Sun, or Jupiter) with mass  $m_j$ , subscript 0 denotes Saturn,  $U_{ij}$  is the oblateness gravity field of body  $P_i$  at the position of body  $P_j$ ,  $GR$  are corrections due to General Relativity (Newhall et al. 1983) and  $\vec{F}_{ik}^T$  the force received by  $P_i$  from the tides it raises on  $P_k$ . This force is equal to (Lainey et al. 2007)

$$\vec{F}_{ik}^T = -\frac{3k_2 Gm_i^2 R^5 \Delta t}{r_{kl}^8} \left( \frac{2\vec{r}_{kl}(\vec{r}_{kl} \cdot \vec{v}_{kl})}{r_{kl}^2} + (\vec{r}_{kl} \times \vec{\Omega} + \vec{v}_{kl}) \right) \quad (2)$$

where  $\vec{r}_{kl} = \vec{r}_k - \vec{r}_l$ ,  $\vec{v}_{kl} = d\vec{r}_{kl}/dt$ ,  $\vec{\Omega}$ ,  $R$ , and  $\Delta t$  being the instantaneous rotation vector, equatorial radius and time potential lag of  $P_k$ , respectively. The time lag  $\Delta t$  is defined by

$$\Delta t = \text{Tarctan}(1/Q)/2\pi \quad (3)$$

where  $T$  is the period of the main tidal excitation. For the tides raised on Enceladus,  $T$  is equal to  $2\pi/n$  ( $n$  being Enceladus' mean motion) as we only considered the tide raised by Saturn. For Saturn's tidal dissipation,  $T$  is equal to  $2\pi/2(\Omega - n_i)$  where  $\Omega$  is the spin frequency of Saturn and  $n_i$  is the mean motion of the tide raising saturnian Moon  $P_i$ .  $\Delta t$  depends on the tidal frequency and on  $Q$ , therefore it is not a constant parameter.

It is clear from the second term in the right hand side of Eqs. (2) and (3) that  $k_2$  and  $Q$  are completely correlated. To separate both parameters, we consider the action on any Moon of the tides raised on Saturn by all other Moons (see also Appendix A.1). Neglecting tidal dissipation in that case provides the extra terms

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \frac{-T}{m_i} \vec{F}_{ij} = \frac{3k_2 Gm_j R^5}{2r_i^5 r_j^5} \left[ -\frac{5(\vec{r}_i \cdot \vec{r}_j)^2 \vec{r}_i}{r_i^2} + r_j^2 \vec{r}_i + 2(\vec{r}_i \cdot \vec{r}_j) \vec{r}_j \right]. \quad (4)$$

For an unspecified parameter  $c_i$  of the model that shall be fitted (e.g.  $\vec{r}(t_0)$ ,  $d\vec{r}/dt(t_0)$ ,  $Q\dots$ ), a useful relation is (Lainey et al. 2012 and references therein)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_i} \left( \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_i}{dt^2} \right) = \frac{1}{m_i} \left[ \sum_j \left( \frac{\partial \vec{F}_i}{\partial \vec{r}_j} \frac{\partial \vec{r}_j}{\partial c_i} + \frac{\partial \vec{F}_i}{\partial \dot{\vec{r}}_j} \frac{\partial \dot{\vec{r}}_j}{\partial c_i} \right) + \frac{\partial \vec{F}_i}{\partial c_i} \right], \quad (5)$$

where  $\vec{F}_i$  is the right hand side of Eq. (1) multiplied by  $m_i$ . Partial derivatives of the solutions with respect to initial positions and velocities of the satellites and dynamical parameters are computed from simultaneous integration of Eqs. (5) and (1).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5487320>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5487320>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)