Accepted Manuscript

Shape of boulders ejected from small lunar impact craters

Yuan Li, A.T. Basilevsky, Minggang Xie, Wing-Huen Ip

PII: S0032-0633(17)30205-2

DOI: 10.1016/j.pss.2017.07.014

Reference: PSS 4369

To appear in: Planetary and Space Science

Received Date: 8 June 2017

Revised Date: 0032-0633 0032-0633

Accepted Date: 21 July 2017

Please cite this article as: Li, Y., Basilevsky, A.T., Xie, M., Ip, W.-H., Shape of boulders ejected from small lunar impact craters, *Planetary and Space Science* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2017.07.014.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 2

3 4

Shape of boulders ejected from small lunar impact craters

Yuan Li¹, A.T. Basilevsky², Minggang Xie¹, Wing-Huen Ip^{1,3}

1. Space Science Institute, Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau

5 6 2. Vernadsky Institute of Geochemistry and Analytical Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 7

Moscow 119991, Russia

8 3. Institute of Astronomy, National Central University, 32054 Chung-Li, Taiwan

9 10 Abstract

The shape of ejecta boulders from 7 lunar impact craters < 1 km in diameter of known absolute age 11 was measured to explore whether it correlates with the crater age and the boulder size. The boulders were 12 13 mapped and then measured by rectangular fitting and the shape was represented by the axial ratio or aspect ratio (A) of the rectangle. The main conclusions from the analysis of our measuement results are: 14 1) the percentages of the number of boulders of studied craters decrease with the increase of the axial 15 ratio. Most (~90%) of the boulders have the axial ratio in the range of 1-2; no boulder with axial ratio 16 larger than 4 was found. 2) the axial ratios of mare ejecta boulders decrease with their exposure time, 17 18 whereas that for highland ones show unchanged trend. This difference may be probably due to target properties. 3) The shape of ejecta boulders are influenced by mechanical strength of bedrocks and space 19 20 erosion. 4) surface peak stresses caused by thermal fatigue maybe play a significant erosion role in the 21 shape of boulders of various diameter.

22

24 25

Keywords: Moon, shape of boulders, axial ratio, crater age 23

1. Introduction

26 Impact craters and rocks/boulders are the predominant features on the lunar surface. In most cases, 27 rocks appear on the lunar surface as a result of ejections from impact craters and come from the regolith layer and bedrock basement underlying the regolith. The bedrocks in lunar maria are composed of various 28 29 basalts. In highlands these are impact breccias, which can be essentially fragmental breccias or contain different contents of solidified shock melt (e.g., Florensky et al., 1981; Heiken et al., 1991). Accordingly, 30 31 lunar rocks/boulders studied by us are fragments of basalts or impact breccias.

32

33 The shape of ejecta boulders may provide an insight into the impact fragmentation process (e.g., 34 Melosh, 1989; Kumar et al., 2014). Krishna and Kumar (2016) described shapes of boulders by 35 rectangular fitting, and defined the axial ratio (or aspect ratio) between long and short axes of rectangular as a measure of the boulder shape. They suggested that the axial ratio (or aspect ratio) of boulders 36 37 depends on ejection velocity, and an increase in the ejection velocity leads to a decrease of the axial ratio 38 for boulders. In the following, the axial ratio or aspect ratio will be abbreviated as A. 39

40 After their formation, boulders exposed on the airless body surface are affected by a number of agents: solar wind ion implantation and sputtering, cosmic-ray bombardment, electromagnetic radiation, 41 42 micrometeoroid and meteoroid bombardment and thermal stresses due to diurnal temperature changes (e.g., Clark et al., 2002). Solar wind, cosmic ray and electromagnetic radiation change the optical 43 properties of the exposed materials within the rather thin surface layer. Micrometeoroid bombardment 44 45 was found to work mostly in the form of sand-blasting without destroying the rocks (Hörz et al., 1975; 46 Hörz et al., 1977; McDonnell, 1977). Only meteoroid bombardment and thermal stresses are considered as the major factors for the destruction of boulders on the airless body surface (see e.g., Basilevsky et al., 47 48 2013, 2015; Cintala and Hörz, 2008; Delbo et al., 2014; Hörz et al., 1975; Molaro et al., 2016).

49

50 It should be noted that catastrophic rupture of exposed rocks on the airless body by meteoroid bombardment has a stochastic character with some boulders destroyed very soon after their appearance on 51 52 the surface while some other that may stay untouched for very long time (e.g., Hörz et al., 1975). 53 Meanwhile the destruction process by thermal stress should be more uniform in time if given same mass

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5487936

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5487936

Daneshyari.com